App. F. IX-4

1931. (97.)

File No. 212—24

Serial Nos. 1—48

Previous References

~~LR~~
97 276-04 (1-6)
97 15-93 (1-25)

Later References

LR 437-43 (1-2)

LR 284-2481-5 4670.
97 111-15 (7-27)
97 416-34 (1-6)
LR 27-38-(1-2)
LR. 437-43-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 48

K-E

Part B for April 1931

SUBJECT:

Loan account of Messrs. Bakshi Basavaraj and Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanni Avaru of Tumbanere Village, Nanjangud Tk.

To Huzur Secretary, Rev. Commr, Comptroller, D.C. Mysore, R 7610 - 11 / 10.4.31 -

Accord certain concessions for the final closing of the above loan account.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. F File No. 212 of 1921-22

Serial No.

1. The first case viz that relating to the loan to the late Mr Burkshi Basappaya has been separately dealt with & disposed of.

2. As regards the loan to Mr. Siddaraj Urs. & Krishnarajamma Avaru details have not been furnished by the Comptroller of the amount of annual income received from the estate of the debtors mortgaged to Govt and how far this amount has gone to reduce debtors liability on account of either principal or interest. The Compt will be asked to furnish the full particulars regarding the annual income from the properties & the adjustments so far it has so far been possible to effect towards the discharge of the loan. Such information is necessary to enable us to see what prospect there is of the loan being discharged and in what period it may be expected the liquidated

15-6-22

Mr. Secy

809 G.O. No. 212 dy 15.6.1922 read 4/5/22 & 2nd

I forwarded to the comptr for favour of furnishing early the particulars required in the above note.

Contn. sheet.

920:90 Mad. 8/17/22

15/6/1

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

65375

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

3408 21-6-22

GEN & REV. SEC.
22 JUN 1922

1 A Statement showing details of annual income, expenditure and amount placed for adjustment towards the loan account is placed in the file. The amount adjusted towards the loan account in the accounts for 1918-19 includes the Excise revenue of previous years, adjusted during the year.

6. Ellamaharaju
20th June 1922. for Comptroller

7 Please put up go. noted in Comptr's Statt re penal interest

8) Submitted. The order is flagged G. In this order d. 20/9/19. a claim of Rs 1050-13-1 has been waived by Govt. Attention is invited to the statement at page 49 of the Notes of file No 111-15. In this connection Secretariat 23.6.22 Please put up file Containing the following:—

1) Go. No. 76 785 3-4/G. 2-274-04-1 dt 18.5.05

2) 76 3608 19-7 111-15-6 dt 29/6

24/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Statement of Estate and Loan accounts of

Estate Account—

Year of account	Opening balance	Receipts	Total	Payments	Closing balance	A. pla ady
1906-07	And previous Years details not available.					76
1907-08		3007 3 6	3007 3 6	1161 9 0	1845 10 6	16
1908-09	152 8 0	3093 5 9	3245 13 9	1047 1 0	2198 12 9	2
1909-10		2955 7	2955 7	744 1 0	2210 15 7	2
1910-1911		2982 7 0	2982 7 0	899 9 0	2082 14 0	19
1911-12	152 8 0	3301 8 4	3454 4	941 9 0	2512 7 4	2
1912-13	152 8 0	2689 4 7	2841 12 7	1061 1 "	1780 11 7	16
1913-14		2977 3	2977 3	914 9 0	2062 7 3	2
1914-15		2526 15 6	2526 15 6	915 9 0	1611 6 6	1
1915-16		2494 12 8	2494 12 8	764 1 -	1730 11 8	15
1916-17	152 8 0	2598 7 5	2750 15 5	1065 14 0	1685 1 5	16
1917-18		2228 11 8	2228 11 8	40 " "	2188 11 8	13
1918-19	556 9 0	7930 4 9	8786 13 9	1031 14 3	7754 15 6	68
1919-20	893 9 1	3125 8 5	4019 1 6	1810 2 2	2208 15 4	6
1920-21	1522 15 4	3207 14 7	4730 13 11	781 11 1	3949 2 10	26
		451 8 9		13178 5 1		
		3755				

M/s Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanivaru

Loan Account—

Amount of Loan	Credited to Loan	Credited to Interest	Balance outstanding on 30th June Loan	Interest
3 1 2	1500 - -	617.3 12 10	27500 - -	16412 15 2
3 2 6		1326	27500 -	16012 2 8
5 2 1		2 12 1	27500 - -	15271 15 11
0 5 7		2210 15 7	27500 - -	14435 4 4
0 6 0		1930 6 4	27500 - -	13875 10 4
10 4 4		2354 15 4	27500 - -	12894 11 4
0 10 11		1750 11 7	27500 - -	12488 15 5
2 7 3		2062 7 3	27500 - -	11801 8 2
1 6 6		1611 6 6	27500 - -	11565 1 8
3 3 8		1578 3 8	27500 - -	11361 14 -
5 1 5		1685 1 5	27500 - -	11051 12 7
2 2 8		1332 2 8	27500 - -	11094 9 11
1 6 5		6861 6 5	27500 - -	5608 3 6
1 4 -		686 4 -	27500 - -	6297 3 6
4 3 8		2694 3 8	27500 - -	4977 15 10
		155 2		

Evelanahalli

For Superintendent

Ex

12/6/22

G. R. S. III-2]

4408/24

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G2 File No. 212 of 1921

Serial No.

10 G.O. No. 21 7838-4 G2 270-04-6 dated 18th May 1905 the management of the villages of Dhamdunarla etc. was ordered to be taken over under Govt. management vide flag T.

No. 3608/G.F. 111-15-6. dated 29th January 1916 is also put up. vide flag O.O

Submitted
Mon
26/2/22

11 The Case relating to the loan of the late Bakshi Bussapoonji Mrs has been separately submitted for orders. The loan outstanding against Siddavanji Mrs & Krishna Ammani Avaru is dealt with

12 The facts of this case are as follows:

In April 1895 a loan of Rs. was applied for by Siddavanja Mrs & Krishnamavanja Ammani Avaru as first mortgagee of the Tambaneru village in the Wandiwash Taluk and this loan was sanctioned under the rules for the relief of encumbered inamdars. This loan was required to be paid in instalments of Rs 1500 per annum including interest at 5 p.c.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 412 of 1924.

Serial No.

The loan of Rs 19,000 was disbursed to the parties on the 4th May 1895 and the following recoveries were made in that and the subsequent years

21.6.95	Rs 250.
25.5.96	" 370
27.6.96	1130
	Total Rs 1750.

With these payments and with a further payment of Rs 258-11-11 ordered to be paid by Govt in 1897 the outstanding loan was reduced to Rs 17,500. The Debtor applied in that year for a further loan of Rs 10,000 to meet certain debts outstanding against the debtors elsewhere. This additional loan was also sanctioned in April 1897 on the same security. The total loan of Rs 27,500 was thus repaid by annual instalments of Rs 2500 including interest Commencing from 1.7.1897 the interest on new loan up to 30.6.97 being separately received on the date.

14 The mortgaged lands were at first left under the management of the debtor as there special request but were subsequently transferred to Govt management, as the lands were neglected and the payment of instalments was not regular

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22 of 19 4.

Serial No.

Pages of notes

15 The Statement of stock and loan accounts submitted by the Comptr shows that for the 14 years Commencing from 1904-05 a Sum of Rs 45,110 has been realised from the mortgaged property of which works out to an annual income of Rs 3223. Though this amount is somewhat in excess of the annual instalment of Rs 2,500 fixed for repayment of loan, the full amount of the realisations from the property is not now available for adjustment towards the loan as a Sum of about Rs 941 on an average has to be paid for expenses of management after the transfer of property to Govt management. The result is that the actual receipts available for adjustment is somewhat less and even this amount has so far gone only to reduce the ~~accumulated~~ Debt on account of interest - ordinary expenses by the Debtors allowed to accumulate previous to the transfer of lands to Govt management.

16 The entire original Demand on account of Rs 27,500 on a/c of principal of loan

Serial No.

is therefore still outstanding and there is a balance of Rs 4977-15-10 on account of ~~principal due at the~~ interest payable by to end of the year 1920-21. This balance might have been somewhat reduced ~~at the end of~~ during the year 1921-22 just closed.

2. ~~If Rupees are taken~~ Even supposing that the arrears of the ~~amount of~~ receipts of past years will be available for adjustment against the loan account, it will be some years more, before the ~~whole~~ demand on account of arrears of interest on the loan is wiped out. At this rate it will be many years more for the whole loan to be liquidated. It is therefore for Consideration

(1) Whether the debtors should not be asked to sell away some of their lands to discharge at least partially the outstanding loan.

(2) Whether the Revenue authorities who manage the estate may not be directed to see that the full Revenue derivable from the properties is collected & no arrears are left.

(3) Whether the Revenue of the property cannot in any way be improved

5/7/22

H. E. Grey
Dewan

18 vide 24.7.22

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Recd. on JUL 25 1922
Reg. No. 658

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

658

Serial No.

9 Kindly recall the Comptroller's papers — Rs 45-118 is under reply here as the arrears are due. The is a sad case. — Who are the present representatives? Cannot they be consulted

A

L
1.8.

10 The figure Rs 45-118 is taken from the Comptroller's statement received with his U.O. note dt 20.6.22. The arrears of loan amount to Rs 27500 + not over a lakh. This result is due to the fact that the entire net revenue from the property is absorbed in meeting the interest demand.

11 As regards the point at A please ask the DC & Commr the present representatives about the sale of a part of the property for closing their loan acct.

2.8.22

12 Phan for prompt

13 Draft putup for approval

3.8.22

3/8/22

3/8/22

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

GF File No. 262 of 1921.

UPH08 87 (9)

Serial No.	
2	To the D.C. Mysore M.No. H 7428 dt. 8.8.1922 forwards the extract of ofl: / and states that Govt. consider it necessary that some portion of the property should be sold for closing the loan accounts and requires to consult the present representatives of the debtors as to whether they are agreeable to this and to submit a report in the matter necessary for the orders of Govt.
	Sent 5.8.22
(3)	From the Comptroller No Loans 7428 dt 3.1.1923
	In continuation of ofl: I state that the Conditions of the loan accounts of merchants Basappaji urs and Siddaraj urs of Chikmakaja Annamiaram — is in the same condition as on 2.6.22 and does not shown any improvement and ~~enclosed~~ in case ~~no more of~~ for orders
25	In regard to the loan account of Siddaraj urs The D.C's report called for in Sl. 2 has not been received yet. A reminder is put up for approval. The case of B. Basappaji Siddu urs also is referred to the D.C. Secy for obtaining the wishes of the party in the matter of the sale and his reply is awaited.
5.2.23	D. 720

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 292 of 1921.

Serial No.

2b Dual file will be separately submitted for orders. — in 100-29

Issued on 5-2-23. BM. 6/1

2a Yes need 8/2

reminded: no 3162 d 10.1.23. calls for early submission of the report on the loan account.

8 WS 10.1.23.

From the Dy. Com^r Nellore.

No. R.O.C. 239/22-23 Rec'd d 31.1.23

states that no reply has been received from the party and hence have been reminded

2a Its further reply may be awaited

Subd 30 BM 2/2 Issued on 3.2.23

2b It is now more than four months since the Dy Comr was requested to Consult the representation about the sale of some of the properties & Liquidate the outstanding debt. The Dy Comrs last reminder is subd for perusal. Its for orders if the Dy Comrs reply may be awaited or the Dy requested to treat the matter as very urgent in view of the need for prompt recovery of these dues to Govt. 13/2

Please send another reminder asking for early report. Draft 14.2.23

33 Draft read 15/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42 of 1922

Serial No.

3rd Draft submd.
Sanction 15/2/23 16/2
3rd Issue 16/2

To the D.C. Mysore
No JE. 3700.D: 20.2.23.
calls for the early submission of the report called for in G.O.

From the D.C. Mysore
No R.O.C. 239-22-23 dated 27.2.23
states that the representative of Inam has not furnished the report called for and has been asked to send the report here direct.

To the Inamdar has not furnished his views in regard to sales of his properties. Unless a date is prescribed and the party asked to submit his views before date, there is no hope of getting the views ever time. It is already over 5 months since the 1st communication calling for the views issued

Submd 1.3/23 3/3 Hosey

37 2nd 3/3

38. Issue want for a month more one 10.3.23

2.3.23

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42 of 19 23

Serial No.

3) No reply has been received till now. A draft calling for the reply before a date to be specified will be put up for approval.

Dated 28/4/23

4) Submitted draft.

28/4.

4A Done

Dated 28/4/23

Calls for the views of the Amildar Mr Bhadraraj urging to his views on the proposal to grant some privileges to liquidate the loan due by them to Govt.

From the Deputy Comr. Mysore

No D2 Dis 418/23-24 dt 31-10/20.

Forwards letter dt 3.6.20 received from party with his remarks as to how far facts stand.

4A The petitioners allegations are included in the statement forwarded with Deputy Comrs letter.—

The Amildars contention is that there are discrepancies in the accounts

Serial No.

for 1916-17 and 1922 - Against this, the Depy comr states that the two statements agree.

(iii) The Zamindar alleges that the penal interest of Rs 1050-13-7 ordered to be waived in G.O. No. 2157-60 dt 20-11-1927 has not been adjusted. The Depy comr says that this point that the accounts of his office should disclose the fact. A copy of the above order was sent to Depy comr also. It is not known why this has been lost sight of. It is however pointed in the comparative statement on page 2 of the notes that the penal interest has been adjusted to the loan account:-

(iii) The party urges that as he has paid nearly double the principal by way of interest some concession should be shown to him.

(iv) He wants that the accounts should be verified with the accounts mentioned by his agents and then the final demand fixed and intimated.

(v) He solicits 6 months time for the repayment of the loan after the demand is settled.

1572 (V8)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 212 of 1921

Serial No.

The history of the case is given in detail on pages (4 to 8) of the note. The Statement of income & expenditure and net realisation from the properties is also given at page 3 of the note. If approved the Deputy Commr will be requested to furnish to the Inamdar the detailed accounts of the properties ever since they came under Govt management.

(4) The other prayers of the Inamdar are for orders

(1) 12/4/23 by

(2) 48 The Inamdar's request to be furnished with a detailed Statement of account for purpose of Comparison with the accounts kept by his agent and to fix exactly the demand against him to enable him to know his liability definitely and to raise necessary funds to discharge the liability is quite reasonable and has to be granted. The Compte and the Mysore Dy Com may be requested to Compile a detailed account showing (1) the realisations from the inam lands under Govt management from the Commencement to end of 1922-23 and the amounts placed at the despsal of the Compte each year for adjustment to the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1572/2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G 7 File No. 44 of 1923 24

Serial No.

Credit of the loan account after meeting the expenses of Collection and account thereof & which may also be furnished

(2) the demand against him ~~at~~ every year on account of principal and interest ~~of loan~~.

(3) the adjustments against this demand every year.

(4) the balance outstanding on 30-6-23

4 This account will enable the investor to verify his agents' account and to know his liability exactly. Two months time may be given him for verification and for such representation as he may wish to make to Govt with reference to the accounts furnished.

5 The question of giving him 6 months' time ~~after~~ for payment of his dues after demand amount is definitely fixed and the question of remitting any portion of the demand on account of interest may be considered afterwards.

14/9/23

7 (Sd) 52 Please issue draft proposal

15/11/23

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.I- File No. 212 of 1924.

Serial No.

No H.2675 dt 14.11.23 from D.C Nuzvid requesting him to furnish the Govt. Manager his a detailed statement of collections made & amounts credited to his loan account etc from 1918-19 so as to enable him to decide his exact present position & make his further representation.

H 2676 dt 14.11.23. Copy of the above to the Comptroller with a request to furnish the Govt Manager with a similar statement.

H 2675 — dt 14.11.23 — Copy to Govt. Manager

No 3881/Loans dt 13.11.23 from the Comptroller forwards a brief memo of interest account relating to the loan account of Mr. Sidney Rao & Krishnayammani Avl & states the present position of the loan Account.

54 The Comptroller refers to the Nuzvid D.C letter in file Cog N & presumably therefore the D.C had sent a Copy of his letter to the Comptr. also.

55 All that is contained in this reference, which meets the contentions of Mr. Manager Govt, is, the clear Relating to the Penal interest Rs excess amount of Rs 1050-13-1, which was ordered to be waived. This is stated by the

1728
21

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. R. File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

Comptroller to have been taken account of, while Mr. Rangaraj Urs had doubted the inclusion of this item.

There is also from a brief Consolidated Abstract of the interest account from 1894-95 to 1922-23. It is not likely that this Concise Statement will satisfy Mr. Rangaraj Urs, if a Copy were to be sent to him.

57 The Letter No 21 2675 to ~~Mr. Rangaraj Urs~~ the D.C Mysore requesting him to furnish Mr. Rangaraj Urs with a detailed Statement of realisations ~~adjusted towards interest~~ and their disposal from 1920-21 to date has issued on the 19th instant.

A Copy of it has been sent to the Comptroller also desiring him to furnish Mr. Urs with a detailed statement of realisations adjusted towards interest from 1917-18. In view of that letter, no action appears to be necessary on the present reference of the Comptroller. or may the Comptroller, be written to again desiring him to furnish Mr Urs with a detailed statement as desired in this Office Letter No 2675 & submitted for orders.

The Comptroller's attention may be drawn to our letter dated 21-11-23

58 issued 4/12/23

59 Yes

Issued 8/12/23

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.I. File No. 42 of 19 24.

Serial No.

b) Sato with a draft. dorth refer to order supra
20/12.

Pl 3443 of 24.12.23 to the Comptr requesting him to take early action on the lines indicated in this office memo no Pl 2674 of 19.11.23

No 563 Loans of 3.1.24 states that the necessary statement of accounts showing the amounts advanced towards interest of the loan account has been transmitted to the D.C. Jagtial for communication to the party.

b) The Comptr was asked to furnish such a statement in this office letter dt. 5. The D.C also was asked to supply the Mandal wise particulars of Collections & their disposal for the period subsequent to 1920-21 Now that the Comptr has discharged his part of the work entrusted to him, & since it is more than a month & half since the D.C was asked to give his res his resp particulars may the D.C be now addressed

[illegible]

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Opt File No. 212 of 19 21

Serial No.

as to whether he has furnished the information to the enquiry as to when the report called for in the penultimate para of this office letter dt 2 May be expected?

Submitted with a draft to D.C for appl or other orders.

9/4/24 [illegible] 10/4/24

No RE 3757 dt 11.4.24 from the D.C Mysore enquires if the necessary statements of collections asked to be furnished by Mr. M.S. Ranganaj Urs have been accordingly supplied.

No O.C 1096/23-24 dt 18.4.24 from the D.C Mysore stating that the necessary particulars have been furnished to Mr. M.S. Ranganaj Urs both by himself the Comptr & that the Inquiry has again been reminded for the Communication of his views.

Both the Comptr & the D.C Mysore have duly taken action on the lines indicated in our office letter dt 19.11.23 to E. It now remains for Mr. M.S. Ranganaj Urs to express his final opinion.

A draft to the Inquiry

9/624/21

19

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. File No. 212 of 19 21.

Serial No.

is subd for approval of their orders.

8/C/W 23/1.

69 Done Clk 30/1.

70 Reminder posted

WBRa Clk 8/2/

Petition dated 14/23-12-21 & 29-4-22 from M. S. Nanjaraj Urs, Amildar of Kumbarelle village praying that certain mistakes noticed in the a/c rendered by the D.C. Mysore and enclosing a statement of accounts prepared by himself, and requesting to be furnished with a revised Statement.

2/ Both the Comptr & the D.C. Mysore have furnished the Amildar with all the necessary particulars of accounts, as was desired by us.

The Amildar's statement of facts is none the better for the explanation supplied to him.

May the Petition be forwarded in Original to the D.C. Mysore and a Copy thereof kept

6.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.C. File No. 92 of 19 .

Serial No.	
	to the Comptroller for remarks & early return?
	24 Desd for Supdt: of the Orders 6/5 Fe Secy)
	24 Desd 6/5/24
	No Re 6895 of 20.6.24 from the D.C Supa forwarding the Mamdari Petition for detailed report & return.
	No R.C. 1091/23-24 dt 6.7.24 from the Dy Supa returning the Petition with a brief report.
	25 Copies of our letter of 20.6.24 and the Mamdari Petition have been sent to the Comptr also (vide note on the reverse of (3)). In order that a reply to the Mamdar's petition may be got from the Comptr on points 1, 2, 3 & 5 in the letter under submission. A specific & final term was proposed to be given to the matter as in our letter of

16.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

92 File No. 212 of 1922

Serial No.	
	92

X Vide ON 92 dt. 23.1.23 on proof 19 copies

No 19.11.23 at P. 55 the D.C. & the Supdt have enumerated the heads taken action on the basis indicated in that letter, & the Remainder is yet to come forward with his official representation to Govt. Instead, those Items which have already been acted upon one way or another one being the Cash book. The Remainder has to be asked to furnish his Items without further delay, after which his forecast petition.

Orders with a draft to the Comptr for issue or other Orders.

OK 24/11/23

77 Items and watch receipts & expdy. the matter shd be treated as urgent

Mads 17.7.24

No. Dc 311 dt 17.7.24 to the Comptr forwarding copy of the letter & calling for his early remarks thereon for communication to the Remainder

Serial No.

No 149/loan dy 25.8.22 from the Comptr State Fin. a Copy of the revised loan account has been sent to the Standing Fin. Dy Commr and explains the present position of the account.

28 The Standing Committee which originated this Correspondence is hereby informed.

That the differences observed in the Standing Statement are now explained fully by the Comptr's letter & party by the Dy Commr at 4.

29 The Comptr says that a Copy of the revised account has been forwarded to the Rangoon Fin Dy C. Now that the information desired by the Standing Fin has been furnished in full, it is now for him to ~~propose~~ offer proposals to liquidate the loan. This has already been very long delay.

30 A letter to the Standing Fin Committee covering all the details

F. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42 of 19 22

Serial No.

From the Comptr & A.C. is
sent for Consideration.
27/8 (C Sing)

8 Ad mail 1.9.24

8 I do not think it's necessary to repeat all the details again in our Comm's to the Mandam. D/S endeavour to tell him that it's reported that the compiler has furnished him with all the information regd thro the D.C. and that proposals for discharging the loan may be submitted accordingly 20/9/24

8 A different draft on similar lines is sent. 84 Issued
27/9 mail 29/9 Rey

W: 17690 dt: 9.24 To, the: Manjarabad
Calls for his proposals in the matter of adjusting his loan accounts through the Dy: Commr Mysore

Mrs: 1790-1 dt: 25-9-24

To, The Compiler:
The Dy: Commr Mysore.

Forwards copy of the above.

et.

Seen. Many reminders were issued both by this Office & the Dy. Comm. Shimoga requesting Mr. Ranjaraj Urs to submit proposals for adjusting his loan accounts. No replies have been received from him.

Seen for orders.

19/5/25

Please note how this mandays loan account stands at the end 1925-26 according to Loan Statement since received from Comptr.

mad 25/5

On 30th June 23, the balance of Loan Outstanding was:-

Principal — Rs 27,500.

Arrears of Interest on that date — Rs 4806-15-3.
(Vide Comptrs' Letter No Loans 149 of 23.8.24 at B infra).

On 30th June 24 / According to the Statement of Loans for 23-24 received from the Comptr (C) the Outstanding balance is:-

Principal - Rs 27,500.
Overdue Interest. Rs 4,233.
Total 31,733.

Serial No.

88 In the petition enclosed with the D.C's letter under Submission, the Dewan of Sirohi states that he has not been given definite & satisfactory reply to his petition of 29.6.22. The Dewan would appear to be scarcely fair in his Statement, while both the Deputy Comm & the Comptr have furnished him with all details of accounts. Please see the last sentence in the Penultimate para & the last para of the Comptr's letter No 1469/Loans of 23.8.24 at P.

89 The D.C. & the Comptr were asked (on 19.11.23) to supply all the information required by the Dewan with a view to enable him to make his definite proposals for the discharge of the Loan. The information was accordingly furnished on 11.12.23 (Vide D.C's letter at P. 9) & 18.1.24. Thereon, the Dewan (on 1.2.24) was asked to offer his observations to the D.C. and reminded on 11.3.24 and 9.6.24. On the 29th of April 1924, the Dewan put in a petition with a Statement of accounts as prepared by his Agent. In his petition no fresh point has been raised, while questions which have already been settled have been unnecessarily raked up, as already submitted in D.N. of 11.2.24 on page 21 of file supra. This petition was, notwithstanding, sent to the D.C.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

a Comptr for reports. The Deputy has made his report at 2 and the Comptr at 3. The fully revised account has been furnished to the Standard and it is not known how the Case Manitoban his statement made in his petition to the P.C.

As rightly remarked by the D.C. the Standard's attitude seems to be one calculated to gain time in enabling his proposals.

A short letter fully explaining the undesirability of putting off the liquidation of the debt would seem to be called for.

Without his proposals, it is impossible to consider the question of remitting any portion of the Account or accepting it by instalments.

Or else with a view for consideration:

The Dy Govr of Ceylon thinks

20/ responsible to see that the dues to Govt on account of interest on loans & of the instalments in repayment of the loans are made in accordance with the terms on which the loan was advanced, [illegible] has merely sent a report of the letters written by him to the mandal and of the fact that he has received no further reply from him as regards the question how the loan is proposed to be repaid. He has thought it fit to even suggest to Govt that the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

required information may be arranged

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 212 of 19 27

Serial No.

to be obtained direct from the mamdar" If approved, the Dy Com. will be requested to furnish a suitable reply to the mamdar both in reply to his previous petition to Govt and to the subsequent reminder thereon and to send a copy to Govt for information and also to ascertain how the mamdar proposes to repay the loan. If the mamdar does not reply within a reasonable time to be fixed by the D.C. for the purpose, the D/C has to be authorised to proceed for the recovery of the loan after giving notice to the debtor

93 read 30/1/25

94 Please address the Mamdar and ask him to send a reply to our letter no 3914 G 9-1-25 to him. mrs 31-1-25

95 Revised Draft. Brs.

Modified accordingly & submd.

96 read 1/2/25.

97

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

The debtor offered to submit a scheme for the discharge of the loan due by him; but inspite of repeated requests, he is delaying the formulation of his proposal. I do not think there is any use in our further corresponding with him. It is necessary to take action under the agreement for bringing to sales some of the properties under mortgage. If action in this direction is proposed, it is likely that the debtor will realise the seriousness of the position and lose no more time in submitting his proposals, if he has any at all. The Assistant Secretary will please go through the file and put up a draft inviting suggestions from the Deputy Commissioner for settling this loan account, one way or the other, without further delay.

3.

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-25

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Ramdas, Mr. M.C. Ranjekar has never received his Pension with from. While at this, he hended up-to-date statement of his loan account. When this was furnished, he raised certain doubts about his correctness of figures. These doubts of his were got cleared at most by the explanatory Statements from the D.C. All his pertinents required by Mr Ramdas have been duly furnished to him, still, he is yet to come forward with his proposals. As observed by Mr De Lacy he has been very obdurate despite frequent reminders.

A draft to the D.C on the lines of Mr De Lacy's suggestion of 30.1.28 is put up for consideration.

24/8 Repd. Mr De Lacy's order on the inspection note for the extended time abovementioned

Mr Sen

Draft

30/8

Draft revd by me M.A.R. Submitted for appl

B 7/9

Mr Seer

Serial No

104 A loan of Rs 27,500 was sanctioned to Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru under the scheme for relieving encumbered Jaghirdars from their indebtedness; vide G.O. dated 25th April 1897. The security for the loan was the village of Thumbunerale in the Nanjungud Taluk. This village was left under the management of the debtor until May 1905 when it was taken over by Government as in the interval between 1897 and 1905 the stipulated instalments towards principal and interest were not paid.

105 It is now 28 years since the loan was sanctioned and 20 years since the village was taken under Government management. But, so far, not a rupee has been paid towards the repayment of the loan. The position from the point of view of return of debt has not improved although the property has been brought under Government management. The net annual realisations from the village which are made available towards the loan account by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore have not so far been found sufficient to meet even the current and arrear demands on account of interest. The position at present is, therefore, this:-

The whole loan of Rs 27,500 is outstanding and towards interest a sum of about Rs 4,000 is due.

106 This situation is unsatisfactory and it is necessary to consider what might be done to improve it. In his petition dated 30th

Seria No.

30th April 1924, Mr. Nanjaraj Urs who is the present representative of the debtors (i) asked for an account of the realisations from his village and their appropriation, (ii) claimed credit for certain items which he stated had been left out, and (iii) offered to formulate proposals for paying up the whole of the outstanding loan if the exact amount due from him was intimated. On this representation, correspondence was carried on with the Comptroller and the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore and all available information ~~has been~~ was furnished to Mr. Nanjaraj Urs. He was requested to submit proposals as to how he would pay up the dues towards principal and interest. He has, however, kept silent so far.

The sole security for the loan is the Inam village and the alternative of selling it cannot be considered as the object of the loan would be frustrated if the family is deprived of its Inam. Sale being out of the question, the only courses open are two:-

(1) If Mr. Nanjaraj Urs has got money and is willing to pay it to discharge his debt and take back his property, he may be so. If he is in a position to discharge the whole debt and requires any reasonable concession, it may be shown to him.

(2) Allowing the existing arrangement to continue and taking such measures as may be possible to improve the revenue of the village and

Serial No

and reduce the annual demands upon it with a view to help both the lender and the borrower.

108 During the period when the village was under the management of the debtors, penal interest aggregating about Rs 7,800 was charged on the ground that the annual instalments were not paid as they fell due. On the representation of the debtors that certain Excise revenues payable to them had to be adjusted with retrospective effect, this penal interest was reduced by about Rs 1,000. The net penal interest charged was, therefore, Rs 6,800. If the Inamdar is in a position to pay back the whole of the amount due by him, as he has offered to do in his petition dated 30th April 1924, the whole of this penal interest might be waived as a concession to induce him to close his loan account. If, however, he cannot find the money for closing the account, there is no object in showing him this concession. In the above circumstances, I put up two drafts for approval (1) to the Inamdar through the Deputy Commissioner requesting him to formulate proposals for closing his loan account and stating that Government will be prepared to show him some concession if he can submit acceptable proposals for paying up his dues within a ~~xx~~ limited time; and (2) to the Deputy Commissioner, requesting him to investigate the possibilities of improving the revenues of this village and reducing the charges to be met from them so that the net amount may be

Serial No.

be increased as much as possible in the interests alike of Government and the debtor.

2416

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 212 of ~~1925~~ 1921-2

Serial No

1 On 27/10/25 the Dy Comr was addressed as well as the Dewan, Mr Nanjaraj Urs, to send us proposals for the repayment of the loan. From the reply of the Dy Comr of the 1st April 1926 it is seen that Mr Nanjaraj Urs is dead and that his major son wanted to look into the detailed accounts of his loan and that these were furnished to him on 2/2/26.

11 Apparently nothing appears to have been done in this respect as is seen from the reply (to this office reminder) of the Dy Comr dated the 5th July 26 except that a sum of Rs 1978- was credited to the arrears of interest.

Submitted for orders.

3-
13/7. HK 13/7/26.

12 The death of the Dewan Mr Nanjaraj Urs has complicated matters. He appears to have a Major Son by the first wife & some Minor Children by the second wife. Whether any of the 2nd wife's Children are boys has not been stated. If this is

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
3 AUG. 1926
No.....

ject

Serial No

bcrp me case becomes more complicated. In these circumstances the loan has to run. The D.C. may however be requested to do his best to make the recoveries from the mortgaged properties at least sufft to cover interest.

B
3/8

(Init 4s)

(3) The attention of the D.C. may be invited once again to our letter 29.10.25 - (K) and he may be asked to submit a report as to whether the annual running the Village is not capable of improvement & whether the charges now met from it cannot be reduced.

(4) His detailed views on this point and suggestions regarding the closure of the loan case in consultation with the Manjaras may be called for.

4/8

(5)

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.	
116	Draft letter put up for appl as per orders above on the previous page. JK 5826
	117 Issued By 6/8
23.	No Re 1051 dt 9.8.26 to the D.C. Mysore inviting his attention to the letter No Re 2305 dt 27/10/25 & Calling for a report.
	No intg Comm No F.6.2 Ac dt 15.11.26 from the D.C. Mysore.
	D.O Reminders Nos Re 8033 dt 16.4.27 9093 dt 4.6.27, & 94 dt 1.7.27 to the D.C. Mysore.
	92.7.27 Rep dt & Reminder No F. 62. Ac, from the D.C. Mysore. Stating that a final report will be sent on the 20th of this month. 118 Submitted for perusal. The report may be awaited. If not received before the 20th, a reminder will be issued. JK 29/7
	Final report may be ~~received~~ awaited. 119 Reminder if not received by the 22nd. PVC 15-7-27

No A.O.
Under the Circumstances stated in the Entry before this, the Entry in this page is cancelled. JK 5826

See A
JK 9.8.26

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 42 of 1921.

Serial No.

129.

No. F. 62. A.C. dy 10.12.27 from the D.C. Myso forwards a report in regard to the loan Account of mr. Siddaraj urs & Brothers amounting

130 For the genesis of the whole case reference is requested to the R Secys note dy 14.9.25 at pages 104 to 108 of note above. The principal of the loan is Rs 21500, and the amount demand on account of interest on the date of the note referred to was about Rs 40000. It is not known how far the arrears of interest have now risen.

131 The report of the S.D.O enclosed with D.O. dated ~~10.10.27~~ confirms the impression of Govt expressed in their letter No. R 2305 dy 27.10.25 The S.D.O suggests 2 alternatives, 1) to bring the property to sale after proper advertisement or (2) the Govt to assume the property free from all encumbrances. The suggestion no 2 is perhaps not altogether practicable, as Govt cannot take up the role of a receiver. In the case of mr. Devaraj urs loan, Govt was the sole Creditor and they easily proceed to take over the property in satisfaction of the balance of the loan outstanding. Govt have, here, also to be the Creditors to deal with them, the loans of the bond

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

Case to be consulted.

122 The D.C. suggests that Govt. may take over the property in full satisfaction of the loan and cancel the Mortgage-deed. or that it may be ascertained if the Palace would be prepared to purchase the property. In the 1st instance, the file may if agreed, be referred D.O. to the Secy for his opinion on the D.C's alternative suggestion. Then, the mortgage Bond may perhaps be obtained & looked into, prior to Govt's taking over the property.

123 Submit for orders with reference to the Secy's orders at paras 113 & 114 of my note.

16/12 124 Apparently the present Incumbent is indifferent about the settlement of the Govt. loan and the redemption of the village as he has not responded to the repeated requests of the D.C.

125 From the report of the S.D.O. Nanjangud there appears to be no prospect of improving the revenues of the village either

126 The only course seems to

D 2841/27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

be to arrange for a sale of the village.

127 But before doing so, the Huzur Secy may perhaps be consulted in the matter of ascertaining the wants of the present Inamdars and Krishnajaiumaniavarm of the Lady is above about the sale of the village

H Secy 19/12
16/12

128 A copy of the Dr's letter with the S. Dr's report may be sent to the Huzur Secretary for favour of opinion as regards the best way of adjusting during this long pending loan account - without loss to either of the parties -

2nd member. 4 18.12.27

129 Whether action can be taken by Govt for selling the Village may be ascertained by ref to the Board. 19/12

130 Have Survey and Settlement been introduced into this Village?

Smks 28/12/27

131 Draft

19/12
28/12 Sec S K
31/12/11

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
27 DEC. 1927
3406

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

15

Dowb Subd.

Fd. Secy for perusal & the 2nd Member's minute before issue

13 MV 5/1/24

Hemwd

4/1.

FINANCIAL ... ARY
6 JAN. 1928
No. 9726.

14

Issue.

MR

7/1/24

R 5469 dt 9.1.28 to the D.C. Anjore requires if under the terms of the Mortgage bond Govt. can sell the property and if Survey & Settlement have been introduced in Tumbarle Village. & calls for a copy of the Bond.

Gno 11/1

No F. 62/A.C dt 18.1.28 from the Comm. Mysore forwards a copy of the Mortgage Bond & states that no action can be taken to sell the Mortgaged property according to the terms of the bond, and that Survey & Settlement have been introduced into the Village.

Refer. paras 129 & 130.

135 The suggestion made by the D.C. in para 8 of his report is not

3346: H

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. — File No. 212 of 1927.

Serial No.

T

supported by Sir D.C (vide his letter of 10.12.27).

136 Ref. The alternative suggested by Sir Walter is now proved to be unavailing. The only course left open is to recall his Patent. Shall Sir Hugh Seey be now addressed as suggested in para 128 above

Submitted for orders

Sd 25/1

10705/26.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
27 JAN. 1928
No. 4117

137 Fldseey) MV 25/1/28

2nd member) 26/1/28

138 Action may be taken as suggested in the Fl Seey's Note of 15-12-27.

Sd 29.1.28

139 P.S. We may explain the position which is one of no repayt of any part of principal for over a quarter of a century, if Mr Meeting fully even the annual interest demand & of no provision in bond for sale by Court of Mortgaged property for recovery of Court loan. We may ask for the advice of the Hon Seey in these circs

Sd 29.1.28

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
30 JAN. 1928
No. 1085

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

140 29.1.28

Draft by 30/1 MV

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 212 of 1921.

3346

5429

Serial No. (1) Draft Subs circ Sipra.

Sec 31/1 Hbeen) MR 31/1/28

11054/1/2

1. Da. 20?

19/2

27 No 2E 615-6 dy 4.2.28 to the Hazara Secy forwards Copies of Lrsn with enclosure & requests for his opinion.

Secs 7/2

No 5268 dy 18.5.28 from the Hazara Secy. States that of the 2 boys, the claimant one has attained majority and that arrangements may be made to sell the village with the Consent of both until a Year by which time the other boy will be attaining majority.

Reference. Paras 128 & 138 of NWFs.

HC Subs for information. The papers will be Kept in Secs for a Year.

Sec 31/5 Secs This will be kept in 'Secs' under the circumstances explained by the Hazara Secy. Hbeen) MR 1-6

18075/16/6

HC 31/5 Secs Noted. 1/6/28

Note—Contn. sheet No. 1344

Secs B

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 212 of 1929.

Serial No.

Memo No 762-Ac dy 12.1.29 from the Dy Commr. Kyd Core. forwards a Copy of his letter to the Rev. Comm. in the matter of the loan Accounts of late Mr. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajamm-anavaru.

Petition No 1261 dy 4.1.29 from the Grandsons of the late Mr. K.S. Rangaraj Urs praying for Certain Concessions.

No The D.C's letter treats about the same points as are mentioned in the petition. Apparently, the petitioners have presented a Copy of their petition to the D.C. also.

Yes For a history of the Case in detail, which the D.C has himself traced in brief, Reference is requested to notes at Paras 104 to 108 ante and those ending with Mr. Members Order at Para 148.

Yes Since the Sturgen Secy's letter dy 18.5.28 the Urs Grandsons also appear to have attained majority. Now that the heirs are majors and they are anxious to see the loan liquidated, the interest-amity

t.

General and Revenue Secretariat | 4D File No 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

trial ~~as~~ was hitherto dogging the loan account is partly cleared up.

154 The position is as follows:—
The total loan sanctioned was Rs 27,500.
The rate of interest fixed was 5% and that of penal interest in the event of default was 12%. At the end of Dec 1928. the total outstandings were — Principal Rs 27500.
Interest ... 14528-7
(Vide para 2 of P.2 d)

155 The points for order are
1) the waiving of the penal rate of interest adopted so far &
2) authorising the sale of the property & disposal of the sale proceeds on certain conditions. Here are the prayers of the petitioners & also the recommendations of the D.C.

156 If penal interest were waived the position of the loan account details above will stand altered (according to D.C) as follows,—
Principal Rs 27500.
Interest — to the Credit of the debtor available for adjustment towards pnl — Rs 6842-5-11.
In fine, from the data furnished by the D.C. the net total amount to be waived is 6842-5-11 / 1452-8-7 / 8294-14-6 } or Rs 8294-14-6.

Subd. Barclay Bazaar has invited to
1) Reference on K C in Ct 113-25-3, half of the total interest was Credited towards Prl & the other half was, for all practical purposes remitted.
2) Shree Lu Raja B and Nandapur) in Ct P 91-26-18 the same Concession was allowed besides some more remissions.
3) Mr. Devaraj Urs. In this Case, the Concession given is not capable of definition in the same terms. The House property was taken in full satisfaction of the loan. The House was valued at about Rs 60000 & the loan outstanding was about Rs 55000. Also some interest was expressly remitted by Cadre Ch Appl. The file is sent up in some other Connection.

21/1 21/1

General and Revenue Secretariat

Q 2 — File No 2973/12 of 1921.

Serial No.

15 A glance at the figures in the "Interest" Column of the statement appended to Col. in the D.C's letter will show that the total interest so far adjusted is nearly double the amount of the Principal. The Concession prayed for in this Case is far more modest than that allowed in the Loan accounts of the Muddasani family and late Mr. D. Devaraj Urs.

15b Anyway, before passing orders on the question of waiving, it seems desirable to first proceed with the sale and see how much the property fetches. The D.C. has not commended to grant the petitioner's prayer of Govt. immediately releasing the property, as the Course is obviously not possible. He has however promised to enquire for sale, in Consultation with the Standard. May he be authorised to proceed?

1st Point for orders.

As regards the Loan account, there is perhaps no necessity of Consulting the Comptl, as the D.C. himself maintains these accounts & ~~it is to be sent~~ ~~this~~ figures to the Audit Office.

It is also for orders if the Sturgeon Sec may not be consulted with reference to the previous Correspondence with him.

2nd Pt — Do —

1-am and interim native Subfor orders. An Order to the petitioner is prepa

Dy 12/1 S.D.

12/1/29

12/1/29

What is the Concession we wished to show in the cases of 1. Bakshi Basabhatta Gudadur 2. Nandagudi Devaraja Urs 3. Dalavai Venkatappa Urs

Note.—Cuttings sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.

2328/28/1

157 B/W the recommendations of the D.C. contained in the last para of this letter may perhaps be approved.

158 As regards the mode of adjustment of the sale proceeds, it seems to me to be premature to settle the matter at this stage.

A.L.Sen) MR 21/1/29

158 Let the reference from the Rev Commr also come.

159 He may be requested to offer his opinion and recommendations.

L. 22.1.29

Ho Draft MR 23/-

161. Draft put up as ordered above

WMac 23.1. 8.02.

162 Issued MR

3768/28/2

GN

The letter W/ the Rev. Commr should have been entered as a receipt. MR.

× × + × MR 23/

No C.2994/28-29 from the Rev. Commr states that the D.C's recommendations may be sanctioned.

WB Return. Orders at para 159. Submitted with reference to the orders above.

DL 23/2 1st A.L.Sen) MR 23/

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

165 The heirs of the debtor pray that the Inam Village may be taken over by Govt. for Rs 45000. The buying in of Inam Villages, so as to extinguish the Inam tenure, as a matter of general policy is being considered in the Revenue and I do not think orders of Govt. laying down general principles for guidance are under issue. A copy of this order may be obtained and filed.

166 It is said in the petition that there are two suits affecting the Village in the Sub Court Mayuram and Munsif's Court Sirkazhi. Before a decision can be reached as to the disposal of the Inam Village, whether by privately negotiation or by public auction as suggested by the DC Mayuram, or by Govt. buying it over as requested by the petitioners, it is necessary to find out about the nature of the suits in and how the subject matter under litigation will affect the course of action to be taken by Govt.

167 I shall be obliged if the Legal Remembrancer will kindly obtain information from the Court concerned regarding the above and advise us as to the best way of disposing of the Inam Village.

A

168 The reference is made to the Prohibition branch to save time, as correspondence with the DC will mean delay.

To L. R with compliments. — 25/2/29 HS

169 Please obtain the information

H.S.R.

G.O not yet issued
File (D No 929)
Sub with D No
to 11 17 on 11-2-29.
26/2

H.S.
Put up
later
4/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

Secy's Reg 53

Laws 2/4

upd to above through the Regr, Chief Ch.

D 4/4/29 2/4

170 Perusal of memos Siddaraja Urs & Devaraj Urs does not disclose the number & date of the suits in the Sub-Ct Mysore & Munsiff's Court Seringapatam. Draft letter enquiring about the same putup.

DMP 7.2.Apr 28/4

171 A. Instead of asking the petitioners to furnish this information, we may as well ask them to furnish copies of the plaints in the two suits referred to by them - as also of the Seringapatam suit.

4/3

172 Urs. Draft D 8/3 Secy

Laws 8/3

173 Revised draft putup

DMP 15.3.29 Apr 1573

The Issue 15/3

To M. N. Siddaraj Urs etc
P 5223/Laws dated 16.3.29
requesting for copies of plaints

Urs A DMP 16.3.29

Letter dated 3rd April 1929 from Mr M. N. Siddaraja Urs forwarding

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

Copies of plaints asked for.

176 O.S. 30 of 27.28 in the Sub Ct. Vizag is a suit on a mortgage, the value of the relief claimed being Rs 4000/- (Rs 2000 principal & Rs 2000/- interest) & further interest & costs. Item (1) of the schedule is Tummerele village & in para 3 of the plaint, it is alleged that the suit properties have been sold to B. Thimma 4th defendant.

176 O.S. 725 of 26.27 in the Munsiff's Ct Srikakulam is also a suit on mortgage, the relief claimed being valued at Rs 1,095-4-0. Item no 9 is Tummerele village & it is alleged in para 4 of the plaint that all the plaint items except this item no 9 are sold to the 5th deft B. Thimma.

177 Submitted with reference to the portion marked A in the Financial Secy's note dated 25.2.29 above.

Dop 4.4.29 178 (L.R.) 5/4

179 No property has been mortgaged according to the copies of plaints. Besides, it is alleged to have been already sold to the 4th deft in the Sub Court suit.

180 It is better to await the result of the litigation, unless the parties to the suits are agreeable to the proposed

NOTE—Contn. sheet

3657 6470

3657/28 P

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. 92 File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

Subs to Govt

To Secretariat Campo.

181 Please furnish a draft to the D.C. Kasaba

192 Draft Subd.

193 To Secy

184 1st Member

185 Mins 24.4.29

No. H 7959 of 26.4.29 to the D.C. Mysore states that it is not possible to proceed with the sale of the property by private negotiation or publication for reasons given.

No 428 of 14.9.29 from the Dewan's Office forwarding the petition of the present Inamdars.

(6) The requests of the petitioners are :- 1) to remit the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

SECRETARY 12 APR. 1929 5724

APR. 1929 NO. 6593

General and Revenue Secretariat. — File No. 212 of 192 /.

Serial No.

whole of the principal outstanding or in the alternative, a substantial portion thereof 2) to fix the loan amount to be paid to Govt. (3) to permit them to dispose of the property by private sale within a fixed time or in the open Market at the most favourable price.

187 Kindly refer to the DC's letter of 12.1.29 and to the previous petition from the same quarters of 29-12-28. The request now made & the prayer one are identical except that a prayer is now confined for the remission of a substantial portion of the principal, while the waiving of the penal interest and the Govt themselves purchasing the property were also asked for.

188 For any sort of move in any direction, the legal opinion says that the suits pending against the parties in the Civil Court should reach disposal Vide para 189 of the note ante.

189 The decision was Communicated to the DC, Mysore Vide letter of 26.4.29 The DC has not sent any reply as yet.

C 3

9 3657/22

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 212 of 1921

Serial No.

B

190 If appd, the petition will be sent to the D.C in terms of our letter of 26/4/29 and he may be requested to examine the position to the petitioners and suggest in consultation with them any measure consistent with the legal opinion already intimated to the D.C.

191 Until the sale proceeds are realised, the prayer for remission of interest on portion of principal cannot perhaps be considered. Submit for orders.

8/10

8/10/29

192 The course outlined at A is a feasible one in view of the legal difficulties involved in the adoption of any other course.

RD 5.10.29

193 There is no need to send the petition to the DC - The proposal to remit the whole of the Principal or even a substantial portion of it cannot be entertained.

194 There is however no objection to the petitioners applying for a private sale by the mortgagee bank provided the purchasers are bound to the proceeds towards repayment of loan and no obligations are imposed on govt.

8/10/29

195 I agree (Illegible)

CO 10/29

4484/8/10

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 9 OCT. 1929 No. 2220

* It is already doubtful if the petnrs will be able to find a purchaser

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPR—30,000—26-7-29

1964/X

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

No 404-6/Loans dt. 8-11-29 forwarded Compt'ler forwards an abstract of his present position of the loan account & state that the balance has been taken as acknowledged by the debtor under his fo dt 18-7-28.

Subj. The argument advanced by the Nawab against his endorsing the balance does not seem to be tenable as that this matter has no bearing with the petition before Govt. In fact no legal is pending with Govt who have already given their decision as per para 193 + 194 above. ~~Also~~ in this letter dt 16/10/29

Also for the Stt of account, please see also the Dc's letter dt: 12-1-29. But there is no medium of comparison between the two.

Subd for orders & instructions, if the Dc be reminded with reference to Govt-Letter dt 16/10/29.

DK 16/11 207

The Dc. may be reminded

16/11

(Secy) 16/11/29

2014

Reminder put up.

20/11

6138/29/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPE—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

30.6.29 amount to :—
Prl ... Rs 27,500
Interest 632-7-9
28,132-7-9

209
The petitioner's request reduces itself in essence to a write off of about Rs 2000/-
As already noted in paras 186 to 191 of this note it is ordered in paras 193 to 195 and having regard to the legal opinion in para 180 Nothing more appears to be possible now than what has been ordered in para 194 viz: the Petitioner negotiating for a possible sale without prejudice to the interests of Govt.
The D.C has been informed accordingly & the petitioners have also been so informed.

Com 210 The latest Comm from the DC is of 12.2.30. DC is now known why the Debtor has not replied to the DC so far.
A draft is submitted for Consideration.
SK 11.2.30
211 The draft proposed is approved. Regarding the Communication to the Mysore Govt, a separate letter may be put up.
A(S)
7.3.30

212 The request for concession has already been considered & rejected. Vide para 180. Address DC accordingly. Mysore Govt. as known how the matter stands -
K 13.3.30.

11556 6/3

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

~~G 2~~ File No. 212 of 192 1 .

Serial No.

praying for remission of a substantial portion of principal, the question was discussed in paras 186 to 195 of this above & orders in accordance with paras 193 to 195 were communicated in R.C 6 & 14.10.29 both to the D.C. & the Petitioners.

218 Over again, when the Parties presented a Copy of the said Petition to the R. Secy an Endt in R. 2664 & 24.10.29 issued inviting the Deputy attention to the decision already Communicated In reference to all these instructions & Govt, the D.C. Mysore wrote in Dec 29 that he had still heard anything from the Parties

219 On yet another petition filed before the Hon'ble Secy - Vide paras 207 to 212 of this - the D.C. was addressed about the present stage of the matter.

220 The present reference from the Dc is the reply to the said enquiry.

221 On 20th March last Certain other duplicate petns were

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2/2 of 1921.

Serial No.

recd thro Law & Ch. Secretaries (linked file) urging the same reqists which were already ordered upon.

It may thus be seen that no request of the party is pending consideration, unless it be that they have petitioned the R.C since 4.12.29 — Vide Dis letter for orders if the DC be 1) written back to draw attention to the rule — 2) 26.10.29 or 2) the R.C addressed if any petn is pending w/s him or 3) the petners themselves addressed to approach the DC & act with reference to the previous instructions of Govt.

Submitted for orders

Sd/- 21.4.30

225 Sd/- 22/4/30

Pl. Secy)

226 No practicable solution for the final settlement of this long pending case is in sight.

Reference is invited to Serial 27 - para 143 and L.R's opinion paras 179 & 180.

227 The Hyderabad Govt may, I think, be requested again to advise us as regards the disposal of the case. The file may be laid by.

(Sl. Member) 228 Sd/- 22/4/30

23/4/30

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

No. 6440

Note—Contn. Sheet

4617-27
H

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 42 of 1927.

Serial No.

Paras 227 & 228 above.

Forwarded with Compliments to the Hon'ble Secy to H.H.

B.O

Rd Venkatarama

Financial Secretary

No 3473/31-5-30.

Returned with compliments to the Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Government granted loans to encumbered estate holders with the generous intention of helping them to save their property from the clutches of --- creditors. They have always dealt leniently in collecting such debts and have remitted large sums of interest in several cases. It will be seen from the note on the slip attached near para 155 of the notes that half of the interest was excused in the case of Nandagudi Inamdars. I think the same principle may be followed and that remissions similar to what was granted in that case may be sanctioned in the present case also.

	Rs
Amount of loan	27,500- 0- 0
Interest due up to December 1928 ..	42,911- 8- 8
This amount includes penal interest at 12% per annum which may be wholly waived.	6,765-12- 8
The balance of interest due up to end of 1928 would be	36,145-11- 4
If remission of half interest is sanctioned on the same principle as in the case of Nandagudi family the amount to be remitted would be	18,072-13-8

D.O. No 136/H
R 257/4/30.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
2 JUN

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

CHIEF SECRETARY R 3234 10 JAN 1930 REVENUE BRANCH

No. H4323-51

Dated, Bangalore, 21st Decr 1929.

64 Jany 30

603

Official Memorandum. 41-29-1

The accompanying Annual Statements of Loans and Advances for 1928-29 received from the Comptroller are forwarded, with compliments, for favour of expediting recovery of the outstandings and intimating the action taken to the Financial Secretariat.

" By Order "

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The ..R.e.v.e.n.u.e.. Branch.

E.W.M/4.

19(a) Private Estates under Government Management for repayment of Loans.

28(a) Loans to Jahagirdars.

Statement showing the loan account of the late Mr. M. Se
unit Kuchnajanmanivaram from May 1895 to June 29.

Period	Loan advanced	Loan repaid	Balance of loan	Interest realized	Penal interest	Remarks
May 1895	19,000 a a	- - -	19,000 a a	- - -	- - -	Loan sanctioned in G.O. No 1720-1/R 2445 dy 13-4-1895
June 95, May & June 96	- - -	658 5 4	18,341 10 8	1,091 10 8	- - -	Rs 1750/- paid by the Inamdars
May 1897	10,000 a a	- - -	28,341 10 8	- - -	- - -	Loan sanctioned in G.O. No 9264-5/R.T. 106-96 dy 25-4-1897
"	- - -	841 10 8	27,500 a a	980 4 "	- - -	Loan fixed in G.O. No R 7853-4/9-T-274-04-6 dy 18-5-1905
~~June 1898~~				~~do~~		at Rs 27,500/-
June 1898	- - -	- - -	27,500 a a	400 a a	- - -	Paid by the Inamdars
1898-99 to 1904-05	- - -	- - -	27,500 a a	663 7 a	- - -	do
"	- - -	- - -	27,500 a a	- - -	6765 12 8	Excise revenue of the Tumbanerle estate due to the Inamdars adjusted towards penal interest due from them for the period during which the estate was under their own management. Vide G.O. No R 2157-60/9-T-111-15-27 dy 20-9-1919.
1905-06 to 1928-29	- - -	- - -	27,500 a a	44,108 13 9	- - -	Amount adjusted towards interest due on the loan out of the income of the estate during the period it has been under Govt management.
Total	29,000 a a	1,500 a a	27,500 a a	47,744 3 5	6765 12 8	

Examined & found correct
for Asstt. Comptroller
Hall 2/1/30

Loan account of Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni - Payments towards the Account - after Loan Ledger

Year.	Loan			Interest		
1894-95	603	2	8	146	13	4
1895-96	555	2	8	944	13	4
1896-97	841	10	8	917	1	3
1897-98	—	—	—	63	2	9
	—	—	—	400	—	—
1904-05	—	—	—	6637	—	—
	1500	00	00	3135	5	8
1905-06	—	—	—	1170	7	5
1906-07	—	—	—	1867	15	9
1907-08	—	—	—	1693	2	6
1908-09	—	—	—	2198	12	9
1909-10	—	—	—	2210	15	7
1910-11	—	—	—	1930	6	—
1911-12	—	—	—	2500	—	—
1912-13	—	—	—	1640	10	11
1913-14	—	—	—	2062	7	3
1914-15	—	—	—	1611	6	6
1915-16	—	—	—	1578	3	8
1916-17	—	—	—	1685	1	5
1917-18	—	—	—	1332	2	8
1918-19	—	—	—	6861	6	5
1919-20	—	—	—	815	8	—
1920-21	—	—	—	2694	3	8
1921-22	—	—	—	2547	4	5
1922-23	—	—	—	2050	—	—
1923-24	—	—	—	1948	11	5
1924-25	—	—	—	1865	7	—
1925-26	—	—	—	2215	5	6
1926-27	—	—	—	1809	10	—

658-5-4 towards Loan
1091-10-8 towards Int
1750-0-0

(Includes Penal Int of 6765-12-9)

Year	Loan			Int		
1927-28	—	—	—	2465	12	9
1928-29	—	—	—	2119	8	10
	1500	—	—	57010	—	1

M 7/3/30.

Details of Penal Int

1898-99	176-5-5
899-900	478-14-1
900-901	726-5-1
901-02	992-3-1
902-03	1263-1-1
903-04	1548-6-10
904-05	1587-14-8
	6765-12-5

or a letter or Comptroller or article and clause (not article only) of Chapter 9 also if the clause quoted be 270 (1), (2), (3), the nature of the public purpose must be stated as shortly as possible.

No H 4823/29/4700 (5)
29/4 3214

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 41 of 1929.

Serial No. 3

No 1608 dt 12-4-30 from the Revenue stating the ~~exact~~ position of the loan account of the Siddavaram Unn & requesting that a sum of Rs 20,000/ may be remitted out of the loan & the balance being permitted to be discharged in 5 years in the manner indicated.

2 The loan question under adverted is under consideration in the Fin Branch. All the connected files referred to in the D/D have been put up & subs in that Branch. This may also be transferred there for necessary ~~further~~ action. Subs for orders.

Rly 22/4

W

4 A. Yes.

28/4

Transfd to Fin Branch

Subs. The definite proposal of the Rc is contained in the penultimate para of Rnd. The original file was sent R.O to the Surgeon Genl under orders on the 24.4.30.

6 The information already before Govt is :— That two Sinki kanige, of Canara & secondary Charge, on the properties mortgaged to Govt are pending

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

vi Semiapatam Court.

If no sale of the property is proposed to be made immediately, then the R.C's proposal may perhaps be regarded as practicable. But, whether any Commission can be brought of when the Loan account is settled finally closed alongside is a matter for orders.

Orders are kept if the return of the file send D.O. to the Hyderabad Secy may be awaited or this also send similarly in Continuation.

29/4

This may be sent D.O. to the Hyderabad Secretary in continuation of the previous file, ~~for final decision~~ ~~opinion~~ if approved.

7.5.30

To Secy to Govt

No. The case should be worked up and the views and arguments should be examined in the Legal branch in consultation with the Comptroller-General.

9/5

Await the return of the papers for the Hyderabad Secretary

10/5

The Govt. letter of May 1930 from the Hyderabad Govt. to the Union Govt. re Loan Account.

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

8

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 546/SPL 9-11-6-30 of 192

Serial No.

U.O.No. 61/md/10-6-30.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
13 JUNE ... 30
No.

Returned with compliments.

The loan account figures given in the Revenue Commissioner's letter have been verified and found correct except in the following cases.—

Para 3. The amount adjusted towards interest out of Rs.1,750/- paid by the Inamdars to the end of June 1896 is stated to be Rs.1,090-10-8. The correct figure is Rs.1,091-10-8.

A sum of Rs.663-7-0 was paid by the Inamdars in 1904-05 towards interest and this is not mentioned in this para. It is included in Rs1063-7-0 mentioned in Para 6.

Para 5 and 6.-The correct amounts realized on account of interest and penal interest are as follows—

Rs.3,135	5	8	Paid by the Inamdars when the estate were under their own management (1894-95 to 1904-05).
Rs44,108	13	9	Amount adjusted out of the income of the ~~Dudhu~~ estate during the period it was under Government Management (1905-06 to 1928-29).
Rs.6,765	12	8	Penal interest due from 1898-99 to 1904-05 adjusted in 1918-19 out of the estate's excise revenue due to the Inamdars.
Rs54,010	0	1.	Totals.

Para 6. Correct figures are as follows:-

	Revenue Commissioner's figure.	Correct figure.
Interest at 5% on Rs.27500/- from 1905-06 to 1928-29 (Rs.1375 x 24) years.	32,000 0 0	33,000 0 0
Arrears of interest due for the period 1897-98 to 1904-05	9,036 9 0	9,936 9 0
Total amount of interest due upto 1-7-29.	41,036 9 0	42,936 9 0

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

(10)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. — File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

A brief summary of the case is indicated below:-

(1) As per G.O.No.R.17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 a sum of Rs 19,000/- was advanced as loan to Mr.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru on the mortgage of Thumnerle village, Nanjangud Taluk, under the rules for the relief of encumbered Jahgirdars and Inamdars on the condition that the annual instalment of interest and principal should be Rs 1,500/-, interest being calculated at 5 per cent and that on failure to pay the instalments regularly, penal interest on overdue instalments should be calculated at 12 per cent.

(2) The Inamdars applied for an additional loan of Rs 10,000/- in March 1897 and Government reduced the original loan to Rs 17,500 (after appropriating receipt of Rs 1,500/- towards the principal) and directed that the total consolidated loan of Rs 27,500 may be repaid by annual instalments of Rs 2,500 inclusive of interest from 1st July 1897. The Inamdars defaulted and the village was taken under Government management as per orders dated 18th May 1905 and receipts from the village were appropriated for adjustment towards interest till the year 1928-29.

(3) For the period 1898-99 to 1904-05 (when the

n. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

village was under the management of Inamdars) no interest was paid by the parties and Government ordered that the amount due (as penal interest) should be adjusted out of the Excise Revenue due to the Inamdars.

0

30 In the case of the Nandagudi family, however, loans on the mortgage of their Inam and Kayamgutta villages ~~were granted~~ and kavals inclusive of the toddy revenues of their villages were granted in 1894 and 1895 subject to the following conditions of repayment:-

vide LR 234-24 (1-6010)

(1) that annually a sum of not less than Rs.4,500/- towards principal and interest at 5 per cent should be paid by the mortgagors;

(ii) that in case of default of payment of any instalment for over 30 days from due date, the entire amount and interest thereon should become payable at once;

(iii) that in case of such default the property should become liable to ~~be~~ sold in toto or in part.

31 The properties of the Nandagudi family came under Government management owing to the failure of the Inamdars to pay up the amounts due and as the income derived from the villages was not enough to cover the interest on the loan, Government ordered the issue of a notice to the Inamdars that

5247/32

13

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. 47 File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

from time to time towards the loan and interest and adjusted in accounts are given below:-

Amount of loan granted

May 1895	Rs.19,000
May 1897	"10,000
Total	Rs. 29,000
Received towards this between June 1895 and May 1897..	" 1,500
Balance of loan outstanding.	Rs. 27,500

This amount was fixed in Government dated 18-5-1905.

Amount credited towards the loan between 1928-29 and 1929-30.	Rs. 2,268- 1-2
Net Balance ..	Rs. 25,231-14-10

Amount of interest at 5 per cent realised and credited from time to time (since June 1895 to 1929-30). ... Rs.47,364-12-7

Penal interest at 12% adjusted from out of the Excise Revenue for the period the estate was under the management of the parties. ...	Rs. 6,765-12-8
Total Interest realised.	Rs.54,130- 9-3

1490/28/7

35 The rates of ordinary ~~interest~~ interest and penal interest on the loan stood at 5 and 12 per cent respectively.

36 A statement relating to the loan account obtained from the Comptroller's Office is also attached.

Sd. 28-7-30

Fd Secy

26/9/30

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Statement of account relating to the loan advanced to the Inamdars of Kumbanerle for the repayment of debts due by his aunt Krishnajammaniavaru from May 1895 to June 1930.

Period	Loan advanced	Loan repaid	Balance of loan	Interest realized	Penal interest adjusted	Amount of interest overdue	Remarks
				Revenue			
May 1895	19,000 — —						Loan sanctioned in G.O. No 1720-1/R 2445 dt 13th April 1895.
June 95, May & June 96.		658 5 4	18,341 10 8	1,091 10 8			Rs 1,750/- paid by the Inamdars.
May 1897	10,000 — —		28,341 10 8				Loan sanctioned in G.O. No 9264-5/R 2-106-96 dt 25-4-1897.
"		841 [illegible] 8	27,500 — —	980 4 "			Loan joined in G.O. No H 7853-4/97274-04-6 dt 18-5-1905 at Rs 27,500/-
June 1898				400 — —		974 " 8	Paid by the Inamdars
1898-99 to 1904-05				663 7 "			——— do ———
"					6,765 12 8	9,935 9 8	Excise revenue of the Kumbanerle village due to the Inamdars adjusted towards penal interest due from them for the period during which the estate was under their own management. Vide G.O. No H 2157-60/97111-15-27 dt 20-9-19.
1905-06 to 1929-30		2,268 1 2	25,231 14 10	44,229 6 11 (a)			Amount adjusted towards the loan account out of the income of the estate during the period it has been under Govt management
	29,000 — —	3,768 1 2	25,231 14 10	47,364 12 7	6,765 12 8		
				54,130-9-3			

(a) Arrears to end of 04-05 — 9,935-9-8

Amt on 27,500/- for 05-06 to 28-29 (23 yrs) — 31,625-0-0

" on Bal 28-29 — 1,353-9-0

" — 29-30 — 1315-4-3

44,229-6-1

15

L1823

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. 97 File No. 212 of 1921.

Serial No.

38) I do not think that this case is on all fours with that of the Nandigudi family. Besides the financial position of the State at present does not admit of heavy remission of interest and write off of the principal amount.

39 It seems to me that the loan account may be closed on the following lines if the debtors are agreeable to pay in one lumpsum the balance due by them, by the disposal of any of the mortgaged properties otherwise in 3 months.

1) Interest to be charged at 4 p.c. instead of 5, from the Commencement.

2) Penal interest to be remitted and only ordinary interest at 4 per cent to be charged for the intervening period

3) Interest on the principal amount not to be charged from 1st July 1930 till date of payments, on the assumption that the whole account will be adjusted before the end of Dec. 1930.

40) On these calculations roughly half the amount might be remitted if the balance be paid in full within the specified time.

1st Member 8.8.30

OFFICE OF THE ... OIL
11 AUG. 1930
965

41) This loan was advanced more than 30 year ago and practically all the realisations from the mortgaged village have been appropriated towards interest. As the position stands, there is no hope whatever of any reduction in the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Serial No.

of the case:-

1) All realisations from the mortgaged Inam village from 1st July 1929 to be credited towards the principal of the loan;

2) No interest to be charged from 1st July 1929;

3) Penal interest of Rs 6,765-12-8 to be adjusted towards the principal;

4) The debtors to be required to pay in cash a sum of Rs 5,000/- within a period of, say, three months;

5) The balance due towards the principal, to be appropriated from the ordinary interest already recovered and credited as such in accounts.

(b) If the arrangement suggested above is adopted, the family will get back its property and Government will have to permit an appropriation for repayment of the principal of about Rs 13,000 out of Rs 47,364-12-9 recovered towards ordinary interest, besides ~~a similar appropriation of the~~ the adjustment of penal interest referred to above.

(c) There is, however, one point about which it is necessary to be assured before allowing the concessions suggested above. The object of the concessions is to restore the property to the family. If, however, the family has other debts and the Inam village would go to its other creditors, there is no need for Government to be considerate in settling this loan matter and the village

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

village might be sold and the Government dues recovered.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 13 AUG. 1930 644

48) With reference to his note dated 31st May 1930 in the linked file, the Huzur Secretary might be informed that Government are disposed to deal with the case on the above lines provide that the debtors are willing to pay a sum of Rs 5,000 in full satisfaction of the debt within a period of three months and provided also the Inam village realeased from mortgage to Government will become the ~~family~~ property of the family and not ~~passed~~ to any of its other creditors.

12.8.30

Dewan)

49) 13-8-30

50) Send with a draft to the Huzur Secy. for a memo of Enquiry to the R.C.

With reference to the rider in the Member's minute in para 47 above, from the information already before Govt., there are now two suits against the self-same properties, of the value of about Rs 5,100.

SK 16/8 with 51) Dft. approved. AG 18/8/30

2212/18/8

Secy 3/10/30

HSecy)

52) Done

19/9/30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

To the Huzur Secretary to H.H. No 1099 d 19.1.31. Enquires if the debtors are willing to pay a Sum of Rs 5000/- in full Satisfaction of the debt etc

From the Huzur Secretary, No 1099 d 19.1.31
States that the Inamdar has deposited the Sum of Rs 5000/- at the Palace Treasury and recommends that the Inam village may be kept under Govt management for a period of (ten) 5 years.

53) Draft G.O. Submitted for approval.

RW 23/1/31

After approval this will be got typed.
[This is to be treated as a council matter]

264

Revised typed draft Submitted.

RW 27/1

54) Draft order put up for consideration
The balance shown in the 1st member's minute dated 12.8.30, para 4 6 may require slight change as shown in pencil in the margin of the typed draft.
This is to be treated as Council matter

RW 27.1.31

H Secy)

55) Clean draft

Figures duly carefully checked

L 28-1-31

56) Clean draft put up

RW

Figures obtained from Comptroller's Office have been entered in pencil. After approval final fair draft will be put up.

H Secy)

RW 31.1.31

31-1-31

Fair draft put up

RW

Rs 5000 has been credited to Govt account after which the village may be released from Govt management

6018

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 212 of 1931.

Serial No.

57) Revised typed draft after further verification in Comptroller's Office is submitted

9/2/31

58) Revised typed draft for approval

A.S. 9.2.31

H.Sec.)

The figures have been verified in the Comptroller's Office (vide pencil comments)

OFFICE OF THE TUTOR TO H.H. THE YUVARAJA COUNCIL
10 FEB. 1931
4617

4/5 Tri

Is this not a council matter? or should a report be made to H.H.

9/2/31

59) 3rd number Dewan 9.2.31.

Yes. This is a Council matter. Mr Nanadagnde Case also was treated as such. Vide also item 19 of App. B Schedule according to which loans above the value of Rs 10,000 are to be treated as Council Cases.

A.S. 9.2.31

60) The Hur Secy has not stated whether the family has any other debts and whether it can keep the estate free from mortgage & Encumbrance

12-2-31

Draft- 12.2.31.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
11 FEB. 1931
4490

61) Draft submitted for approval

13/2

A.S. 13-2-31

H.Sec.)

13/2/31

(Issued)

5 : 4
K

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 212 of 1931

Serial No.

K

in G.O. dt 24.9.30.
63) Submitted for orders with reference to para 60 supra

The draft already put up is in the file
o/o for orders

11/3/31

11.3.31

DEWAN'S OFFICE
5073
13. MAR. 1931

OFFICIAL
12 MAR 1931
400.

Flden)
S/1
1 - member)
12.3.31

(12/3/31

Dewan)
12.3.31

13-3-31

As this appears to be a Council matter the file is forwarded to the Chief Secy. for compliance.
17.3.31.

C.S
19/3.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8

For Disposal by Circulation.

No. C. 348

of 1930-31

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter.

Subject.

Proposal to close the loan account of Mr. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammanniavaru, Inamdars of Tumbanerle village, Nanjangud Taluk, by adjustment of an amount of Rs. 20,231-14-10 credited in the accounts as interest towards the principal and placing a supplemental demand for the amount before the Legislative Council.

Circulated to the Members of Council.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

23rd March 1931.

585/23/3 First Member of Council.

8749/24/3 Second Member of Council.

6146/25/3 Third Member of Council.

For favour of a final note

First Member.

682/27/3.

Sigen F.N. is placed.

28/3

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First *Member of Council* regarding the loan account of Mr. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail
Dewan.
30-3-37

NG

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Loan Account of Mr. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru.

Under the scheme for the relief of encumbered Jahgirdars, a loan of Rs 29,000/- was sanctioned in 1895 and 1897 to Mr. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru and it was expected that the amount would be recovered in annual instalments of Rs 2,500 inclusive of interest. There was default in the payment of annual instalments and the Inam village of Tumbanerle, which had been taken as security for the loan, was accordingly taken over under Government management in 1905. The net income from the village has fallen below the anticipation and the result is that there is no prospect of the repayment of the loan at all.

The loan was advanced more than 30 years ago and practically all the reaslisations from the mortgaged village have been appropriated towards interest. The outstanding loan was Rs 25,231-14-10 on 30th June 1929. The amount recovered towards interest is as much as Rs 54,139-3-0 of which about Rs 47,000/- has been treated as ordinary interest and about Rs 7,000/- as penal interest. The sum recovered is about ¼ of the principal of the loan and in view of this and of the fact that the present representatives of the family are two young brothers not particularly well off - the eldest of them being only a clerk on Rs 25/- - the case calls for considerate treatment. The object of the loan was to free the Inam estate from encumbrance and enable the family to have the benefit of it, and it would not, therefore, be right to permit the transaction to become a permanent loan, which is the position as it now stands.

Page 17 of Office Notes

The following settlement was accordingly suggested:-

1) All realisations from the mortgaged Inam village from 1st July 1929 to be credited towards the principal of the loan;

2) No interest to be charged from 1st July 1929;

3) Penal interest of Rs 6,765-12-8 already recovered to be adjusted towards the principal;

4) The debtors to be required to pay in cash immediately a sum of Rs 5,000/-;

and 5) The balance due towards principal to be adjusted from the ordinary interest already recovered.

76 H

As the debtors belong to an Arasu family, the Huzur Secretary to His Highness was consulted in the matter and he has intimated that the debtors have agreed to the proposed settlement and have deposited a sum of Rs 5,000/- towards the final closing of the Loan account. They have, however, requested that the management of the Inam village might continue to be under Government for a few years more and this request is supported by the Huzur Secretary.

Pages 22 & 23 of Notes.

The Dewan and Members of Council are agreeable to the settlement of the account on the above lines and I request that it may be graciously approved.

M. V. Lakshmikantha Rau

Bangalore,
28th March 1931.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

5764/2

348

Settlement of the loan account of Rt. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammanni avaru

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Finl Department.

7/4

963/8/4

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 8/4/31

925/8/4

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 8/4/31

6661/8/4

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 8/4/31

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER
9 APR. 1931
No. 976

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Finl Secy. with Comps.

May the draft issue?

1st member 9.4.31 Yes 9/4/31

(Issue)

6535 c24/7

DIARY No. 4408/22

1921 - 22.

G.7

212-24.

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. Loans 1244.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 6th June 1922

8 JUN 1922

FROM

T.Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
~~M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,~~
Offg. Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Myso

This may be separately dealt with. The Draft which the first Case is may be noted with regard to file now under Submission to Dewan & the second Case noted upon & Connected papers submitted

10/6

To

M.N.Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honour to state that out of a loan of Rs.1,25,000 sanctioned in Government Order No.~~Fl.361-7~~ Gl.Fl.29-05 dated 21st August 07 to the late Mr.Bakshi Basappaji Urs, one of the encumbered Jahgirdar Rs.1,05,521-10-8 is outstanding against his loan account. The loan had to be repaid in annual instalments of Rs.6,000 and if the instalments had been paid regularly Rs.90,000 would have been paid by this time whereas a sum of Rs.19,461-11-4 only has been adjusted towards principal out of his estate account leaving a large amount of Rupees 70,538-4-8 overdue towards the loan.

2. Out of Rs.29,000/- granted to Mr.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammanni Avaru in G.O.Nos.17220-1/RF.2445 and 9264-65/RF.106-96 dated 13-4-1895 and 25-4-1897 respectively Rs.27,500 and Rs.4,977-15-10 are due towards loan and interest respectively, the loan amount outstanding since the year 1898. The loan had to be repaid in annual instalments of Rs.2,500 and if the instalments had been paid regularly the loan would have been fully discharged by 1909. The amount of Rs.1500/- adjusted towards principal has been paid prior to 1897-98.

As large amounts are overdue and the loan in the second case is outstanding from a very long time, the two cases are brought to

special notice of Government for orders as to what action should be taken for the recovery of the overdue amounts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Ramanarayan

for Comptroller.

U. F.

4408
FC

Extract from GF 71-21-10

Letter No.Loans 1244 dated 6th June 1922 from the Comptroller Mysore Government to the Financial Secretary to Government.

I have the honour to state that out of a loan of Rs.1,25,000 sanctioned in Government order No.Fl.861-7/Gl.Fl.29-05 dated 21st August 1907 to the late Mr.Bakshi Basappaji Urs, one of the encumbered Jahgirdars Rs.1,05,521-10-8 is outstanding against his loan account. The loan had to be repaid in annual instalments of Rs.6,000 and if the instalments had been regularly Rs.90,000 would have been paid by this time whereas a sum of Rs.19461-11-4 only has been adjusted towards principal out of his estate account leaving a large amount of Rupees 70,538-4-8 overdue towards the loan.

2. X X X X X X

As large amounts are overdue and the loan in the ~~above~~ case is outstanding from a very long time, the ~~two~~ cases ~~are~~ brought to the special notice of Government for orders as to what action should be taken for the recovery of the overdue amounts.

G. R. S. VI—G/32].

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 5/8/22

Signed by W Mc Ranganathan

(para 2 only wholetyped)

Section G1

File No. 212-24

Serial No. 3211

No. H742

& 5 Aug pr

To

The Deputy Comr Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to ~~request~~ forward herewith extract ~~from~~ of letter No 6043 12444 dated 6th June 1922 from the comptroller regarding the loan granted to Mr Siddaraj urs and Krishnarajammani awarru and ~~that as the loan account has been pending~~ to request you to be so good as to consult the present representatives of the debtors as to whether they are agreable to ~~sell~~ the ~~transfer~~ of a part of their property for ~~closing the loan~~ adjusting the loan account which has been pending too long.

to state that as the entire amount of the loan of Rs 27,500 is still outstanding and there is also a sum of Rs 4977-15-10 due on account of interest to 1921-22 Govt consider it necessary that some portion of the property should be sold ~~with a view to reduce the debtor's liability and to~~ for closing the loan account

I am

D

and to submit a report in the matter ~~to be submitted~~ ~~by me be submitted~~ for the orders of Govt.

Yours obt

[illegible]

for Secretary

No. 7743
dt 5th Aug/22

Copy to Comptroller with reference to his letter No. [illegible] dt. 3.6.22.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2641 G

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please refer to No. Loans.742.

4 JAN 1923

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 3rd January 1923

DIARY No. 24 39

Rajakarayaprasaktha
M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.1244/Loans. dated the 2nd June 1922 reporting upon the loan accounts of Mr. Bakshi Basappaji Urs and Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammaniavaru, I have the honor to note in the margin the balances of loan and interest outstanding against the debtors on the 30th June 1922. The loan of Rs.105521-10-8 outstanding against Mr. Bakshi Basappaji Urs has been reduced by only Rs.13,477-12-6.

In the case of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru the balance of principal stands at the same figure, viz., Rs.27,500/-. No report has been received from the Deputy Commissioner in regard to

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. VI—G,

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 10 January/23

Signed by M Nallaratnam

27 XII 22

812

Section G-7

File No. 212—24

Serial No. 4

No. H 3162.

To The Deputy Commissioner Mullaitivu

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No H 762 dated 5.8.22 calling for the views of the Tamil Arasu Kachcheri Mullaitivu in the matter of the sale of certain of his properties with a view to discharge the loan and to request you to be so good as to submit the report very early as the Loan accounts has long been pending over. I have the

10.8.23

No H 3163

3/10/1/23. Copy to Commissioner with reference to his letter No 762 dated 1/2 2 3 for information

No. R.O.C.239/22-23.Rev.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, ...31st...January...1923...192-

DIARY No. 2908
Jan 21 — 1922
Section G.F.
From 2/2.21
Serial No. 25

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq.,B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalor
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.742/G.F.212-2 dated 5th August 1922 and the subsequent reminder No.Fl. 3162/G.F.212-21 dated 10th January 1923, calling for the views of the present representatives of the Inamdars Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru in the matter of the sale of certain properties belonging to them, I have the honor to state that Mr. M.S. NanjaRaj Urs, son of the above Inamdars was requested, to furnish his opinion in the matter, in this office No.R.O.C. 239 of 22-23 dated 14th October 1922 and in spite of two reminders he has not complied with my requisition. To-day I have sent another reminder. On receipt of his reply a report will be submitted in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

31/1

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *191* .

Date of issue 20 Feby/23

Signed by Dewan Bahadur

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 3700

To

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~Your~~ reference to your letter No. R.O.C. 239/22-23 Rev. dated 31.1.23 calling for the views of Lai Bahadur Das regarding the sale of the properties of late Siddaraja urs & Krishnajammanni vs the matter is pending for over five months. The views of the representatives of the Inamdars may kindly be reported sent to Govt. early.

over. Thanking you,

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government.

and to request you to favour Govt with a reply at your early date

No. ROC. 230-22-23

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore, 27th February *192* 3.

DIARY No. 3332

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.3700 G.F.212-21 dated 20th February 1923 calling for the views of the Inamdars re the sale of the properties of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, I have the honor to state that the representative of the Inamdar, Mr.M.S. Nanjaraj Urs has not furnished his opinion in the matter till now. He has again been requested to day to furnish his views in the matter to your office direct.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B. Ramaswamaiya
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT

KSS

32315 191

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. T

No.

Enclosure No.

[illegible] bear as [illegible] to in[illegible] Govt. the views o[illegible] regar[illegible] sale of properties of [illegible] r. Gilbert [illegible]ns, and Krishnajammanniavaru.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——————

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 30/4/23

Signed by M Madhavaiah

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. JE 5008

dt 30 April/23

To

The Depy Comr Mysore Dt

Sir,

With reference to your correspondence ending with your letter no R.O.C 239/22-28. dated 27th Feb 1923 regarding the sale of the properties of late Siddarayas Ithishnaji ammanavaru, I am directed to ~~request~~ state that the views of the Inamdar have not yet been ~~received~~ communicated to Govt in the matter and to request you to be so good as to ~~intimate~~ to

over.

19 14653

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary to
Government, Bangalore.

Dated 31st October 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No. Dl. Dis 418/23-24
No. 2

Enclosure No. 1 Sty Apeh

Forwarding the petition of Mr. M.S.Nanjanraj Urs re the sale of certain properties etc., for Govt. dues.

---

has been adjusted towards the Loan interest i.e. practically double the amount of the Loans has been recovered. Therefore some Concession is requested to be shown.

NO remarks.

12 G.O. No H 2157-60/S-7. 111-15-27 dated 20.9.1919 directing that a sum of Rs 1050-13-7, claimed in excess towards the penal interest, be waived does not appear to have been given effect to.

The accounts of this office do not disclose this fact. Hence a reference to the Comptroller is necessary in the matter & will be made.

13 The Deputy Commissioner without redressing the grievances has asked the Maindar to approach the H Secretary to Govt.

No representation requiring disposal by the Deputy Commissioner has been left unattended to.

14&15 These are items which do not require any statement of facts by this office.

16

17

Mysore
30th October 23

for Deputy Commr
Mysore District

List of the several representations made by Sri. M. S. Nanja Raj Urs. Inamdar of Thumba Nerle Village with the remarks of the Deputy Commr. Thereon.

No	Representation of the Inamdar	How facts Stand.
1 to 5	Preliminaries as to when the Loan was granted & when the Village Thumbanerle came under Govt. management.	No remarks.
6	It is not known how the net realisations of the Village have been disposed of, ~~till now~~ if no amount has been adjusted till now towards the loan.	The entire realisation are being placed at the disposal of the Comptroller at the end of every year & they are being adjusted towards the interest on the Loan. The interest itself is still in arrears.
7 & 8	In the statement received from the Deputy Commr. Mysore showing the stage of the Loan account at the end of 1916-17, there are several discrepancies. The Dy Commr. though requested, was not pleased to explain the same. A Copy of the requisition & the reply received is enclosed for reference. And the Statement sent from the Deputy Commr. on 1.4.22 is similarly defective.	The Inamdars statement is Vague. The only information required by him was about the excess demand of Rs. 9171-9-9 in the year 1907-08, while the demand under interest every year was Rs. 1375 pounds. A reply was sent to him to the effect that the difference Viz Rs 7816-9-9 was the penal interest levied as per Comptroller's instruction & that any further particulars in the matter might be obtained from the Comptroller office.
10	The Two accounts furnished at the end of 1916-17 & on 1.4.22 above mentioned do not tally with each other. And the Deputy Commr. has not made the point clear, though requested	The two statements agree. In this Connection no other Communication except the above was received in this office from the Inamdar.
11	Since the assumption of management	

G.F.212.21.6 Draft. M.H.2675 & 19 Nov

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, MYSORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.418/23-24 dated 31st October 1923 regarding the loan account of the late Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru, I am directed to observ as follows:-

It is not clear from Mr. Nanjaraj Urs' representation exactly what particulars relating to the loan account he wants. A statement of the realisations adjusted towards interest to end of 1916-17 is attached to his representation. He has also sent another statement showing the collections and their disposal to end of 1920-21 furnished by you. The Comptroller will be requested to furnish Mr. Nanjaraj Urs with a statement showing the amounts adjusted towards interest from the year 1917-18. Similarly, you may furnish h with particulars of collections and their di posal for the period subsequent to 1920-21.

With the information thus made availabl to him, Mr Nanjaraj Urs will be enabled to compare the po tion as shown in the Government accounts wit that shown in his own accounts of the loan obtained from Government, and from such com- parison, he may point out differences, if an about which he requires information. The points thus raised may be fully explained him from the accounts maintained in your/

After the reconciliation referred

referred to above is made, Mr. Nanjaraj Urs may be requested to submit a definite representation as to how he proposes to repay the loan.

This question has been pending long and I am to request that all the information required by Mr. Nanjaraj Urs may be supplied to him and that his final representation about the discharge of the loan may be obtained early and submitted to Government with your own recommendation.

Mr. Nanjaraj Urs' petition is enclosed for reference and return.

I have etc.,

Financial Secretary to Govt. 15/11/23

No. F 2674 & 19/11/23

Copy to the Comptroller for information and for furnishing to Mr. Nanjaraj Urs an account of the loan for the period from 1917-18.

No. F 2675 & 19/11/23

Copy to Mr. M. S. Nanjaraj Urs, Inamdar, ThumbaNerle village, Krishnaraja Moholla, Mysore, for information.

15735

C. O. 46—R

WD 0103—GPB—10,000—29—8-21

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to

No. 381 Loans

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. 21 FROM NOV. 1923 G. D. SECTION

QUARRY No. 1788
1921 — 1922
Section C.P.
File No. 312-21
7

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th Nov. *192*3

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY ESQ., B. A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Rajakaryaprasaktha
M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to letter No.D2 Dis.418/23-24 dated the 31st October 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, to your address re. the settlement of the Loan Account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru, I have the honour to state that the balances of loan and interest outstanding on the 30th June 1923 against the Inamdars were Rs. 27,500-0-0 and Rs. 3,001-3-5 respectively and the amount at credit of their estate account, Rs. 67-14-6.

The excess amount of penal interest ordered to be waived in Government Order No.Fl.2157-60/G.F.111-15-27 dated 20th September 1919 was Rs. 1050-13-1 and not Rs. 1050-13-7 as stated by the petitioner Mr. M.S. Nanjaraj Urs (Vide Serial No.12 of the English abstract of the petition). The difference is however ~~so~~ trifling. The inamdar's account has been given credit for the former amount already.

A memo of interest account is annexed ~~in the~~ overleaf for

G. R. S. VI--G/32]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section 47
File No. 42-1
Serial No. 8.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

No. R 3443

Date of issue 22/12/27

To

The Comptr.

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 361/Loans & Bonds 23 dated 13-12-23 relating to the loan accounts of the Inamdar Mr Siddaraj Urs & Mr Lingarajammanniavaru, Gadag, a brief memo of their interest account is directed to invite reference to this office memo No R 2674 dated 14-12-23, forwarding copy of their letter to the D.C. Mysore & to request that immediate action may kindly be taken on the lines indicated therein.

Yours

over.

WD 0632-GPB-18000-16-8-23

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

G.F. 212-21-9.

In reply please refer to No. Loans. 563.



Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 3rd January *1924*.

19 2385

Rajakaryaprasaktha
M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Fl.3443/G.F.212-21 dated 24th December 1923 re the loan account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru, I have the honor to state that as instructed in Government Order No. Fl.2673-4/G.F.212-21 dated 17th November 1923 a statement showing the amounts adjusted towards interest from the year 1917-18 has been furnished to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, with letter No.482/ Loans dated 24th November 1923 for communication of the particulars to

the

R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

Date of issue 14/1/24

Signed by M. Madhavaiyah

Y E

Section G.P.

File No. 212. 21

Serial No. 10.

No. H 3757
& 11 January/24

To

The D. C. Mysore

Sir,

In inviting refee to this letter No H 2675 & 19.11.23 regarding the loan account of the late Mr. Siddaraj Urs r. Krishnagopinarasu, I am directed to state that the Comptr reports Re has furnished to you a statement showing the amounts credited towards interest of the account from 17-18 in his letter No 4682/Loans & 24.11.23. thereof. I am to enquire if you have furnished Mrs. M C. Narayanaj Urs with the particulars of Collections & Rains disposal for the period subsequent to 20-21, and if not the report called for in the penultimate para of this office letter ref to above may be expected to be shown over

WD 0632-GPB—18300—16-8 23

SK 9/1/24. recd. 10/1/24

for S

23480

No. CR-1096/23-24

GF 212-21 11

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, 18th January 1924.

H 26674

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Fl. 3757/G.F.212-21 dated 11-1-24 re: the loan account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru, I have the honour to state that as instructed in your letter No. Fl. 2678/G.F.212-21 dated the 17th November 23, Mr. S. Nanjraj Urs, was furnished with D.C. and B statements for the years 1921-22 and 22-23 and with a copy of Comptroller's letter No. 462 dated 24-11-23 so far back as 11th December 1923 and the Inamdar has not furnished his views in the matter as yet. A reminder has this day been sent to him.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MKN

From

To

Dated

Despatched 21-1-24

Received

General No. [illegible]
No.

Enclosure No

[illegible]

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

y No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 1/2/24

Signed by W^m C Kuppuswamy

Section 47

File No. 212-21

Serial No. 12.

No. H 4134

31st Jany/24

To

Mr. M. S. Ranjavaj Rao

Ramdas,

Timbaweerle Village

Krishnaraj Mohalla

Mysore

Sir,

With reference to this office memo No H 2675/19-11-23, I am directed to state that both the Comptr & the D.C Mysore report that they have furnished you with all the necessary particulars about ~~you~~ required by you in regard to your loan account. As you are ~~will~~ now be in a position to express your final views about the discharge of your loan, I am to request that your representation in the matter may be made ~~at~~ early ~~once~~ to the D.C Mysore for communication to ~~the order of~~ Govt. ~~No~~ delay is ~~not~~ avoided.

I have etc.

No H 4135

31/1/24

W D 0632-GPB—18000—16-2-25

Copy to D.C. Mysore with reference to his letter No D.C 1046/24-25 dt 28-1-24

O.O.

for S

KW

KW 30/1

for Secy

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 11.3.24

Signed by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No

H No. 5081

To

W.M.S. Narayanaja Iyer
Mysore

Sir,

I am to invite your attention to letter No: 4184/G.T. 212-21 dt. 1.2.24 ~~Letters from you to Commissioner in regard to the matter of discharging the loan granted to you~~ to enquire whether the Dy: Commr: Mysore has been intimated of your views as instructed in the above letter.

~~Yours faithfully~~

~~For, W.S. Narayanaja Iyer.~~

in regard to the discharge of the loan granted to you by Govt & request you to state whether you have Communicated your views in the matter to the Dy Commr of not & inform when you will do so

Thanks

11/3/24

for H.S.

over.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 9.4.24

Signed by Sm. M. A. Rangachar

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 13-561

To Mr. M. S. Nanjaraj Urs,
Mysore.

Sir

I am directed to invite attention to the letters noted in the margin in regard to the discharge of the loan outstanding against ~~granted~~ to you & to request you to be so good as to state whether you have communicated your views in the matter to the Dy. Commr. Mysore. A very early reply is requested. I have the

8/4/24

for H. Secy. to Govt.

H. W. 4184 Dy 1-2-24
- do 5081 Dy 11-3-24

LSRm
7/4/

over.

WD 0632-GPB—18000—16-8 23

No 14/23-24 Regd

33895

DIARY NO. 4945

19 — 19

Section.

30. APR. 1924

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ———— ————

4- ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಕಡೆಯವರು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ
ಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕಾಜ್ಞೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 12-13 ನೇದರಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಕಡೆಯವರು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 2681-4-7 ನೇದರಲ್ಲಿ
ತಮಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 2896-4-ನೇ
ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 207-15-8
ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 20-21
ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ 2345-0-2 ನೇ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
2564-0-6 ನೇ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 219-0-4 ನೇ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ
ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ ನೇ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆ

1916, 1917	5596	1	4	1375	0	0	6970	1	4	1685	1	5	5265	15	11		
1917, 1918	5265	15	11	1375	0	0	6640	15	11	1332	2	8	5306	13	3		
1918, 1919	5308	13	3	1375	0	0	6683	13	3	6861	6	5	177	9	0	for principal	
1919, 1920	0	0	0	1366	3	0	1366	3	0	815	0	0	551	3	0		
1920, 1921	551	3	0	1366	3	0	1917	6	0	2694	3	8	776	13	8	for principal	
1921, 1922	0	0	0	1327	8	0	1327	8	0	2547	4	8	1219	12	8	do	
1922, 1923	0	0	0	1316	8	0	1316	8	0	2050	0	0	733	8	0	do	

29 APR 1924

Interest fully cleared up to 22-23 + also some amount paid for principal also.
The balance of principal is 23542-6-8.

M. S. Nanjarajurs
Inamdar.

Loan account statement

Years	Balance Interest			Every Years Interest			Paid Total			Balance paid			Balance			Remarks
1904. 1905	9224	0	8	1375	0	0	10599	0	8	663	7	4	9935	9	8	✓
1905, 1906	9935	9	8	1375	0	0	11310	9	8	1170	7	5	10140	2	3	✓
1906, 1907	10140	2	3	1375	0	0	11515	2	3	1867	15	9	9647	2	6	✓
1907, 1908	9647	2	6	1375	0	0	11022	2	6	1693	2	6	9329	0	0	
1908, 1909	9329	0	0	1375	0	0	10704	0	0	2198	12	9	8505	3	3	
1909, 1910	8505	3	3	1375	0	0	9880	3	3	2210	15	7	7669	3	8	
1910, 1911	7669	3	8	1375	0	0	9044	3	8	1930	6	0	7113	13	8	
1911, 1912	7113	13	8	1375	0	0	8488	13	8	2359	15	4	6128	14	4	
1912, 1913	6128	14	4	1375	0	0	7503	14	4	1780	11	7	6723	2	9	
1913, 1914	6723	2	9	1375	0	0	8098	2	9	2062	7	3	6035	11	6	
1914, 1915	6035	11	6	1375	0	0	7410	11	6	1611	6	6	5799	5	0	

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 24.1.24

Signed by Dr. M. A. Rayachar

Section G. 7

File No. 212-24

Serial No. 13.

No. 6895 Encl

To

The Deputy Commr,
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No O.C. 1096/23-24 dt 18.1.24 regarding loan account of Mr. Subbaraj Urs & Krishnajammanniavaru, I am directed to forward herewith in original the petition No 14 dt 29.4.24 received from Mr. M. C. Rangaraj Urs with a statement of accounts enclosed.

and ~~Copy~~ to request that a detailed report ~~may be~~ over.

~~submitted to Govt~~ after examining the case again in the light of the points

Copy

WD 06827 GPB—1800—16-8 23

revised by the Dewandar.

He desires to be furnished
with a fair typed statement of
accounts, before making a final representation to Govt
~~Govt be made~~ which you have reported
in your letter Ref to above that
the particulars required by him were
furnished on 4.12.23.

Copy of Dewandar's petition
is being sent to the Comptroller, in
with whose Department a reply, a report
may be submitted to Govt regarding
~~the~~ matter has been pending long & it is
therefore ~~requisite~~ necessary that the Dewandar should
to give his ~~final & decisive~~ views in the matter and it is necessary
that he should be furnished with all the information required by him
Dewan Peishkar's request may be treated as very important & urgent
your report ~~that it would facilitate expressing his final opinion~~
The petition may be returned with your report.

Minute.

Yl No 8896 dated 20.6.24

Copy to Comptr with
a Copy of the Dewandar's petition.

6/5/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. R.O.C.1096/23-24

Enclosure.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, ...4th....J U LY.....*1924*.



From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.Fl 6895 dated 24th June 1924, forwarding the petition of Mr.M S.Nanjaraj Urs with its enclosure for a detailed report, Ihave the honor to state that the statement relates to the adjustment of the net realisations of the village of Tumnerle towards the loan and interest due from the petitioner. As these accounts are maintained in the Comptroller's Office the statement in question may kindly be sent to that office for further action.

2. The sum of Rs 1050-13-1 referred to in para 3 of the petition is intimated tohave been adjusted towards the Loan Account of the petitioner (Vide Comptroller's No.365 Loans dated 8-11-1923).

3. The two marginally noted items referred to in para 4 of the petition appears to relate to notice fees directly credited to Government but not included in the accounts of this office. For want of datewar particulars of credit of these items, it is not possible to identify the items to your necessary details.

4. The Excise revenue of the Inam village for the periods from

From

The DeputyCommissioner.

Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary to

Government, Bangalore.

Dated 4th July 24.

Despatched

Received

General No. R.O C.1096/23-24

No.

Enclosure No. Two.

Forwards a report re: the petition of Mr. M.S.Nanjaraj Urs Inamdar of Tumnerle village re: the adjustment of the net realisations of the villagg towards his loan account.

periods from 1892-93 to 1922-23 has been credited to the Loan Account of the Inamdar, except that for the year 1920-21 which appears to have been paid to the petitioner by mistake in the Taluk Office.

5. The sum of Rs. 7816-9-9 represents the penal interest devied on over due instalments due to Government when the estates were under the Inamdar's own management, and this amount has been included in the balance furnished by the Comptroller.

The petition with its enclosure received with the letter under reference is herewith returned as desired.

If the Inamdar had sent his agent or clerk he could have obtained the required information in this office instead of presenting an application to the Secretariat.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MKN

H. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 17.7.24

Signed by M. A. Rajah

E

Section. G.F.

File No. 212.21.

Serial No. 15

No. H 311 Encl

To The Comptroller

Sir,

In continuation of my previous minute No. H 6896 of 20.6.24 regarding the loan Account of Mrs. Addanay Iyer & Krishnamachariar, I have received copy of Letters No. R.O.S. 1096/23-24 C.F. the Asst. Commissioner Nuwara Eliya forwarded in response to my No. H.S. Ramiyanaj this Petitioner, Copy of which is over. Kindly forward the same to Mr. Addanay Iyer

(over)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 2245 - GPB—2,000—6-6

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

DO No 1031 dated 19.8.29

Sir,

I am directed to invite Kind reference to this Office letter No: 31 311 dtd 17.7.29 calling for your re marks on the petition of Mr: M.S: Nanjaraj Urs in the matter of his loan accounts. I to request you to kindly favour Govt: with your reply at a very early date

Yours truly,

Secy to Govt 19.8.29

HSRM 1967

11436

G.F. 212. 21. 16

D. 768

7e.

C. O. 46—R

WD 0103—GPB—10,000—29—8-21

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. Loans. 149.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd. Augt. *1924*

25. AUG. 1924

FROM

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY ESQ., B.A.,

Comptroller to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Rajakaryaprasatha
M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your letter Nos. Fl.311 and Fl.795 dated 17th July 1924 and 4th August 1924 respectively re the loan account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru, I have the honor to state that the total amount of outstandings against the Inamdars on the 30th June 1905 according to the accounts of this office is Rs.44,201-6-4 as shown below:-

Principal	...	Rs. 27,500	0	0
Interest	...	,, 9,935	9	8
Penal interest	...	,, 6,765	12	8
		Rs. 44,201	6	4

2. The amount of penal interest chargedfor the period during which the estate was under the Inamdars own management was Rs.7,816-9-9 according to the statement of account rendered to the Inamdar in this office letter No.4249/Loans No.807 dated 20th January 1911. This was reduced to Rs.6,765-12-8 as per Government Order No. Fl.2157-60/G.F.111-15-27 dated 20th September 1919.

The latter amount is not included in the statement of account furnished by the Inamdar (Mr. M. S. Nanjaraj Urs) with his petition dated 29th April 1924. Otherwise, the figures agree.

3. The amount placed by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore at the disposal of this office out of the estate income for credit to the Loan Account for 1918-19 was Rs.6,861-8-5 which includes the toddy revenue of Rs.4,907-8-8 from 1892-93 to 1916-17 refunded to the Inamdars by credit to their estate account in May 1919. As this sum has to be credited to the Loan Accounts from 1898-99 to 1916-17 the amount available for credit in the accounts of 1918-19 was only Rs.1,953-15-11. The accounts have accordingly been revised and the result is that the balance of loan outstanding against the Inamdars on on 30th June 1923 is Rs.27,500/- and the arrears of interest due on that date Rs.4,806-15-3. A copy of the revised account has been sent to the Inamdar through the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, for information.

4. No detailed accounts of receipts and expenditure relating to villages and other estates under Government management are maintained in this office and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore has therefore been requested to furnish the information required by the Inamdar in his letter No.16 dated 19th July 192

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. Satyanarayana Rao
Comptroller

1.3.10

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

123

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 25-9-24

Signed by Mr M A Rajendran

Section G.P.

File No. 212.21.

Serial No. 17.

No. GC 789

To Mr. M.S. Ramaraj, Ino

Mandar of Punacal Village

My Core,

Sir,

With refer to your petition

No 14 of 29.6.24 on the

matter of your Com Account-

ant directed to state

that it is reported that the

Comptr has furnished you

with all the information

required, this is of course.

I am now to request you to send

Mysore, Proposals for

over.

Enclosures to Loan Mkg.

~~Note to be submitted to Govt.~~

this No D.C. ~~without further~~

~~delay.~~

Govt yes

mail

to Mkg

H 1790 dated 25.9.24

Copy to Comptr with ref to his letter no 1491/Loans of

H 1791 dated 25.9.24 23.8.24.

D.C Nyeri ——— D.O.C 1096 of

with the request that in 4.7.24

Kiambu may now be asked to expedite this

proposal.

Pro

mail

to Mkg

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI–G-32]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 2775-

Date of issue 24.11.24

To

Mr M. S. Ranjavaj Iyer

Mysore.

Signed by Dr M. A. Rangachar

Sir, I am directed to invite attention to this office Reference to letter No: H1:1789 dt 25.9.24 calling for your proposals in connection with the settlement of your leave account and I am directed to enquire whether they have been sent ~~the same have been forwarded~~ to the Dy. Comm, Mysore for ~~being forwarded~~ to Govt:

I have etc:

for Secy

24/11/24

Dy. Secy. to Govt.

HBRao 27/11/24

over

G. R. S. VI–G-32] B50—WD 0527

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the *192*

Date of issue 9.1.25

Signed by In. M. A. Bangalore.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 3914

To

M. S. Ramaraj Urs Esqr.
Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to the correspondence ending with this Office letter No: H: 2775 of 24.11.24 calling for your proposals in the matter of settling your loan accounts with the Govt: & to enquire whether the proposals referred to have been forwarded to the Dy: Commr Mysore. An early reply is requested

Yours obedt.
Sd/-
for H: Secy: to Govt.

HORun
8/1/ H 3915 dated 9/25

Copy to Dy: Commr. over Mysore for information.

No 3 of
11-25

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
No. 23487
24. NOV. 1924
MYSORE DISTRICT

Lamp
C.C. 1096/23-24

24 NOV 1924

SRI
ANKANATHESWARA PRASANNA
M. S. I ANJANAPURS
INAMDAR MYSORE.

30794

No. O.C.1096/23-2

Enclosure

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, 14th January *1925.*

DIARY NO. 2573
1921 — 1925
Section. G.F.
File No. 212-21
18

From

A.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

GENL. SECTION 16 JAN. 1925

To

M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your memo No.FL 3915 dated 9th instant, re: the loan account of Mr.Sidde Raj Urs, and Krishnarajammanni Avaru, I have the honor to state that Mr.M.S.Nanjaraj Urs having been requested in accordance with your memo No.1791 dated 25th September 1924 to furnish his proposals for discharging the loan, has sent the enclosed yadast No.34 dated 24th November 1924, which does not contain the required particulars. He was again requested in this office No.O.C.1096 dated 1st December 1924 and no reply has been received till now. As the Inamdar appears to gain time by unnecessary correspondence, I would request that the required information may be arranged to be obtained direct from the Inamdar.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

TMK.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary
to Government of His
Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.

Dated 14th January 1925.

Despatched

Received

General No. O.C.1096/23-24.
No.

Enclosure No. One

Requesting that the loan
account of Mr Siddaraju
, Krishnarajpuramanigavara
may be obtained from the
Inamdar.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 2.2.25

Signed by Mr. M. A. Rangachari.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 4384

To Dr. S. Nanjaraj Urs Esq.

Mysore

Dear Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with this Office Letter No. Re 3914/24 dt 9.1.25, calling for your proposals to discharge your debt to Govt, and to request you to send your reply immediately.

over.

Dr 2/2/25

Mr 2/2/25

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. HS-137

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

To U. S. Wanjkaj Advs Sangli.

Date of issue 17.3.25

Signed by M. M. A. Ranjekar.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. 4384 dt 2-2-25 calling for your proposals in the matter of discharging the loan due by you & to inquire when the same may be expected to be received. We favour of an immediate reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

M. M. A. Ranjekar.

List of enclosures

Copied by

14/3/25

Examined by

for H. Sec'y.

over

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 45625

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

To M. S. Ramjiraj Esq. Vgr.

Sir

Date of issue 11.4.25

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No: 43-6/24-H/7.3.25 calling for your proposals in the matter of discharging the loan due by you & to request that the same may kindly be forwarded without further delay as it is ~~already over due~~ the matter is long outstanding

Signed by Jan M. A. Rangaka

List of enclosures

Yours faithfully

Copied by

Examined by W Rau 7/4/25

for H. Secy.

7/4/1

over

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Date of issue 4.7.25

Signed by M. Venkatasa Iyengar

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. Fl 73/

To

M.S. Nanjaraj Urs., Esq.,

~~Dear~~ Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this Office letter No.Fl.4384 dated the 2nd February 1925 and several reminders thereto, calling for ~~your~~ proposals to discharge the amount due by you ~~your debt~~ to Government and to request you to be so good as to send your reply ~~expedite the information~~ without ~~least possible~~ delay.

I have etc.,

3/7/25

Fl. Secy. to Govt.

Nam 23/6.

over

G.P. 212. 21. 19.

Draft.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore.

H 23057 dated 27/10/25

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1096 dated 14th January 1925 relating to the loan of the late Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, I am directed to state as follows:-

The whole amount of the loan of Rs 27,500 remains unreduced from 1897. The annual realisations from the Inam village Thumunerale in the Nanjungud Taluk which is the security for this loan have not so far proved sufficient to meet the demands on account of current and arrear interest. I am to request you to be so so good as to investigate, in these circumstances, whether the annual revenue of the village is not capable of improvement and whether the charges now met from it cannot be reduced. As matters stand now, there is practically no prospect of the loan being repaid and this is not a position desirable either in the interests of Government or of debtors. It is necessary to see if any action is possible which will improve the position. Mr. Nanjaraj Urs the present representative of the family is being addressed through you to formulate proposals, as he has offered to do for paying up the dues. Government, however, do not feel assured that Mr. Nanjaraj Urs

Mr. Kanjaraj Urs will be able to find the fairly large amount required for repaying the whole debt as also the arrears of interest due. I am accordingly to request you to submit a report on this subject, together with your suggestions, before the 15th ~~October~~ November 1925.

I have ect.,

Financial Secretary to Government.

G.F.212.21.28

Draft.

To

M. S. Nanjaraj Urs, Esq.,
Inamdar, Chamaraja Mohalla,
MYSORE.

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
MYSORE.

H2304/
dated 27 10/25
K

Sir,

With reference to your petition dated 30th April 1924 regarding the loan borrowed from Government by the late Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, I am directed to state that Government understand from the Deputy Commissioner that all the information you required has been supplied by him. In para 7 of your petition, you have represented that you would submit proposals for closing the loan account by paying up all the dues as soon as you are advised of the total amount payable. Now that you have been supplied with all the particulars you required, I am to request you to be so good as to submit through the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, proposals for repaying the loan and also the arrears of interest due. The state of the loan account on 1st July 1925 may be ascertained from the Deputy Commissioner. I am to state that in the event of your being able to pay up the whole dues within a specified period, say three months, Government will be glad to show you some concession in respect of the penal interest charged for the period from 1897 to 1905. I am to request a reply to this letter before the ~~15th~~ 22nd

18729 No.O.C.1096/23-24.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 31st October *1925.*

1737

FROM

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

To

Financial *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.Fl.2305 dated 27th October 1925 in respect of the loan account of the late Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krisnarajammanni Avaru, and to state that I shall be preoccupied with Panchalinga Darsanam till 17th November 1925. I shall try to send my report after personal investigation before 1st December 1925.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

M.B.R.

From

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE

Financial Department.

Dated 31st October 1925.

Despatched

ived

General No. O.C.1096/23-24.
No.

Enclosure No

States that his report re loan account of the late Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru before the 1st December 1925.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 5.12.25

Signed by M.B.S.B.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. H 3148/

To The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist

Subject:- In regard to the loan account of the late Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnarajammanni Avaru.

S i r,

I am directed to invite attention to your letter No.O.C.1096/23-24 dated the 31st October 1925 on the above subject ~~and stating that you will report after personal investigation before 1st December 1925,~~ and to request you to be so good as to expedite the information called for in this office letter No.Fl.2305 dated the 27th October 1925.

I have etc.,

for Fl.Secy to Govt.

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

[P. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 5.12.26

Signed by Sm B.S.B

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. H 3162/

To M.S. Narayana Iyer Esq:

Chamdan, Chamaraja Mohalla, Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter no: H 2304/G.7.a/Misc 27/10/1925, requesting that proposals may be submitted through the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt, for the repayment of your loan and to request that the reply may be forwarded very early. I have the

By M Hegde

B.S.B/25

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 6.2.26

Signed by J.M.B.S.R.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 4468/

To The Dy Comm Mysore Dist

Sir,

In continuation of this Office
letter no: H3163/Q7 of the 5/12/25
regarding the loan account of the
late Mr Siddarajeurs & Krishnarajamma
Avaru, I am directed to request you
to ~~state whether the Nanjangud Urs~~ enquire
has made any definite proposals
~~to close up this loan account~~ and
when the report therein called for
will be sent up to Govt.

I have etc

By

Asst Secy to Govt

Mr. 4/2/26.

over

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Sec. No. 6.

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. H 5 1071.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 4.3.26

Signed by M.B.C.E.B.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No. FL 2305 of 27th Oct. 1925 requesting to submit a report on the loan account of the late Mr Siddaraja Urs and Krishnarajammanni Avaru, together with your suggestions before the 15th November 1925 and the subsequent reminders thereon and to request you to kindly take necessary action to submit the report at once as it is already overdue.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by A.V. Ramanathan by B.A.

Examined by The Deputy Commissioner

Yours obdt

C.B.

3.3.26

over

WD 0562—GPE—31,000—17-8-25

No. R.C.C. 1096/23-24.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, the 1st April *1926.*

DIARY NO. 3852

1921 – 1922
Section. G.P.
File No. 212.21
B.L.
Serial No. 21-W-3

FROM

A.V.Ramanathan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. 3. APR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Bangalore.~~
(Financial Secretary to Government)

IR,

02578 K

With reference to your letter No. 2305 dated 27-10-1925 requesting whether the Annual Revenue of the Inam Thammunerale village in the Nanjangud Taluk is not capable of improvement, I have the honor to state that before I visited the village during the Huzur Jamabhandy of the Nanjangud Taluk, Mr. M.S.Nanjaraje Urs, the Inamdar of the village was dead and it was not possible for me to consult the Inamdar in the matter of improvement. The deceased Inamdar appears to have left behind a major son by the 1st wife and his 2nd wife and her minor children.

The major son wanted a clear account of the income and expenditure and the credits effected towards loan and interest from the beginning with a view to make arrangements to have the village released on payment of the loan. The account was furnished to him on 2-2-1926 and his reply is awaited.

Under the present circumstances the income of the village is hardly enough to cover the interest. The full amount of loan viz., Rs. 27,500/- remain unreduced from 1897.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District.

Visit letter read on 3-4-26 & it has been submitted on 16-4-26 By

Submit with the main file. As the original debtor the old inamdar is dead and his son has to furnish information, may the further report be awaited. Sir Ramjaraj was anxious to close the loan. The son's reply should be awaited. CSK 29/4

Yes – Please note this in main file itself. Remind Dc once a month By 29/4

From

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Dated , Mysore the 1st April 1926.

Despatched

ived

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

States that the income of the village is hardly enough to cover the interest, re : the Annual Revenue of the Inam Thammunerale village, in the Nanjangud Taluk.

App.F.VI·G1.] B650

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

Date of issue 2.7.26

Signed by M.B.S.B.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sec No. 6.
Section
File No.
Serial No.

No. H 29/

To The Deputy Commissioner
Hyderabad

Sir,

With reference to corresponding with your letter no R.O.C. 1096/23-4 dated 1st April 1926 submitting your report on the loan account of the late Siddaraje Urs and Krishnarajammanni Avaru, I am directed to enquire as to how the matter stands now.

I have etc
B
for H Secretary

over

01149

DIARY NO. 86

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
8. JUL. 1926

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
ER
8. JUL. 1926
To
G. SECTION.

1921 - 1922
File No. 272: 21
Serial No. 22.

No. F.62/A.C.26-27.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 5th July 1926.

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

The Financial Secretary Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Loan, Encumbered Inam. - Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.29 dated 2nd July 1926, enquiring how the loan account of the late Mr.Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, stands at present, I have the honor to state that Comptroller to Government having requested in his letter No.Loans.977 dated 15th June 1926, to credit the available Surplus against encumbered inamdars to the head "28(a) Loans to Jahagirdars" for being distributed between principal and interest, a sum of Rs.1978-11-6 was credited to the said head on 25th June 1926. This sum does not even cover the arrears of interest at the end of the year 1924-25.

As stated in my letter No.O.C.1096/23-24, dated 1st April 1926, the full amount of loan viz., Rs.27500/- remains unreduced.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

This is a reply to a reminder. The Inamdars do not appear to show any inclination to repay the loan & there appears to be no proper representative on their behalf. Submitted.
A.M. 13/7.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G.P.

File No. 212-21.

Diary No. letter replied to.....

Seria No. 23.

No. H 1057

al and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Deputy Commr

Mysore Dt.

ate of issue 9.8.26

Signed by M.B.S.B.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No F. 62 A.C. 26-27 dt 5.7.26 in regard to the Loan Accounts of Mr. Siddaraj Urs & Krishna Jammanavaru, I am directed to request your attention to this office letter No H 2305 dt 27.10.25 & to request you to submit a Report as to whether

civil

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

the Annual Revenue
Village is not Capable
withstanding the
Charges now drawn from it
Cannot be reduced.

Definite reply on this
behalf and also your Suggestions
Regarding the Closure of
the Loan account in Consultation
with the major Sirs may
kindly be Submitted Very Early

Dy
B
6/8

SK 5/8

AK 5/8/26

22264

DIARY NO. 2001

No.F.62/A.C.~~26~~.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 15th November 1926.

17. NOV. 1926 AN
G.T.
GEN. & REV. SECT. PR 10 17. NOV. 1926 G. D. SECTION
Section.
File No.
Serial No.
FINANCIAL 24 NOV 11 No. 11914

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary to Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Subject:- Loan account of Mr.Siddaraje Urs and Mrs. Krishnarajammanniavaru.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the report called for from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud has not been received till now. A reminder is sent to day. On receipt of the information from the Sub Division Officer, the report will be sent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

CMS.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 16.4.27

Signed by Mr B.S.R.

List of enclosures A. V. Ramanathan Esq BA

Deputy Commissioner

Copied by.....

Examined by Mysore Siddaraj Urs

Mysore

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D. O. No. H 8033/

Dear Sir

Subject:- Loan account of Mr Siddaraj Urs and Mrs Krishnarajammanniava

I am desired to invite reference to this Office letter no H 1051/D 9 8/26 on the above subject and the subsequent reminders thereon and to request you to kindly expedite the submission of the report called for therein, as it is pending for over seven months.

Yours Sincerely

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. D. C/119093

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 4.6.27

Signed by M.B.S.

To

Dear Sir,

Subject:- Loan Account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Mrs Krishna rajammanniavaru.

I am desired to invite reference to this Office letter No.C/1051 dated 9th August 1926 on the above subject and two subsequent reminders thereon and to request you to kindly expedite the submission of the report called for therein, as it is pending for over eight months.

Yours Sincerely

h

31/5

List of enclosures.....

To A.V. Ramanathan Esq B.A.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dist

Copied by.....Mysore

Examined by.....Mysore

6/6/27

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

G. C No. H 41

To Mr. Ramanathan

Dear Sir,

Subject: Loan Account of Mr Siddaraj Jhs and Jhs Krishnarajammanniavaru

May I invite your special attention to my D.O. letter No H 9093 dated 4.6.27 on the above subject and request you to kindly expedite the submission of the report called for therein as it is pending for over eight months.

Yours Sincerely

25/6/27

To A.V. Ramanathan Esq ICS

Deputy Commissioner

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

D.O. No. F 62 AC

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 2nd July 1927.

DIARY NO. 63

19 — 19

Section.

Dear Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar,

Loan Account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnaraja Ammanniayaru.

In reply to your D.O. letter ~~No. Fl. 4/27~~ dated 1st July, I write to state that ~~I see no~~ prospect of closing this account excepting by negotiating sale of the village. There are no panya lands which can be disposed of on Kandayam tenure or ~~in~~ any other source of revenue that can be developed in the village. The major son of the late Mr. Nanjaraj Urs has not yet given any reply in the matter even though he took a copy of the detailed accounts so long ago as 2nd February 1926.

I have again written to him to give me a definite reply before the 10th July. I have also written to the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud to make a fresh inspection of the village and give me his further opinion as to whether there

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

In reply please refer to this No. F. 62 A.C.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, 21st July 1927.

FROM A.V. Ramanathan Esq., B.A.,
~~THE~~ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Section.	
File No.	

To B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

SIR, Loan Account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnaraj ammanniavaru.

In continuation of my D.O. No. F. 62 A.C. dated the 2nd July 1927, I have the honour to state that the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer has reported in his D.O. letter No. 6-27 28 dated 16th July 1927 that he could not attend to the work in the Thumnerle village on account of urgent work and will submit the report in the middle of next month.

The son of the late Inamdar had come to me without any clear idea in the matter, so I advised him to consult his maternal uncle and come and see me a few days hence.

I shall send my final report about the 20th August 1927.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District
(Absent from station)

S.G.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 24.8.27

Signed by Mr M.V. Urs

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 24/8/27

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PL 1580

To The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dist

Sir,

Subject:- Loan Account of Mr H.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishna-rajamannivaru

With reference to correspondence ending with your office letter No. F. 62. A.C. of 21st July 1927 on the above subject I am directed to request you to kindly submit your final report promised therein very early.

Yours etc

for H Seey

over

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. H2191

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

Dear Sir,

Subject:- Loan Decree of M. N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajanagaram.

I am desired to invite reference to this Office letter No. PL1580/24. 8. 27 on the above subject & to request you to kindly submit your final report without any further delay.

Yours Sincerely

per

Date of issue..... 19. 9. 27

Signed by..... M M M. V

To A. V. Ramanathan Esq BA.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dist

Mysore

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by..... 11/9/27

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

DIARY NO. 1627

D.O. No. F62-Ac.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore, 21st September 1927.

Dear Sir,

Subject: Loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammaniavaru.

In reply to your D.O. letter No. F.2191 dated 19th September 1927 requesting my final report in the matter of settlement of the Loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammaniavaru, I write to say that the report inquestion is pending reply from the Sub-Division officer of Nanjangud to whom a D.O. reminder is sent for the immediate submission of the report. On receipt of the same the final report will be sent without any delay.

Yours sincerely,

To

M. Viraraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant to the Financial Secretary,
Bangalore.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H3121

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 9.7.1929

Signed by .....

Dear Sir,

Subject:- Loan Account of Mr. H. N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnaraja ammanniavaru

With reference to correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No. F.62-ACT) 21.9.27 on the above subject, I am desired to request you to kindly take necessary steps for the speedy submission of the report.

Yours sincerely,

To A. V. Ramanathan Esqr. ICS.
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
Mysore

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

APP. W

CT.....

.....

.....

ction

e No.

rial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

D 6 57
No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 26.11.27

Signed by Mr. M.V.

To

Dear Sir,

Re: Loan Account of
Mr. M. V. Raj. Ihs acct
Krishnarajanniarons.

I desired to invite
refer to this re D.O. letter no 3121 D
27. X. 27 on the above subject & to
request for ~~the~~ early
necessary steps in the speedy submission
of the report as it has been pending
for over a year. Yours sincerely

List of enclosures.....

25/11/27

To.

Copied by V. Ramanathan Sd/ 27/11

Deputy Commissioner Mysore

Examined by Mysore

over

No. F. 62-A.C

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
12 DEC. 1927

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 10th December 1927

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

No. 2841
1921 - 1922
Secti... GF
212.21
24.

Sir,

Loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraja Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru

---

With reference to your D.O. letter No.Fl.3121 dated 27th October 1927 requesting to take necessary steps for the speedy submission of the report, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of D.O.letter dated 26th September 1927 received from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud on the subject for perusal and to state that I have repeatedly requested the present Inamdar and his uncle to try and arrange for the sale of the village or for raising a loan elsewhere and adjust the dues to Government, but without effect.

The only feasible course appears to be to take over the village to Government in full satisfaction of the loan and other dues and then cancel the Inam tenure; or ascertain if the Palace would purchase the village for not less than the amount due now.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
(Absent from Head Quarters)

CMS.

D.O. letter No.nil dated 26th September 1927 from the Senior Assistant Commissioner, Nanjangud Sub Division to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, Mysore.

Subject:- SITUATION IN THUMNERLE VILLAGE.

Adverting to correspondence ending with Mr.Basavaraja Urs's D.O. No.F.62 A.C. dated 21st September 1927 on the above subject I beg to state that I visited Thumnerle village yesterday in company with the Amildar, and made a detailed investigation of the question of ways and means of improving the resources of the village with a view to discharge the loan of Rs.27,500 due to Government as early as possible.

2. The present Jamabandy of the village is about Rs.2750/- including all items i,e, Land Revenue proper, Jodi, Mohatarfa Cesses etc., The whole of the culturable land in the village is already occupied. An extent of 164 acres 21 guntas is assigned as gomal out of which, a bit of 41 acres fit for cultivation has been given out for temporary cultivation for a kandayam of Rs.40-11-0. The remaining area is quite unfit for cultivation. Barring this there is no other unoccupied land. So, there is no possibility of improving the resources of the village by means of the expansion of cultivation.

3. It is ascertained that the Inamdar has not got one gunta of land which he can call his own. Whatever batayi lands (i,e, lands in the private and personal holding of the Inamdars) the Inamdars might have once possessed have all been sold to others, and so, with the exception of the kandayam of about Rs.2750/- paid by the raiyats, the Inamdars derive no other income from the village. It is possible that at the Re-survey Settlement the Jamabandy may be increased to about Rs.3000/- or more.

4. As against this income the Inamdar has to pay annually

(1)	Local Cess 171-13-4	Railway Cess 42-15-4	Education cess 85-14-8	Irrigation cess 100-7-9	Total 401.3-1
(2)	Water rate				455-6-0
(3)	Potgi, Shanbhog Rs.82/-, patel Rs.63-8-0				145-8-0
(4)	Interest on loan				1775-0-0
(5)	Proportionate cost of District Office loan establishment				40-0-0
				Total	2817-1-1

5. In other words, as it is, the annual expenditure is more than the annual income. But when the village is re-settled there may be a small

margin of profit or savings which however will be too being insignificant to be counted upon for being available to discharge the principal within a reasonable time.

6. Besides the loan due to Government, I learn the Inamdar has got other private debts to the extent of about 8 or 10 thousand rupees, the security for all of which, is the same village-Government of course being the first mortgagee.

7. In the circumstances, the only hope of redumption, lies, in my humble opinion in negotiating a private sale of the village with the knowledge and consent of all the creditors including Government. I understand there was once an affer of Rs.60,000 for the village from Col; Desaraja Urs some years ago. I also heard that Sirdar Lakshmikantaraja Urs had an eye upon this village.

Sowcar Siddappa of Thummerle has got about 80 acres of wet land in this village. I sounded him whether he would like to buy the village. He does not appear to be keen. I also sounded the Suthur Swamy. He said he would consider and let me know.

8. If the sale is properly advertised, I do not think it will be difficult to secure an offer at least equal to the principal amount of the debts. But before doing so, it is perhaps necessary to consult the Inamdars' wishes. As the inamdar is not living in the villa, I could not consult him. It is said he lives in Mysore. He may be consulted direct or if you approve I shall sound him myself.

9. Failing this, there is no other course but for the Government to resume the village free from all encumbrances if the terms of the loanbond admit of it, otherwise to pay off the other creditors to the extent to which these debts may be binding upon Government as the first mortagagee, and deal with the village on the footing of unalienaged land. In this case, there will be a clear savings of Rs.500/- on accounts of items 2 and 5 of the expenditure, and possibly some savings in the other items also, which may be set off against the principal and the debt thus gradually wiped off.

10. With apologies for the delay in submitting this report.

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

CMS.

A. P. F. VI. 61

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C.S.

File No. 212.21.

Serial No. 25.

No. PC 5469

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The D.C. Mysore

Date of issue 9.1.28

Signed by Sd H.V. Urs

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. F. 62-Ac 9 (a) 12.27 in regard to the Loan Account of Mrs. H.M. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanni avaru, I am directed to ~~request~~ enquire if under the terms of the ~~you to send the~~ Mortgage Bond ~~for~~ executed by them ~~reference with regard to the point raised~~ you can take action to sell the property, ~~under the terms of the bond.~~ Information may also be furnished if survey and settlement have been introduced into the Private Estate.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over Yours etc

WD0325—GPB—80,000—26-5-27

Sd/ 4/1

Sd/ [illegible]

G.F. 212-21-26

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
20 JAN. 1928
No. 10421

No. F. 62/A.C.
Encl. One.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 18th January 1928.

No. 3346

From

T. G. Rama Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalare.

SUBJECT:—

Loan account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammanniavaru.

With reference to your letter No. Fl. 5469/G.F.212-21 dated 9th January 1928 in regard to the loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnarajammanniavaru, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the mortgage bond executed by the said gentleman and lady in favour of the Government of Mysore for the loan of a sum of Rs. 27,500/- granted to them and to state that no action can be taken to sell the mortgaged property according to the terms of the bond.

Survey and Settlement have been introduced into the village.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

NRS

Registered as No. 1056 of 1896-97, at Book No. 1
Volume 50, Pages from 50 to 57 in the Sub Registrar's Office
Nanjangud.

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C.F.

File No. 212.21

Serial No. 27.

No. H 6157/Eb2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....11.2.28.....

Signed by.....M.M.V.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

To The Statistical Secy. to Govt.

Subject:- Loan Account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammaniavaru

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. P. 62-Ac of 10.12.27 together with that of its enclosure (Siddaraj Urs report) from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on the above subject and to state that the Government approve of the proposal as regards the best way of closing this long pending loan account, without loss to either the Creditor or the debtor.

over

Kal

The loan of Rs 275·00 was sanctioned in 1897 and 8 years thereafter, the mortgaged village - Thumbnahalli, was taken under Court Management. (Page 104 of Vol I) For the last 28 years no repayment of any part of the principal has been made towards discharge of the loan. The net annual receipts from the village which are made available towards the loan account by the D.C. Mysore, have not so far been found sufficient to meet even the ~~Government~~ demands on account of interest. There is no provision in the bond also for Court selling the mortgaged property for realising their dues. Under this circumstance, the question of liquidation of this loan becomes problematical. I am therefore directed to request the favour of your advice in ~~the matter~~ as regards the best way in which this long pending ~~matter~~ loan account may be closed without loss to either of the parties.

I.V.W

M.L. Iyer.
31.1.28

31/1

Mr. Narayana Iyer is requested.

A. P. F. VI. Gl]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H 8493/

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 27.4.28

Signed by M M V

To The Huzur Secretary to

His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, Mysore

Sir,

Subject: Loan Accounts

of Mr Siddaraj Urs and

Krishnarajammanniavaru

I am directed to invite refer

to this office letter No PC 6156/

C.F. 212.21. 29 D 4.2.28

forwarding Copies of letter and its

enclosures on the above subject and

requesting the favour of his

~~your opinion and to request you~~

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

G.P. 242-25-29

Pet. No. 1261

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 4/1/ *1929*

D 2990
24-1

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Law Department.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
18 JAN
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

Petd

By Order,

15/1 11.1.29

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

2

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt 3.1.29
Petn. Regr. No. 126

To

Amin-ul-Mulk

Mirza Muhammad Ismail, Esq., B.A., O.B.E., C.I.E.,

Dewan Saheb of Mysore,

(Camp) Mysore.

PETITIONER: M.N. Siddaraj Urs and M.N. Devaraj Urs,
sons of late Mr. Thoshikhane M.S. Nanjaraj Urs,
C/O H. Nanjaraje Urs, Vanivilasa Road, Mysore.

May it please Your Gracious Honour,

Your honour's humble memorialists beg leave to submit the following few lines for your gracious and kind consideration and favourable orders.

Tumnerale village was owned by our grand-father Thoshikhane Siddaraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammanni. They mortgaged the said village to the Government for Rs. 27,500/- as per Government Orders No. 17220-1/R 2445 dated 13-4-1895 and No. 9264-5/R.R. 106-96 dated 29-4-1897.

The conditions, in the said mortgage bond, were that the rate of interest be at 5 per cent per annum and that in case of default of any annual instalment, the Government reserved the right to take over the management of the said village and enhance the rate of interest to 12 per cent, as a penalty for irregular payment.

In or about 1898, the annual instalment was not paid, but the benign Government did not perhaps mean to put into operation the above mortgage clause, neither did they take over the management. For about 7 or 8 years the village

was left in the hands of the above said Krishnajammanniavaru who mis managed the village, misapplied the income therefrom and thus the annual instalments due to Government were not paid.

It is only in or about 1905, that the Government, on request of Mr. H. Nanjaraj Urs, the maternal uncle of our father, took over the management of the village as per Government Order No. F. R. 7855-4/Gl. F. 274-046 dated 18-5-1905.

The humble Memorialists came of age very recently and find their financial position awful. From what the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District writes to the Memorialists, it is seen that interest has been calculated at 12 per cent. per annum and a final settlement is demanded.

A prayer for relief and help is the subject matter of this Memorial.

Your honour is well aware that the policy of the benign Government is unselfish benevolence; the very loan speaks of it and the clause of enhanced rate of interest is only a clause inserted just to act as a sort of inducement to pay the instalments regularly.

The petitioners, who are orphans without father or mother and who are just come of age and who are in no way responsible for the above default, pray at your benign hands for mercy, mercy to helpless petitioners.

We pray that the calculation of interest at 12 per cent. per annum be kindly ordered to be removed and a fresh calculation at the ordinary rate of 5 per cent. per annum mentioned in the bond be ordered and the total dues arrived at.

Further, we most respectfully approach your honour with

For this act of kindness, we shall ever pray for your honour's long life and prosperity.

We beg to remain,

Mysore,
29th Dec. 1928.

Most Honoured S i r,

Your most obedient s e r v a n t s,

M. N. Siddaraj Urs

M. N. Siddaraj Urs

G.P. 212-21-30 D-2973

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 11th January 1929.

From

M. V. Anantharaman, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

GENL & REV. SECTT. 15 JAN 1929 FINANCIAL BRANCH.

SUBJECT:—

Loan Account of Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru of Thumnerle Village, Nanjangud Taluk.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.F.62/A.C. dated 13th January 1928, re, the settlement of the loan due by Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, I have the honour to state that in accordance with the Government Orders Numbers 17220-I R.F. 2445 of 13th April 1895 and 9264-5 R.F 106-96 of 25th April 1897, the inamdars were given a loan of Rs. 27,500 at 5% interest per annum, on the mortgage of inam Thumnerle village, in the Nanjangud Taluk, and the village was taken under Government management in 1904-05 when the valance of loan and interest were Rs. 27,500 and 9224-0-8 respectively.

The income of the village being insufficient to cover the annual interest viz, Rs.1375, at the agreed rate of 5 % and the penal interest levied from time to time, the entire loan remained unchanged at the end of December 1928, with a balance of interest of Rs.1452-8-7. The inamdars are since dead and their heirs being minors till now, Government could not take action to sell the property for the settlement of the loan and arrears of interest. This fact was reported to Government in this Office letter No.F.62/A.C. dated 16th January 1928.

The Inamdar's Grand-sons Messrs. M.N.Siddaraje Urs and M.N.Devaraj Urs who have just attained majority, in their petition dated 29th December 1928 represent their straitened circumstances and request that the levy of the penal interest be cancelled, that sum recovered as interest till now, in excess of the interest calculated at 5 % be adjusted towards the loan and

and that the village may be sold for Rs.45.000 which may be accounted for as follows.

27506 I Adjustment of Government Loan, due.

7000 2 Deposit of Rs.7000 for the discharge of the liabilities against the village, which are pending in the Civil Courts.

3 Providing them some landed and house property for the net sum.

4 If Government are pleased to cancel the levy of penal interest a sum of Rs. 6842-5-II will be available for adjustment towards the loan as worked out in the margin, and the balance of loan will be Rs. 20,657-IO-I.

5 In view of the straitened circumstances of the Inamdars their prayer for excusing the penal interest may be favourably considered. Steps will be taken to sell the Inam Village either by private negotiation, or by public auction, through the Inamdars themselves if possible.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
Sd/- K.V. Anantaraman,
Ag. Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Margin.

	Loan	Interest.
Loan and interest outstanding at the beginning of the year I904-05.	27,500	9,324-0-8
Interest at 5 % up to the end of December I928.		33,687-8-0
Total.	27,500	42,9II-8-8
Amounts adjusted towards interest up to the end of December I928.		49,753-I4-7
Amount adjusted in excess ~~towards the loan.~~		6,842-5-II
Adjust the excess towards loan.	6,842-5-II	
Balance of loan.	20,657-IO-I	

2.62 F.C.

Memo

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Financial Secretary in continuation of this office letter No. F.62/A.C. dated the I6th January I928.

MKA

K Anantaraman
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

9/28

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G.7

File No. 212-21

Serial No. 37

No. R. 5648

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Rev: Commrs
in Mysore.

Sir,

Date of issue 25/1/29

Signed by M. M. Veeraraj Urs.

Subject:— Loan account of
Sri: Siddaraje Urs & Krishna
Jammaniavaru of Thirumalapura
Village, Nanjungud Tq:
with reference to Office
No: 62/A-C. Dt. the 12th June 1929
addressed to you by the Dy:
Commr of Mysore on the above
subject, I am directed to
forward herewith
request you to offer your opinion
& recommendation on the
proposals contained therein
therein etc.

RR

List of enclosures.....

Copied by RR 24/1

Examined by.....

HSR av
24/1

D.O. Dy Sl: Secy:

over

G.7-212-4-32

No. C.2994/28-29

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 15th February *192*9.

4

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

D-8657
21

To Financial
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

FEB. 1929

Loan account of Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru of Thumnerle village, Nanjangud Taluk.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Fl.5648 dated 24th January 1929 calling for my opinion in the matter of the cancellation of the levy of the penal interest on the above loan, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation appears fair in view of the straitened circumstances of the Inamdars and the minority of these claimants which has only recently ceased, and may be sanctioned.

CENL. & REV. SECTT.
22 FEB. 1929
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

M.B.R.

Appendix F of 1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C.F.

File No. 252-31

Serial No. 33

No. 5223

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue. 6/3/29

Signed by. M. N.S.

To

M.N. Siddaraja Urs and
M.N. Devaraj Urs
Sons of late Mr Thoshikhane
M.S. Nanjaraja Urs
C/o M. Nanjaraje Urs,
Vani Vilas Road
Mysore.

Sirs,

With reference to your petition dated 29th Dec 1928 presented to the Dewan of Mysore regarding the sale of Tummerale village, I am directed to ~~acquaint~~ request you to send to this office certified copies ~~State the numbers and dates~~ of the plaints in the suits stated in the petition ~~alleged~~ to be pending in the Sub-Court, Mysore

over

st of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 6.3.29

Examined by.....

G.7-212-21-34 4470 p 28

To

The Secretary,

to the Govt: of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore,

Law Department, Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

In obedience to your communication No. P. 5223/ Law of 15/16th March 1929, I beg to enclose herein, the two certified copies of the plaints referred to therein, for your very kind reference.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Siddaraj Urs

Dated, 3rd April 1929.

Address:-

M.N. Siddaraj Urs,

C/o A.C. Lingaraj Urs, Esquire,

Laxshimipuram,

" Sringaralahari " MYSORE.

TWO ANNAS

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

(ಪ) H.S 29-11-27.

ಮೈಸೂರುನೆಬ ಚವಿರವರ ಕೆಲ್ಸಪಟ್ಟಿ

1927-28ನೇಲುಸಾವಿ O.S. ಸಂ. 30.

ವಾದಿ:- ಚಿ.ನರಶೆಟ್ಟರವರಮೊಮ್ಮಕನಬಾಂಗಡೆ

ಶೆಂಸ್ತೋಮದಲ್ಲರುವವಂಚಿಬಾಂಧಾಂಕಾಬರಮಕ್ಕಳು

ಲಬ್ಬಮನಿಲ್ಲಾನರಬೋಳಿ ಅಜ್ಜನವೇಂಹಬ್ಬಿಂಹೆಳಬರು

45 years.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು:- ಮೈಸೂರುಬಾಮರಂಭವೆಂಕಟಲಂ

ನೈನೀರಾಯರಶಿಷ್ಯಾದಿನಲ್ಲರುವಕೆಲಸಿಪ್ಪಿಕಾನೆ

ನಿಶ್ಚರಾಂಚೆಅರಸಿನವರಮಕ್ಕಳುನಂಚರಾಂಚೆಅ

ರಸಿನವರಮಕ್ಕಳು.

(1) ನಿಶ್ಚರಾಂಚೆಅರಸಿನವರು — 23 years

12-12-27 (ಪ) K.P.

(2) ದ್ಯೆವರಾಂಚೆಅರಸಿನವರು — 16 years } since has attained majority and is a major.

(3) ನಂಚನಮೂಡುಶೆಂಸ್ತೋಮ್ಮವಲಕ್ಷಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿ

(ಪ) K.P. 12-12-27

(ಪ) K.P.

ಹೆಂಬಳ್ಳಚಿ-ನೈಂಬಳ್ಳಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲರುವ 7-1-29

ಶಾಂಚಾರದಚಾಂಚೆದೊಂಡ್ಡಮಂದಕ್ಕೆ (ಪ) H.M.K Subjudge 7-1-29.

ನವರಮನವ್ಯೆವ್ಯೆ. 40 years

(4) ಮೈಸೂರುಸಿಂಹಾಸನಬಳಿಯಿರುವ ನಲ್ಲಿ

ಇ.ಸಾ.ಸಂ: 821

Sheets 1 to 8.

1

TWO ANNAS

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

2

ವಳ್ಳಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಲುಟ್ಟಿಕೊಡುವದೇ ನಂದಬಗೆ ಕ್ರಿ. ಕಿಮ್ಮಯ್ಯ -

35 years.

ಸಾಂಚಿರಡ್ಡಿಗಳ ಕೆ:-

I/ಮಪ್ಪ 2 ನೆಕ್ಕಿಸಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಕಂದೆಯಾಚ ಹಾಲಳ್ಳಿ ನಿವಾಸಿಯಾ
ಗಿರುವಯಂ. ಯಜಮಾನಂಬಗೆ ಅರಸಿನವರು ಮಪ್ಪ 2 ನೆ
ಕಮಕ್ಷಿಗಳು 1 ಮತ್ತು 2 ನೆಕ್ಕಿಸಿವಾದಿಗಳು ನಿಂದ ಚಕ್ರಗ್ರಾಮ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದವರು. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಪೂರ್ವಜರಾದ
ಯಜಮಾನಂಬಗೆ ಅರಸಿನವರು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸ್ವಾಚರ ಆಗಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ
ಲುವರುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುದಲು ಕೆಯೇ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ
ಪಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಪ್ಪ 2 ನೆಕ್ಕಿಸಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಗಾರ್ಡಿಯನ್ ಆಗಿ
ಯಂ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ 2 ನೆಕ್ಕಿಸಿವಾದಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಿರ 2000-00
ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಂಲಂಗಿಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಬಿ
ಸ್ಥಿರ 2000-00 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ಯೂರಿಟಿ
ಆಗಿಯೂ ರೂ 10-00 ಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ
ಆಂಕೂಂಯುನಿರಾಲನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ
ಯೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಾವಕಾಶವಾಗಿರುವ
ದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಕೆಲವಿದಾರಿಗೆ ಶ್ಯೂರಿಟಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಯೂನಾ

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

12-ಂನಂಕೆ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡುವದಾಗಿ ಯೂನಸ್ಕೆಕಬಪ್ಪಿ ಇದ
ಕ್ಕೆನಿ ತಪ್ಪಿಬಾಂಬಿಕುಪ್ಪೆಡೊಬ್ಬಲೆಕೆನ ಪುಗಳನ್ನು 3 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾದಿ
ನೇಆಧಾರಮಂತಿ ಯೂಂಕೊಂಡಿಂರಾಂಬರ್ಕಾಡಿರುವ 18-4-1919
ನೇಮಕ್ಕಂಮಿನ ರಿಚಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಶ್ಡಿ ಆಧಾರಶಕ್ರಪನ್ನು ಬರದಕೊಂಡ್ಡೆನೂ.
1+2 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾದಿಗಳಶಂದೆಯಂಗಲು 1+2 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾದಿ
ಗಳಂಗಲು ದಿವೆಂಕಟ್ಟಿಶಕ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಬೆನುಕೊಂಡುಗನ್ನುನಕೊಂ
ಕೆಯಿಂತ್ತಿಲ್ಲಾ.

II ಯೂನಿ-ನೆ 3 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾದಿಯು ವಾಯಿದೆಕಂ-7-
1927 ನೇಮಕ್ಕಂಮಿನರಿಚಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಶ್ಡಿಕ್ರದಮೂಲ್ಕ 3 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿ
ವಾದಿಗೆ 18-4-1919 ನೇಮಕ್ಕಂಮಿನಕ್ರಯದಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಡಕ್ಕವಿಶಿಪ್ಪುಡಕ್ಕು ಬಾಂಧ್ಯಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೆಡಕ್ರೈಕಿಕ್ಷಿ
ಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡಾಮ್ಯಡಿಯೊಂಡರೆಕ್ರಪನ್ನು ಬರದುಕೊ
ಟ್ಟೆರುವರು.

III ಪ್ಲೈಂಟ್ಟಿಫ್ಫನಕುಗಳು ಯೂಂಚಿ-ನೆ 4 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾ
ದಿಯಂಗಿರುವದಾಗಿ ಶಿಳದುಬಾಂದಿರುವದ
ಯೂಂಕೊಂಡಿಪ್ಪೆಮಂತ್ಕೆ ಲುವರನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡ್ಡಿಗ್
ಯಂಗಿವಾಯಿರುತ್ತೆ.

IV 1, 2, 4 ನೆಕ್ರೈಕಿವಾದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ನೋಟ್ಟೀಶ್ಕೆಕೊಂಡ್ಡೆ

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

ಕೈಲಿ ರಂಗಾಯಿಕು ಕನ್ನೇಕ್ಷಿಕಾರಾನಳಿಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಕೇಳುವ
ನೆಂಬ ನಿನಳಿಲ್ಲಾನ್ನಿಚವಾದ್ದೆಂಕನೆಪ್ಪನಾಗಿ ಕ್ಕೇಳಿಪ್ಪಿ
ನೆಂಬಿನಲ್ಲರು ಚಿವಮಾಡಿರುಕ್ಕೆನೆ
ಬರೆದ ವಾಚೆರ ಅಡ್ಡೆನೆಮೇಲ್ಕಾಲನಂಕೆಯೆ. ತಾ 7 ಗಿ 19.
(ಸಹಿ) ಡಿ. ಪಿ.
12/12/27. ಯೂಬಳಭಾಷ್ಯಾಂಕರದಲ್ಲರು ಚಿವಿಸ್ಪಧೆ.

ಕೈಬ್ಯೂಲೆ

ನಂಚನಗೂಂಡುಕ್ಕಾಮೋಂಚಿ-ಕ್ಕೆ ಅಮ್ಮನಚ್ಚೆಪ್ಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಿನೆನ್ನ
ಮಂಪ್ಪಕುವ್ವಕ್ಕೇಳಿಕ್ಕೆ ಗ್ರಾಮವಂದು. ಉದಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀರಿದಮಬಿಡ್
ಕೂಡಿ ಗ್ರಾವಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಡಾಂಚಿ-ಕೆಕೆಲ್ಕೆ ಕಂಕಕ್ಕೆ ಪಿಲಾಂನದಿ
ಮಕ್ಕು ನೆಂದಿಸುಂದವಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಶ್ಮಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಂನಿಕೆಯಿಂದ
ಎಲ್ಲಿ ದಪ್ಪಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ಕಪಿಲಾಂನದಿ ಪುರೆಕಕ್ಕೆ ದುಡೆನೆಕೆಯ
ಪಿಮಕ್ಕು ಮುನ್ನಡ್ಡಿಬಾಂದು ಉಂಮಡ್ಡೆಲು ಉರುವಗ್ರಾ
ಮನಳ್ಳು ಎರಡು ಉದಕ್ಕೆ ವಕ್ಕುಬೆಚವರಿ ಎರುವ
ಸೂರು ಉಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ಕೆಳು ಪಾಂಚಿನದ ಆರುಕೆಲೂಳ್ಳನದ
ಕಡ್ಡೆ ರಡು ವೆಂಬನಬೇರಿಚ ಎಂಬರಡು ನಾವಿರವಳಿಲು
ಸೂರು ಎಂಬ ಕ್ಕೆಪ್ಪ ಉಂಡಾಂಯಿವಂದು ಆಣಾವಿ
ದುಳ್ಳಿಪ್ಪುಳ್ಳಕೆಂಬಂಕಾನೆವಣ್ಣುನಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮವಂದು.

2) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಕಾಲೂಂಕೆಪ್ಪಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರ್ಮನಡಕ್ಕೆ

TWO ANNAS
GOVT OF MYSORE
ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಂದವೊಂದರ್ಕೆನೀರುಡರಿಯುವಚಿಗಳುದಪ್ಪಿ
ಣಿಕೆಯ ಅಡ್ಡೋಕ್ಕೆಯೆ ರಾಮಣ್ಣಾಮೈಯಂಪ್ಪನವರನಡ್ಡೆ-ಲೂಮೆ
ಪ್ಪೆಯ ಲುರುವರಿನಿಕೆನಂಬಾಲು ವಂದುಮೂಂರುಯ
ಪ್ಪಕ್ಕೆಳುಂಪ್ಪೆ 1 ಗುಂಪೆ 3 ಸ- ಆಕೊರ 12-0-0, ಬಿಪ್ಪೆ
ಚೊಕ್ಕವಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸ.ನಂ 180 ನೆಯ 1 ಗುಂಪೆ 37
ಆಕೊರ 13-0-0 ಬಿಪ್ಪೆಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿಶೀಂರಕ್ಕೆ-ರಾ
ಮಣ್ಣಾಮೈಯಂಪ್ಪನವರನಡ್ಡೆಶಿವಮಕ್ಕೆಶೈಕಂಠಗಾಂಪ್ಪೆ
ಗಳು ಅಚ್ಚಮ್ಮಲುವರು ನಳನಡ್ಡೆದಪ್ಪಿಣಕ್ಕೆಬೀಳು
ನೀರು ಡರಿಯುವಕಳ್ಳವುತ್ತಕ್ಕೆಚಿಕ್ಕೆಮೂಳ್ಳೆ
ನಡ್ಡೆಗದೆ ಲೂಮಧ್ಯೆಲುರುವರಿನಿಕೆನಂಬಾಲು
119 ನೆಯ 2 ಗುಂಪೆ 27 ಆಕೊರ 18-0-0
3) ಬಿಪ್ಪೆಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವದುಶೀಂರನಿಣ್ಣಾಮೈನಡ್ಡೆಗದೆ
ಲುತ್ತ ಅಡ್ಡೋಕ್ಕೆಯೆ ರಾಮಣ್ಣಾಮೈಯಂಪ್ಪನವರನಡ್ಡೆ-ಲೆಳ್ಳೆ
ಪ್ಪೆಯ ಕಿರುನಾಡುವದಾರಿದಪ್ಪಿಣಿಕ್ಕೆಮಂಳ್ಳಲಂ
ನಿಸಾಡನನಡ್ಡೆ. ಲೂಮಧ್ಯೆವಿನಿಕೆನಂಬಾಲು 109
ನೆಯ 1 ನೇಶೀಂರ್ಕಾಮಯ್ಯಕೆಲುಲಾನುಂ
ಪೈ 22 ಆ 3-8-0
4) ಬಿಪ್ಪೆಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವಶೀಂರವರ್ಗಮಂನಿಗುಟಿ

TWO ANNAS
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

೭ನಳು ಕೆಳಿಮೆ೭ನಳು ಪುತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸುದು ನಾಬೆಗೆ ಪಿನದ್ವಾಲಿ
ನಕ್ಕೆ ನಕ್ಕೆ. ದಪ್ಪಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅಡಿಯಂಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇರಾಂ ಮಣಿಮಯ್ಯ
ನವರನಕ್ಕೆ. ಲೂಮಧ್ವೆಲು ಉರುವಕರಿನಿರ್ದೇನಂಬರು
147 ಅಯ್ಯ ಗುಂಟೆ 39 ಆ 13-0-0 ವುಳ್ಳದ್ದು.

5) ಪಿಕೆಯಂಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಂಡಣಿಕ್ಕೆ ೭ನಳು ಮತ್ತು ದಾಲಿ
ಕೆಳಿಮೆ ದಾಂಬಿ೭ನಳು ಪುತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ದೊಂಳ್ಳೆ ನಕ್ಕೆ ನಕ್ಕೆ. ದಪ್ಪಿ
ಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇರಾಂ ಮೆಣಿಮ ಅಯ್ಯನವರನಕ್ಕೆ
ಲೂಮಧ್ವೆಲು ಉರುವಕರಿನಂಬರು 158 ನೇಯ ಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ
36 ಆ 13-0-0

6) ಪಿಕೆಯಂಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಂಡಣಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಮ್ಮೆ ನಕ್ಕೆ ನಕ್ಕೆ ದಪ್ಪಿ
ಮನಾಲಾಮತ್ತ ಅಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇರಾಂ ಮಣಿಮ ಅಯ್ಯನ
ವರನಕ್ಕೆ. ದಪ್ಪಣಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಳ್ಳ ಕೇರನಳಿಂದಿನಕ್ಕೆ
ಲೂಮಧ್ವೆಲು ಉರುವಕರಿನಂಬರು 84 ನೇಲೆ ಪೈಕಿ
ಅಯ್ಯ ಕರೆ ಲುಳ್ಳಾ ಗುಂಟೆ 39 ಆಕಾರ 4-12-0

ಪಿಕೆಯಂಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ನಾಲೆಲೂರಾದ ದಪ್ಪಿಮ
ನಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿಕ್ಕಿ ಕರಿನಕಡಮಂಕ್ಕೆ ನಾಲಾಂದಿಪ್ಪಿಮನಿ
ವೇದನಂಬರು 191, 194 ನೇ ಚಮ್ಮಾನುಗಳು
ದಪ್ಪಣಕರೆ ನಂಬರು 199 ನೇ ಚಮ್ಮಾನುಗಳು

COURT OF WARDS MYSORE

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

59.1.2-9

C — 1430

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

2

TWO ANNAS

MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ
ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಥವಾ

(4) [illegible]

(5) [illegible]

TWO ANNAS
MYSORE
ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

ಇವರು ಅವರಿಂದ ಕೈಗೆ ತಗೊಂಡ
ಸಾಲದ ಮೇಲೆ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದ
ಇನ್ನೂರೈವತ್ತು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ 12-12-28
ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಇವರಿಗೆ
ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು
ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ — — — — — —

(6) ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಇಸವಿ 2-3-
ಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇಕಡಾ ಹನ್ನೆರಡರಂತೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ
ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು
ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ
ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ — — — — — —

(7) ಇವರಾಯಿತು ಇವತ್ತಿನಿಂದ ಅಂದರೆ 10-10-928
ನೇ ಇಸವಿ 12-12-925 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಇವರು
ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸಲೇ
ಅಥವಾ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲೇ ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರಿಗೆ
ಬೇಕಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೇಸು ಹಾಕಿ

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

9

ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು
3-12 ಮಾಮೂಲಿ ಪೈಸಲಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು
ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. — — — — —

(4) ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು
ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಹೋಗಿ
ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ
ಒಂದು ಪಾಸು ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ
ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. — — — — —

(5) ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು
ಸಹ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಹೋಗಿ ಮಾಡುವ
ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟು
ಸಹ ಅವರು ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ

TWO ANNAS

MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

14

Appendix F 9

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section G.7

File No. 212-4

Serial No. 210-35

No. H 7959/

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The D.C. Mysore.

Date of issue 26.4.29

Signed by M A R R

Sir

Subject:

Loan account of Mr Sesharaj Urs & Krishnaja-Munaiavaru of Thimm-erle Village.

With reference to your Memo No H. 62 Ac 3 121.. 29 forwarding copy of your letter to the R.C. on the above subject, I am directed to state

List of enclosures..... A

Copied by..... RM-3 2.5.29

Examined by..... 2/5/29

over

that it is not possible to
proceed with the sale of the
property as recommended by you,
as it is found that it has been
mortgaged to the two parties whose
suits are pending in Courts.
~~Court further finds it desirable~~
~~to await the result of the litigation,~~
~~before your recommendations~~
~~could be taken up for decision,~~
Unless the parties to the suits
are agreeable to the sale of the
property, further action will have to await
the disposal the pending
suits

H 7960-1 dated 26.4.29.
Copy to R.C. Ref. No. C 2994/28-29 dated
21.2.29.
Copy to Hazur for info
Compliments of the season

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 23.8.29

D 6 H1368/

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your personal attention to this Office No. H:7959 dated 26.6.29. ~~and the subsequent reminders detailed in the margin~~, regarding the loan recommd. by Mr: Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanavaru of Tummenale village Malur and to request you to be so good as to ~~expedite your reply thereto as the matter has been pending from a very long time~~ in what stage the matter stands at present.

Yours truly

MR 23/8/29

To

The Dy: Commr

Mysore.

D105

23/8

No. F. 829/29-30.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 31st August 1929.

FROM M.N. Balaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
~~K.V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,~~
Offg. *Deputy Commissioner,*
Mysore District.

FINANCE SECRETARY
4 SEP 1929
No 2963

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
(Financial Department) *Bangalore.*

SIR,

With reference to your D.O. No.Fl. 1368 dated 23rd instant calling for certain information re: the loan account of Mr.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru of Thumnerle village, I have the honour to state that from a reference to the Registers of this office, the reference referred to in the D.O. letter viz. Fl. 7959 dated 26th April 1929, is not forthcoming and consequently it is not possible to make out the details called for. Under these circumstances, I request you to kindly arrange to send a copy of the said letter in order to facilitate to take further action in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

S.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....30.9.29.....

Signed by.....M.A.R.M.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. H1205/E1

To

.....The Dy. Commr. Mysore

Subject:- Loan Account of Sri Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanniavaru of Thummanahalli Village.

I am directed to forward herewith a Copy of this Office letter No: DL-7959/ of the 26th April 29 on the above subject as requested in your letter No: E 829 of 2nd September 29.

Sharekhe:

Asst. Secy.

for Secy.

30/9/29

over

The question has been dealt with in the Fin Secty previously & orders have issued there vide penultimate ~~last~~ para of the petition. This may be transferred to that branch.

[illegible]
24/9
for Fin Secty)

2. The Fin Secty

24/9/17

[illegible]

Pet. No. 428

GENL. & REV. 1486 SCT
28 SEP. 1929
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 14 : 9 : 192

CHIEF SECRETARIAT R. 1447
16 SEP. 1929
REVENUE BRANCH
253

Rev 1879

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government ..General.. ..Departments for favour of disposal.

By Order,

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

P.198 G.T. 212-21-36

16.10.29

To

Rajakaryaprasakta

Dewan Bahadur M.N. Krishna Rao, B.A.,

First Member of Council,

Camp Mysore.

Respected Sir,

The humble petitioners [illegible] and [illegible] Koraraj Urs, sons of the late [illegible] Sanjaraj Urs, beg to represent the following few words for your kindness and sympathetic consideration:-

The petitioners' grandfather [illegible]Sanjaraj Urs died [illegible]ent Krishnajamani, who were the Inamdars of Karvemango [illegible]ncherele Village in the Kanjangud Taluk contracted many debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government on the security of their [illegible] sums of money from Government on the security of their [illegible] village as note in the margin in order to liquidate their [illegible] debts.

(1) S.....No.178-0-1/P.....445 dated 10-6-1920 Loan granted Rs. 13,400/-.
(2) S.No.3-64-6/.....S.No-6 dated 28-6-1927 Loan granted Rs.10,000/-

The loans granted by Government at 6 interest on condition that the annual payment to Government and principle shall be [illegible]/- and the Inam Village shall continue in the possession of the Inamdars subject to forfeiture of the same when default was made in payment of annual instalments. Unfortunately [illegible] have it the petitioner's grandfather K.[illegible]araj Urs fell, soon after the last instalment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his son Kempegowda, who being a minor and not being capable of managing the estate allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the

result that the village was taken over uner Government management only on the request of my father H.S. Panjaraj Urs who attained his majority in the year 1905 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

We respectfully beg to state here in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and would have been no need for leying Penal interest from us. To ou. great misfortune this was not done.

The Petitioners beg to state that out of 1st instalment of loan of Rs. 19,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,500/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,500/- was outstanding when the 2nd installment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam Village. Hence on the total amount of principal a sum of Rs. 39,744-12-0 has been recovered as interest up till now out of the income of the Inam Village, asseen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 765-0-7 remains to be paid. Your will thus see, sir, that nearly 1½ times the principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and Penal interest alone and the Principle remains yet to be adjusted.

The Petitioners' father who led a very extravagent life spent all his fortune behind him three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estates therefore pray that the Government should be graciously pleased to take pity on the pitiable conditions of the helpless petitioners and to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount, at least a substantial portion of the principle may kindly be excused as

as they were pleased to do in the case of the family of late Selvoj Devaraj Urs and the Nandangundi family, in consideration of their having paid large sums of money by way of interest. The village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 61 acres of dry and about 14 acres of garden land, with a jamabandi of Rs. 2,70/-. Now it is reported that the jamabandi of our inam village is increased by Rs. 80/- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 3480-1-0.

As stated above, the petitioners' father and his elders have paid by way of interest nearly 12 times the principle and they will have nothing to fall back upon for their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the full amount of principle now. The Government have issued an endorsement (letter No.R1. 7810 dated 26th April 1948) stating that the sale of the inam village by Government, by private negotiations or public auction is not possible). The petitioners have therefore prayed in their memorial submitted to Government may be graciously pleased to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount at least a substantial portion of it may kindly be caused to enable the humble Inamdars to live the rest of their lives with comfort and to fall back upon their maintenance. The petitioners also pray to fix to permit the loan amount to be paid to Government and also to permit the petitioners to dispose of the Inam Village by private sale within a fixed time, say a year or so in the open market at the most favourable price obtainable.

That for this act of kindness and generosity, the humble petitioners ever pray for your Honours' long life and prosperity.

The Petitioners beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Dated, Sept. 1950

M. N. Lidda Rajaiah

M. N. Devaraj Urs

Our Address:
M.N.Liddaraj Urs
M.N.Devaraj Urs
C/o A.C. Linga-
raja Urs, B.A., Chamrajpuram,
Srirangapatnam, Mysore State

To

Rajamantra Praveena,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.,

Second member of Council,

Camp Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
17 OCT. 1929
No. 3224

Respected Sir,

The humble Petitioners M.N. Siddaraj Urs and M.N. Devaraj Urs, sons of the late M.S. Nanjaraj Urs, beg to represent the following few words for your Honour's kind and symphatic consideration:-

The Petitioners' grandfather M. Siddaraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajamanni, who were the Inmadars of Survamanya Thumbonerele Village in the Nanjangud Taluk contracted heavy debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government on the security of their sums of money from Government on the security of their inam village as noted in the margin in order to liquidate their debts.

(1)G.O.No.17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs. 19,000/-.	The loans granted by Government at 5% interest on condition that the annual payment towards interest and principle shall be Rs.1500/- and tha the village shall continue
(2)G.O.No.9264-65/R.F.106-96 dated 25-4-1897 Loan granted Rs.10,000/-	

in the possession of the Inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same when default was made in payment of annual installments. As misfortune would have it the petitioner's grandfather M.Siddaraj Urs died, soon after the 2nd installment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fellon the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajammani, who being a woman and not being capable of managing the Estate allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the

result that the village was taken over uner Government management only on the request of my father M.S. Nanjaraj Urs who attained his majority in the year 1905 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

We respectfully beg to state here in this connection tht if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and would have been no need for leying Penal interest from us. To our great misfortune this was not done.

The Petitioners beg to state that out of 1st installment of loan of Rs. 19,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,500/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,500/- was outstanding when the 2nd installment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further seurity of the said Inam Village. Hence on the total amount of principal a sum of Rs. 39,744-12-0 has been recovered as interest up till now out of the income of the Inam Village, asseen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 765-0-7 remains to be paid. Your will thus see, sir, that nearly 1¾ times the principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and Penal interest alone and the Principle remains yet to be adjusted.

The Petitioners' father who led a very extravagent life spent all his fortune behind him three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estates therefore pray th t the Government should be graciously pleased to take pity on the pitiable conditions of the helpless petitioners and to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount, at least a substantial portion of the principle may kindly be excused as

as they were pleased to do in the case of the family of late Dalvoy Devaraj Urs and the Nandangundi family, in consideration of their having paid large sums of money by way of interest. Th Village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 815 acres of dry and about 14½ acres of garden land, with a jamabandi of Rs. 2,700/-. Now it is asserted that the jambandi of our inam village is increased by Rs. 230/- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 2929-15-3.

As stated above, the petitioners' father and his elders hv paid by way of interest nearly 1¾ times the principle and they will have noting to fall back upon for their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the full amount of principle now. The Government have issued an endorsement (letter No.Fl. 7889 dated 26th April 1929) stating that the sale of the inam village by Government, by private negotiatiations or public auction (if possible). The petitioners have therefore prayed in their memorial submitted to Government may be graciously pleased to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount at least a substantial portion of it may kindly be excused to enable the humble Inamdars to live the rest of their lives with something to fall back upon their maintenance. The petitioners also pray to fix the loan amount to be paid to Government and also to permit the petitioners to dispose of the Inam Village by private sale withing a fixed time, say a year or so in the open market at the most favourable price, obtainable.

That for this act of kindness and generosity, the humble petitioners ever pray for your Honours' long life and prosperity.

Dated, 16 Oct. 1929

The Petitioners beg to remain,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

M. N. Siddaraj Urs
M. N. Devaraj Urs

Our Address.
M.N.Siddaraj Urs
M.N.Devaraj Urs | C/o A.C. Linga-Raja Urs, Esqr., Lakshmipuram,
Sringaralahari, MYSORE.

Rajamantrapravina

K. Mathan., Esquire., B.A.,

Third Member of Council,

Camp Mysore.

Respected Sir,

The humble Petitioners M.N. Siddaraj Urs and M.N. Devaraj Urs, sons of the late M.S. Manjaraj Urs, beg to represent the following few words for your Honour's kind and sympathetic consideration:-

The Petitioners' grandfather M. Siddaraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammanni, who were the Inamdars of Sarvemanya Chamhencrele Village in the Manjangud Taluk contfacted heavy debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government on the security of their ~~sums of money from Government~~ inam on the security of their inam village as noted in the margin in order to liquidate their debts.

(1)G.O.No.17220-1/M.4445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs. 19,000/-.
(2)G.O.No.9264-65/M.F.106-96 dated 25-4-1897 Loan granted Rs.10,000/-

The loans granted by Government at 5% interest on condition that the annual payment towards interest and principle shall be Rs.1500/- and tha the village shall continue in the possession of the Inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same when default was made in payment of annual instalments. As misfortune would have it the petitioner's grandfather M.Siddaraj Urs died, soon after the 2nd instellment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajammani, who being a woman and not being capable of managing the Estate allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the

result that the village was taken over under Government management only on the request of my father M.S. Sanjaraya who attained his majority in the year 1905 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

We respectfully beg to state here in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and would have been no need for levying penal interest from us. To our great misfortune this was not done.

The Petitioners beg to state that out of 1st instalment of loan of Rs. 14,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,...0/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,...0/- was outstanding from the 2nd instalment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam Village. Hence on the total amount of principal a sum of Rs. 30,744-12-0 has been recovered at interest up till now out of the income of the Inam Village, vide as seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 755-0-7 remains to be paid. Your will see sir, that nearly 12 times the principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the principle remains yet to be adjusted.

The Petitioners' father who led a very extravagant life spent all his fortune behind him three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estates therefore pray that the Government would be graciously pleased to take pity on the pitiable conditions of the helpless petitioners and to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount, at least a substantial portion of the principle may kindly be excused to

of many were pleased to do in the case of the family of late [illegible] Devaraj Urs and the Kandangundi family, in consideration of their having paid large sums of money by way of interest. The village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 815 acres of dry and about 14 acres of garden land, with a jamabandi of Rs. 2,70./-. Now it is asserted that the jambandi of our inam village is increased by Rs. 23/- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 929-15-6.

As stated above, the petitioners' father and his elders have paid by way of interest nearly 10 times the principle and they will have nothing to fall back upon for their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the full amount of principle at once. The Government have issued an endorsement (letter No.Fl. 7[illegible] dated 20th April 1928) stating that the sale of the inam village by Government, by private negotiations or public auction is not practicable). The petitioners have therefore prayed in their memorial submitted to Government may be graciously pleased to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount at least a substantial portion of it may kindly be excused to enable the humble Inamdars to live the rest of their lives with something to fall back upon their maintenance. The petitioners also pray to fix the loan amount to be paid to Government and also to permit the petitioners to dispose of the Inam Village by private sale within a fixed time, say a year or so in the open market at the most favourable price, obtainable.

That for this act of eminence and generosity, the humble petitioners ever pray for your Honours' long life and prosperity.

The Petitioners beg to remain,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

Dated, 16th Oct ~~Sept~~. 1928

M. N. Siddaraj Urs
M. N. Devaraj Urs

Our Address.
M. N. Siddaraj Urs
M. N. Devaraj Urs
C/o M.C. Linga-
Raju Urs, Esqr., Lakshmipuram,
Sringaralchari, MYSORE.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G.7

File No. 212-37

Serial No. 37

No. 7E-C-6

Diary No. of letter replied to 3657. 7E

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The D C Mysore

Date of issue 14.10.29

Signed by A/J. K. Srinivasarangar

Sir,

Subject Loan acco
of Mr Siddaraj Urs &
Krishnajammaniavaru &
Mumbnurle Village.

In forwarding herewith
a Copy of petition
(Tahsildar)
7.9.29, received from Messrs
K. N. Siddaraj Urs & K.N.
Devaraj Urs, I am directed
to state that the proposal
to remit the whole of the
principal or even a substan
al

over

Sent in original.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

portion of it cannot be entertained.
There is however no objection
to the petitioners' application
for a private sale of the mortgaged
property, provided the purchasers
agree to credit the proceeds
towards the amount loaned
and no stipulations are
made in that. The petitioners must be informed.

Further action may then
be taken accordingly.

Nairobi.

[illegible] 1/46

[illegible]

1) Copy to Messrs [illegible] & Co Advocates Nairobi.

2) Copy letter [illegible] to
the Manager [illegible] for [illegible] and
in turn [illegible] this file in Nairobi
No [illegible] 7960-1 of 21.4.47.

Ag.

[illegible] 1/46

[illegible]

CR 61/37

CR 61/37
R 16/4/38

1687/37
23/4/38

To

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Financial Secretary to Government,

Camp Mysore.

Respected Sir,

The humble petitioners B.I. Nidduraj Urs and L... Nidduraj Urs, sons of the late B.I. Nanjaraj Urs, beg to re-present the following few words for your Honour's kind and sympathetic consideration:-

The petitioners' grandfather L. Nidduraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammani, were the Inamdars of Karyamangalam Kaikchorale Village in the Nanjangud Taluk contracted many debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government on the security of their sole of money from Government on the security of those and villages as noted in the margin in order to liquidate their debts.

(1) G.O.No.17.10-1/ ... dated 13-4-1891 Loan granted Rs. 15,00/-.
(2) G.O.No.8:64-65/ ...1-96-9... @ ten 25-...-1897 Loan granted Rs.15,000/-

The loans granted by Government at 5 interest on condition that the annual payment to cover interest and principle shall be Rs.1...-/- and the the village shall continue in the possession of the Inamdars subject to Government resumption of the same when default was made in payment of the said installments. As misfortune would have it the petitioner's grandfather L.I. Nidduraj Urs died, soon after the said instalment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajammani, who being a lady and not being capable of managing the Estate also the annual payments to Govt. used to fall into arrears, viz. the

result that the village was taken over under Government management only on the request of my father L.S. Ranjaraj Urs who attained his majority in the year 1905 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

We respectfully beg to state here in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and would have been no need for levying penal interest from us. To our great misfortune this was not done.

The Petitioners beg to state that out of 1st instalment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,000/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,000/- was outstanding when the 2nd instalment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam Village. Hence on the total amount of principal a sum of Rs. 28,744-12-0 has been recovered as interest up till now out of the income of the Inam Village, as seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 765-0-7 remains to be paid. Your will thus see, sir, that nearly 14 times the principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the Principle remains yet to be adjusted.

The Petitioners' father who led a very extravagent life spent all his fortune behind him three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estates therefore pray that the Government should be graciously pleased to take pity on the pitiable conditions of the helpless petitioners and to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount, at least a substantial portion of the principle may kindly be excused as

as they were pleased to do in the case of the family of late Kalvoy Devaraj Urs and the Chandragundi family, in consideration of their having paid large sums of money by way of interest. The Village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 610 acres of dry and about 14 acres of garden land, with a jamabandi of Rs. 2,70 /-. As it is ascertained that the jamabandi of our inam village is increased by Rs. 45 /- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 3 9-15-/-.

As stated above, the petitioners' father and his elder... ve paid by way of interest nearly 14 times the principle sum but will have nothing to fall back upon for their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the full amount of principle now. The Government have issued an endorsement (letter No.Rl. 7213 dated 10th April 1941) stating that the sale of the inam village by Government, by private negotiations or public auction is not possible). The petitioners have therefore prayed in their memorial submitted to Government may be graciously pleased to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount at least a substantial portion of it may kindly be excused to enable the humble Inamdars to live the rest of their lives with something to fall back upon their maintenance. The petitioners also pray to fix to commute the loan amount to be paid to Government and also to permit the petitioners to dispose of the Inam Village by private sale within a fixed time, say a year or so in the open market at the most favourable price obtainable.

That for this act of clemency and generosity, the humble petitioners ever pray for your Honours' long life and prosperity.

Dated 16 th Oct 1942.

The petitioners beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Our address.
M.V. Siddaraj Urs
M.V. Devaraj Urs } C/o K.C. Hinga-
laje Urs, B.A., B.L., Sanmukhpuram,
Ringaraiahari, Hassan Dist. M.V. Siddaraj Urs
M.V. Devarajaiah

No. F.680/29-30.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 23rd October 1929.

FROM

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

The Comptroller to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.207/Loans dated 31st July 1929 forwarding acknowledgment slips showing the balance of loans to Jahagirdar Mr.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru, outstanding on the 30th June 1929, I have the honour to state that the said form which had been sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga for obtaining the signature of the Inamdar has been returned unaccepted as Mr.Siddaraj Urs has declined to accept the balance pending final orders of Government on his representation. His petition to the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District together with the acknowledgment slip and reminders issued from this office to the Deputy Commissioner in

Dated, Shimoga, 19th Sept. 1929.

S H I M O G A.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
S H I M O G A.

Respected Sir,

As I had submitted already a memorial to the Dewan Saheb in connection with the concession to be shown in the loan amount incurred by my grandfather by mortgaging the Thumunerle Inam Village, I beg to bring to your kind notice in this connection, that I ~~m~~ cannot accept the "Acknowledgment of Balance outstanding" till I get a defenite reply from the Government.

(101)

I further beg to represent that I intend requesting the Revenue Commissioner, Financial Secretary and Councillors in the same connection when I go to Mysore during this ensuing Dasara Vacation.

I request you therefore to kindly grant me two month's ~~sxtxxxxx~~ time so that I may consult my well-wishers in this matter before I can furnish a defenite reply in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

M. N. Lidda Raj Urs

Subd for orders
the previous papers are herewith

9
4.9.29

3812 / 2000

N Dis. Cl. Ce 236/29-30.

14412
26 SEP 1929

Noted with compliments & the 30th inst.
Mysore Dist. Mr Courtesy Rangs &
Chairman Municipality.
M. N. Balakrishna
28.9.29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

F. 680/29-30.

Dated Mysore, 14th September 1929.

FROM

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

6962

Sir,

I have the honour to herein enclose the form of acknowledgement showing the balance of Loans to Mr. Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniararu, Jahagirdar of Thullnerle village, Nanjangud Taluk outstanding on the 30th June 1929, received from the Comptroller and to request you to be so good as to arrange to obtain the signature of Mr. Siddaraje Urs, son of Jahagirdar Siddaraje Urs, who is learnt to be in Shimoga to this form and return to this Office at early date.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
16 SEP. 1929
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

S.G.

C. O. 239—M. A. D.] No. 59 OF

Loans to Jahgirdars

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF BALANCE OUTSTANDIN(

ON 30TH JUNE 1929

Name of Debtor Mr. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammani

Address avaru, Tumbanerle, Nanjangud Tq.

	Principal			Interest		
	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
Balance on 1st July 1928	27,500	—	—	1,377	—	7
Amount of loan advanced during the year.	—	—	—			
Interest accrued due during the year				1,375	—	—
Total	27,500	—	—	2752	—	7
Amount repaid during the year	—	—	—	2119	8	10
Balance outstanding on 30th June 1929	27,500	—	—	632	7	9
Amount overdue at the end of the year ...	27,500	—	—	632	7	9

I/We acknowledge this account to be correct and also the balance of Rs 27,500—— and Rs 632—7—9 shown as outstanding on 30th June 1929 on account of principal and interest respectively.

Date .....

Station .....

Debtor's Signature.

WD 01205— PB—200—24 12-25

No.

F. 3866/28-29.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 14th September 1929.

FROM

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

TO

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

This relates to the acknowledgment slip sent on 30-6-29.

Sir,

In inviting reference to this Office No. F. 3866/28-29 dated 17-5-29 and F. 3866/28-29 dated 22-6-29, re the return of the acknowledgment slip of Mr. M.N. Siddaraje Urs Jahagirdar of Thumnerle village, Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to return the same since reminders are being received from the Comptroller in the matter.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
16 SEP. 1929
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Ramalingaiyangar

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

S.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 24/10/29

Signed by Mr. S.M. Raghavachar

List of enclosures D.F.A.

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. Je 2664

To Land Grants to Messrs.
M.N. Lakshmanrao Urs & Devaraj Urs
C/o A.C. Anjanaya Urs
Srinivasalahalli,
Lakshmipuram
Mysore

With reference to their petition
of 16.10.29 in the matter
of their Loan Account,
their attention is invited
to this office Memo No
Je 2664 of 16.10.29.

By order,

for Secretary to Government.

over

SECRETARY
9 NOV. 1929
5897

G.7. 2|2-28—38

C. O. 42. Gl.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

NO. Loans.404-6 &5 *Bangalore, dated* 8th November 1929.

1964 K 12-11-29

FROM

S. SHAMANNA, ESQ., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

Raja Seva Parayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

I have the honor to note in the margin an abstract of transactions relating to the loan account of the late Mr.Siddaraja Urs and his ~~amount~~ aunt Krishnarajammanniavaru for the year 1928-29 and to state that the balance outstanding on 30th June 1929 has not been acknowledged by their heirs. I enclose herein for the information of Government letter No. F.680/29-30 dated 23rd October 1929 with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting that Mr. M. N. Siddaraj Urs, a grandson of the first debtor, has declined to accept the balance pending final orders of Government on the representation submitted by him to Government. The balance against him has been considered as acknowledged under Government Order No. Fl.531-9/G.F.20-27-13 dated 18th July 1928.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna

Comptroller.

	Loan.	Interest.
Outstanding on 1st July 1928.	Rs.27,500 0 0	1,377 0 7
Interest on Rs.27,500/- at 5% per annum for 1928-29.	---	1,375 0 0
Total due.	Rs.27,500 0 0	2,752 0 7
Balance at credit of the Thumbenele estate adjusted towards interest.	-----	2,119 8 10
Total Outstanding on 30-6-29.	Rs.27,500 0 0	632 7 9

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Rev G.2

File No. 41-24 212-V

Serial No. 2 / 38

No. R. 5046/25 2 Sett.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Rev. Comr

Sir,

Date of issue 18.1.1930

Signed by Mr N.S Dyer

I am directed to forward herewith the Annual Statement of loans & advances for 1928-29 received from the Controller & to request you for favour of expediting the recovery of the outstandings. The results of action taken may be reported for the information of Govt in due course.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by Mr 16/1

Examined by R.5047 18.1.30

Thanked

15/1/30

Copy to Fin. Secy with ref to D.No. 71 & 323 dated 6-1-30

16/1/30

R 5046/Rs 28th.

16th January 1930.
18th

Rajakaryaprevina

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

I am directed to forward herewith the annual statement of loans and advances for 1928-29 received from the Comptroller for favour of expediting the recovery of the outstandings. The result of action taken may be reported for the information of Government in due course.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Iyaya

For Chief Secretary to Government.

p.t.o.

BNL. 3.

Memo No. R 5047 Bangalore, dated the 16-1-1930.
18

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Financial Secretariat with reference to Official Memorandum No. Fl. 4323-5/ dated 6th January 1930.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

No 2436/5

THE PALACE,

Mysore 15th February 1930.

FROM

RAJASABHABHUSHANA,
T. THUMBOO CHETTY, ESQ., B.A.
Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R 3857

GENL. & REV. 3632/30
1- MAR. 1930
FINANCIAL BRANCH

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of disposal, the petition of Mr. M.N.Siddaraj Urs re: discharge of the loan due to Government on the mortgage of his Inam - villages.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

For Huzur Secretary.

VGB/DFTR

29684 P

PALACE OFFICE.
Petition Reg. No. 5-96
Date of Receipt 10-1-30
Date of Disposal

To

Rajasabhabushana,

T. Thumboo Chetty., Esquire,

Huzur Secretary to His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore,

M Y S O R E.

Most Respected Sir,

The humble Petitioner M. N. Sidda Raj Urs son of the late M. S. Nanja Raj Urs, begs to represent the following few facts for your kind and sympathatic consideration:---

The petitioner's grandfather M. Sidda Raj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammai, who were the Inamdars of Survamanya Thumbernerle village adjoining to the Hosakotte village, in the Nanjangud Taluk contracted heavy debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government with the recommendation of His Highness Late Sree Chamarajandra Wodeyar Bahadur., G. C. S. I. on the security of their Inam Village as noted in the margin in order to liquidate their debts.

1. G.O. No. 17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs. 19,000/-.	
2. G.O. No. 9264-65/R.F. 106-96 dated 25-4-1897 Loan granted Rs. 10,000/-.	

The loans granted by Government at 5% interest on condition that the annual payment towards interest and principle shall be Rs. 1500/- and that the village shall continue in the possession of the inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same whensdefalut was made in payment of annual installments. As misfortune would have it the petitioner's grandfather M. Sidda Raj Urs died. Soon after the 2nd installment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulder

of his aunt Krishnajammanni, who being a woman and not being capable of managing the estate allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the result that the village was taken over under Government management only on the request of my father H.S.Nanjaraj Ur who attained his majority in the year 1905 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

I respectfully beg to state here in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of the instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and there would have been no need for levying Penal Interest from us. To our great misfortune this was not done.

I respectfully beg to state that out of 1st installment of loan of Rs. 19,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,5000/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,500/- was outstanding when the 2nd installment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam village. Hence on the total amount of Principle a sum of Rs. 30,744-12-6 has been recovered as interest ordinary and a sum of Rs. 7816-9-9 in addition as Penal interest up till now out of the income of the Inam village, as could be seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 765-0-7 remains to be paid. You may thus kindly see, sir, that nearly 1¾ times the Principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and Penal interest alone and the principle remains yet to be adjusted.

In this connection I humbly beg to state that this large sum of money would not have been granted as loan by Government in or about the year 1894 or 1895 if His Highness Late Sree Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur, G. C. S. I. had not

been graciously pleased to recommend, as in the case of late Dalvoy Deva Raj Urs and Nandagudi family.

Here I humbly pray that in consideration of my helpless condition as an inamdar and also in consideration of the payment by way of interest and penal interest, of sums of money aggregating to much more than the principle borrowed, a recommenation may kindly be made to Government, just as in the case of Late Dalvoy Deva Raj Urs and Nandagundi family to remit the balance of amount outstanding or a substantial portion of it.

When I saw the Palace Comptroller Mr. Rama Rao as per your kind orders, he was pleased to say that he would recommend to you to remit a substantial portion of the principle and transfer the title deed to us (we three brothers) after cancelling the mortgage bond if we could pay the balance in cash and if we note the sum that we are able to pay in cash in the petition. We are able to pay Rs. 8000/- only; and it may be stated here that this sum is very high to be paid in cash by me as I am depending on my poor salary of Rs. 25/- out of which I have to meet the expenditure of my two brothers and their education.. In consideration of my utter poverty the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga was pleased to confer on me this temporary appointment. Hence, in regard to the payment of the above sum of Rs. 8000/- (i) to kindly recommend the purchase of the village by any member of the Royal Family as another village of mine Viz., Puttasommanahalli in the Seringapatam Taluk which the Third Princess was pleased to purchase granting a reasonable amount and thus my ancestral debts was cleared; or (ii) to kindly recommend recommend for the continuance of the management of the village as at present till the said sum of Rs. 8000/- is realized from its annual revenue.

As to the sale of the village we have received an endorsement from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District and from the Financial Secretary permitting us to negotiate for a private sale of the mortgaged properties, provided the purchasers agree to credit the proceeds towards the Government loan.

The true copies of the endorsement are herewith enclosed for your kind perusal.

In addition to the Government loan under advertance, my father incurred two debts aggregating to about Rs.6000/- --one is for about Rs. 1500/- and the other is for Rs.4000/- and odd--; the former is pending in the Seringapatam Munsiff's Court, the other in Mysore Sub Judge's Court. As ordered by Government, I sent in the month of March 1929 the Court Copies of the said two cases to Government for their kind perusal.

If favourable and early orders on this requisition are not passed by Government, the annual revenue from the village will as usual be credited towards the ordinary interest and Penal Interest, while the principle will remain as ever and there will be no likelihood of our getting back the village.

An oral promise to consider this case sympathetically was made only recently by the present Honourable Dewan Sahib to my maternal uncle Mr. A. C. Linga Raj Urs. Being in Government Service, I could not present myself before the Dewan Sahib in this connection.

The petitioner's father who led a very extravagant life spent all his fortune and has left behind him three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estate and is now under Government management. The village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 815 acres of dry and about 14½ acres of Garden land, with a jamabandi of Rs. 2,700/-. Now it is asserted that the jamabandi of our Inam village is increased by Rs. 230/- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 2929-15-3.

As stated above the petitioner's father and his elders have paid by way of interest nearly 1¾ times of the principle and they will have nothing to fall back upon their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the

full amount of principle now.

Under these circumstances represented above the petitioner ~~have~~ has humbly approached you, sir, with this petition, with a request that you would be graciously pleased to strongly recommend the petitioner's case for favourable and early consideration of the benign Government.

The Petitioner beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
M. N. Siddaraj Urs

Dated 8th Jan 1930

My Address.

M. N. Sidda Raj Urs,
C/O
M. N. Bala Raj Urs., Esquire., B. A.,
~~Typist~~ Deputy Commissioner,
S H I M O G A.

Submitted for kind perusal

Copy of the letter No. Fe. C. 6/G.F. dated 13th/14th October 1929 from the Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore to Messrs M.N. Sidda Raj Urs and M.N. Deva Raj Urs C/o A. C. Linga Raj Urs Esqr., Lakshmipuram, Sringaralahari, Mysore.

Subject:- Loan account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanivaru of Thumnerele village.

In forwarding herewith petition dated 7th Sept. 1929 (to be kindly returned) received from Messrs. M.N. Sidda Raj Urs and M. N. Deva Raj Urs, I am directed to state that the proposal to remit the whole of the principle or even a substantial portion of it cannot be entertained. There is however no objection to the petitioners being permitted to negotiate for a private sale of the mortgaged properties, provided the purchasers agree to credit the proceeds towards the Government loan and no obligation are imposed on Government.

" True Copy ".

M. N. Siddaraj Urs

Shimoga,
Dated 8 th Jan. 1930

Submitted for kind perusal

Copy of the letter No. F.1320/29-30 dated 7th November 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to Messrs. M.N. Sidda Raj Urs and M.N. Deva Raj Urs C/o A. C. Linga Raj Urs, Esquire, Lakshmipuram, Sringaralahari, Mysore.

Subject:-- Their petition dated the 7th September 1929 to the Dewan of Mysore regarding their loan account.

With reference to the above, they are hereby informed that their prayer to remit the whole of the principle or even a substantial portion of the loan granted to them by Government, cannot be entertained, However, there is no objection for their being permitted to negotiate for a private sale of the mortgaged properties, provided the purchasers agree to credit the proceeds towards Government loan and no obligations are imposed on Government.

" True Copy "

M-N. Siddaraj Urs

Dated 8th Jan 1930

G.7-212-21-41

R.M.41-29-3

No. 1608/29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 12th April 1930.

CHIEF SECRETARY
No. 4706
16 APR 1930
REVENUE BRANCH.

FROM Rajakarya Pravina
P.G.D'Souza. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Chief Secretary's letter No.R. 5046/R.M. 41-29-2 dated 18th January 1930 forwarding the annual statement of loans and advances for 1928-29 received from the Comptroller to Government for expediting the recovery of the outstandings, I have the honour to state as follows with regard to the Loan account of the late Mr.Siddaraje Urs and Krishnaraja Nimmanniavaru mentioned in the statement.

2. In Government Order No.R. 17220-1/R.2445 dated 13th April 1895,Government sanctioned a loan of Rs 19000/- to Mr.Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru on the first mortgage of Thumbnerle villageNanjangud taluk under the rules for the relief of encumbered Inamdars and directed that the annual payment towards the principal and interest should be Rs 1500/- interest being calculated at 5% ,that a mortgage deed be caused to be executed both by Siddaraje Urs and Krishnajammanni with the condition that the village would continue under their management, subject to Government taking possession of the same in case default was made in the payment of the annual instalment. One of the terms of the bond would appear to be that failure on the part of the Inamdars to pay instalments regularly made them liable to pay penal interest at 12% on overdue instalments. The amount was advanced in May 1895 in pursuance of the above order.

3. It will be seen from the list of payments made by the inamdars, prepared by a reference to the Loan Ledgers in the Comptroller's Office that to the end of June 1896, the Inamdars paid Rs 1750 out

of which a sum of Rs 658-5-4 was adjusted towards principal and Rs 1090-10-8 towards interest. The balance of Loan with arrears of interest stood at Rs 18,341-10-8 on 1st July 1896. The Inamdars having applied for an additional loan of Rs 10,000/- in March 1897, Government in their order No.9264-65 /R.F.106-96 dated 25th April 1897 reduced the principal in respect of the previous loan to Rs 17500 as per calculation noted in the margin and sanctioned an additional loan of Rs 10,000 and directed that the total loan of Rs 27,500 may be repaid by annual instalmentsof Rs 2500/- including interest from 1st July 1897 together with interest on the new loan of Rs 10000/- up to end of June 1897. The Inamdars paid Rs 841-10-8 towards principal and Rs 980-4-3 towards interest in the year 1896-97 The position then must have been that the loan stood at Rs 27500/-. In 1897-98 only a sum of Rs 400/- against the first instalment due of Rs 2500 as per Government Order dated 25th April 1897 was paid towards interest. The Inamdars did not make any more payments towards the loan during the year 1898-99, 1899-1900, 1900-01,1901-02,1902-03 and 1903-04. In consequence of this default the village was taken under Government management in Government order No. Fl. 7858-4/G F-274-04-6 dated 18th May 1905.

Balance of old loan with Interest up to end of June 1896.	Rs 18341-10-8.
Interest from 1-7-1896 to 30th June 1897.	Rs 917- 1- 3
Total-	19,258-11-11
Deduct Instalment for 1896-97-	1,500- 0- 0
Deduct for rounding of	258-11-11
Reduced principal -	Rs 17,500-0-0

4. The list of payments shows that the receipts of the village were adjusted towards interest alone from 1905-06 to 1928-29. For the marginally noted years when no payment was made as per Government Order dated 25th April 1897 a sum of Rs 6765-12-8 as per calculation in the margin became due as penal interest and this amount was adjusted in 1918-19 from the excise revenue of the Ahmbanerle Estate due to the Inamdars.

Details for penal interest.	
1898- 99	Rs 176-5-5
1899-1900	Rs 470-14-1
1900-01	726- 5-1
1901-02	992- 3-1
1902-03	Rs 1263-11-6
1903-04	1548- 6-10
1904-05	1587-14-8
Total	Rs 6765-12-8

P.T.O

5. It will be seen from the above summary that the total amount of loan advanced to Inamdars was Rs 29000/-out of which a sum of Rs 1500/- has been adjusted towards the principal and the principal due to Government as shown in the Comptroller's accounts stood at Rs 27500/- on 1st July 1929. The actual amount collected by Government(as per Comptroller's figures) on behalf of the Inamdars and adjusted towards interest alone up to 1st July 1929 amounted to Rs 45,162-4-9 (Rs 47244-3-5 ordinary interest - Rs 2071-14-8 paid by the inamdars from 1894-95 to end of 1896-97). exclusive of a sum of Rs 6765-12-8 adjusted for penal interest in 1918-19.

6. According to the ordinary calculation of interest at 5% per annum on Rs 27500/- from the year 1905-06 when the village came under the management of Government the interest up to 1st July 1929, works up to Rs 32,000/- (Rs 1375 X 24 years = 32000) and taking the calculation farther back to the date when the payment of instalment was stopped by the Inamdar in 1897-98 the interest for the 8 years from 1897-98 to 1904-05 at 5 % per annum amounts to Rs 1375 x 8 or Rs 11000/- for which a payment of Rs 1063-7-0 has been made in the years 1897-98 and 1904-05 leaving a balance of Rs 9036-9-0 so that the total insterest at 5% up to 1st July 1929 came to Rs 41,036-9-0 . Out of the realisations from the village Government have adjusted Rs 45162-4-9 for interest alone even when the village was under Government management and all the realisations from it were utilised for the purpose. The position of the loan as it stood on 1st November 1929 (vide copy of Comptroller's letter No. 585 Loans dated 10th January 1930) was as follows:-

Towards loan	Rs 27,500/-
Towards arrears of interest-	632-7-9
Interest on the loan @ 5% from July to October 1929.	458- 5-4
T o t a l	Rs 28,590-13-1

There was stated to be a small sum of Rs 153-6-6 at the credit of the village at the end of October 1929 which might be adjusted towards the arrears of interest.

7. It therefore becomes clear that even out of the realisations when the Village was under Government management an extra sum of Rs 4000/- and odd has been adjusted towards the interest thereon what was actually due and this should have gone towards reducing the principal.

8. It is also evident that while the loan advanced was Rs 29000/-, the interest paid by the Inamdar and adjusted from the net income of the mortgaged village when it was under Government management inclusive of ppnal interest was Rs 54010-0-1 the interest at 5% for the whole period up to 1st July 1929 working up to only Rs 46046-13-3 . If penal interest had not been adjusted and if only ordinary interest had been calculated and adjusted there would have been available a sum of Rs 8000/- for reduction of the principal besides Rs 1500 adjusted before 1897 and this would have brought the loan to some where about Rs 19 500-.

9. The present income from the inam village of Tumbnerle is stated to be Rs 2929-15-3 per annum out of which a sum of 1726-1-7 is stated to be available for adjustment towards the debt after deducting Rs 1167-13-8 towards water rate, cesses and Totgi payable to the village officers. There will be an increase in the realisations of the village to the extent of Rs 946/- per annum from the current year owing to the resettlement of the Nanjangud taluk.

10. In the above circumstances, the Inamdars deserve some special consideration especially in view of the fact that they have quietly submitted to the adjustments and have not agitated like others to whom loans were given in similar circumstances. As the total amount realised till now is 4000/- less than double

the principal advanced and as the inamdars have paid Rs 25010 in excess of the loan in the shape of interest alone, I beg to recommend that a sum of Rs 20,000 may be remitted out of the loan and the balance permitted to be discharged as follows out of the net realisations from the village which amount to Rs 2708/-per annum:-

(1) Rs 1908 to be utilised in discharge of the principal and interest and (2) Rs 800/- to be paid to the Inamdars for their maintenance as they are now in very straitened circumstances. By this arrangement the whole of the balance of debt with interest at 5% will be discharged in about 5 years.

I solicit the favour of early orders of Government in the matter.

The connected papers accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Ayyangar.

Assistant Commissioner.
For Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

G.R

Letter No.585 Loans dated 10th January 1930 from the Comptroller to Government, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.1608/29-30 dated 2nd January 1930 requesting to be furnished with a statement showing the financial position of the loan account of the late Mr.M.Siddaraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammanivaru, I have the honour to forward herewith an abstract of transactions relating to the loan account from May 1895 to June 1929.

The outstandings against the Inamdars at the end of October 1929 amounted to Rs 28590-13-1 as detailed below and the amount at the credit of the Village under Government Management at the end of that month was Rs 153-6-6.

Towards loan	Rs. 27,500-0-0
Towards arrears of interest-	632-7-9
Interest on the loan at 5% per annum from July to October.1929.	458-5-4
	28,590-13-1

The enclosures are herewith returned.

Copy

For Revenue Commissioner.

To

P. G. D'souza., Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Camp, M Y S O R E.

Respected Sir,

The humble Petitioners H.K. Siddaraj Urs and H.K. Devaraj Urs, sons of the late H.D. Nanjaraj Urs, beg to represent the following few words for your Honour's kind and sympathetic consideration:-

The Petitioners' grandfather H. Siddaraj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammani, who were the Inamdars of Kuruvangala Thantenerale Village in the Nanjangud Taluk contracted heavy debts and being unable to discharge the same borrowed large sums of money from Government on the security of their sums of money from Government on the security of their inam village as noted in the margin in order to liquidate their debts.

(1)G.O.No.17[illegible]0-1/[illegible]65 dated 13-4-1896 Loan granted Rs. 15,000/-.
(2)G.O.No.9864-65/ [illegible].106-96 dated 25-4-1897 Loan granted Rs.10,000/-

The loans granted by Government at 5 interest on condition that the annual payment to cover interest and principle shall be Rs.1800/- and the village shall continue in the possession of the Inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same when default was made in payment of annual installments. As misfortune would have it the petitioner's grandfather H.Siddaraj Urs died, soon after the 2nd instalment of loan was granted and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajammani, who being a woman and not being capable of managing the Estate allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the

result that the village was taken over under Government management only on the request of my father D.C. Senjaraj who attained his majority in the year 1908 and ever since it has been under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

To respectfully beg to state here in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and would have been no need for levying penal interest from us. To our great misfortune this was not done.

The Petitioners beg to state that out of the instalment of loan of Rs. 19,000/- a sum of Rs. 1,...6/- has been paid as interest and only a sum of Rs. 17,...0/- was outstanding when the 2nd instalment of loan of Rs. 10,000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam Village. Hence on the total amount of principal a sum of Rs. 27,706-14-0 has been recovered as interest up till now out of the income of the Inam Village, account from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office, and only a sum of Rs. 702-0-0 remains to be paid. Your will kindly see, sir, that nearly 14 times the principle has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the principle remains yet to be adjusted.

The Petitioners' father who led a very extravagant life spent all his fortune leaving his three sons who are entirely dependent for their maintenance on the estates therefore pray that the Government should be graciously pleased to take pity on the pitiable conditions of the helpless petitioners and to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount, at least a substantial portion of the principle may kindly be excused so

as they were pleased to do in the case of the family of late Dalvoy Devaraj Urs and the Pandangundi family, in consideration of their having paid large sums of money by way of interest. The village comprises of about 310 acres of wet lands and 610 acres of dry and about 14 acres of garden land, with a jambandi of Rs. 2,700/-. Now it is asserted that the jambandi of our inam village is increased by Rs. 230/- i.e., the annual demand of our village is Rs. 2929-15-3.

As stated above, the petitioners' father and his elders have paid by way of interest nearly 13 times the principle and they will have nothing to fall back upon for their maintenance if Government insist upon realising the full amount of principle now. The Government have issued an endorsement (letter No.M1. 7889 dated 26th April 1928) stating that the sale of the inam village by Government, by private negotiations or public auction is impossible). The petitioners have therefore prayed in their memorial submitted to Government may be graciously pleased to remit the whole of the principle now outstanding against them or if Government are not pleased to forego the whole amount at least a substantial portion of it may kindly be excused to enable the humble Inamdars to live the rest of their lives with something to fall back upon their maintenance. The petitioners also pray to fix the loan amount to be paid to Government and also to permit the petitioners to dispose of the Inam Village by private sale within a fixed time, say a year or so in the open market at the most favourable price, obtainable.

That for this act of kindness and generosity, the humble petitioners ever pray for your Honours' long life and prosperity.

The Petitioners beg to remain,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

M. N. Liddaraj Urs
M. N. Devaraj Urs

Dated 16th Sept. 1929

Our Address:
M.N.Liddaraj Urs
M.N.Devaraj Urs
C/o A.C. Linga-
Raja Urs, Esqr., Lakshmipuram,
Srirangapatnam, M Y S R Rly.

HC 166 (Hun: H)
29-30

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [

G.T. 212-31-42.

No. F.1320/29-30 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
19 APR. 1930

Dated Mysore, 17th.April 1930

4617/X.

FROM SK

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B.Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Financial *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No.Fl.6214/17-3-1930 requesting me to intimate the result of the present stage of the litigation and the willingness or otherwise of the property belonging to Messrs.N.M.Siddaraje Urs and M.N.Devaraje Urs, Inamdars of Thumnere Village, Nanjangud I have the honour to state that Mr.Siddaraje Urs presented a petition on the Ist instant stating that he has not thought of disposing of till the application presented by him to the Revenue Commissioner requesting that a substantive portion of the dues may be executed finally disposed of.

Where is it? Has not been finally disposed of?

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
(absent from Head Quarters).

1914

6

GEN. & REV. SEC. 5299/30
22 MAY 1930
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
R. 515
17 MAY 1930
REVENUE BRANCH.

To

Amin-ul-Mulk Sir, Mirza Mohammad Ismail Kt.,C.I.E, O.B.E

Dewan of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

G.T. 242-21-43

Humble Memorialist:- M.N. Sidda Raj Urs son of late Thoshikhane M.S.Nanja Raj Urs C/o A.C.Linga Raj Urs.,Esqr.,'SHRUNGARALAHARI' Lakshmipuram, MYSORE.

MAT IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS HONOUR,

The humble memorialist M.N.Sidda Raj Urs son of the late M.S.Nanja Raj Urs begs permission to approach your honour with the following prayerm with the fervent hope, that your honour will be gracious enough to consider his pitiable condition in life and pass favourable orders on his application at an early date.

The humble memorialist submits that your beingg self was pleased to promise eight months back Mr.A.C. Linga Raj Urs his maternal uncle, that the question of remitting the loan for Rs. 27,500/- granted by Government in their marginally noted orders to the memorialist's grandfather late Mr.M.Siddaraj Urs with the gracious recommendation of His Highness Late Sree Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I on the security of their Inam Village, would be favourably and sympathetically considered and that your honour's favourable orders are anxiously awaited in the matter ever since. Sir, having just now attained the majority and realised his position he feels quite helpless as the dues under correspondence have assumed desperate proportions and the principal remains yet to be adjusted although 1/3 of the principal amounting to about Rs.40,000/- have been recovered out of the income of the Inam Village towards the loan only in the shape in interest and Penal interest. He prays for your kind and sympathetic help in the matter.

1.G.O.No.17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs. 19,000/-.

2.G.O.No. 9264-65/R.F.106-96 dated 25-4-1897.Loan granted Rs.10,000/-.

He submitted a memorial in the above matter to the Revenue Commissioner and he was kind enough to say that he had recommended his case to Government for sympathetic consideration and another memorial submitted by him to the Huzur Secretary was forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for sympathetic consideration and favourable disposal. The Chief Secretary to Government was also kind enough to say to favourably vies the case.

Thise large sum of money would not have been granted as loan by Government in or or about the year 1894 or 1895 if His Highness Late Sree Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur,G.C.S.I. had not been graciously pleased to recommend as in the case of late Dalvoy Devaraj Urs and Nandagudi family and the same concessions as were shown in these cases may be also kindly extended to this humble memorialist for the recovery of the loan amount as the Government have always come forward to help the encumbered inamdars by affording every facility for their relief.

This humble memorialist repectfully begs to state in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of the instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and there would have been no need for levying Penal interest from them, And by this time the loan would have been completely cleared. To their misfortune this was not done.

'If mercy blesseth him that give and him that takes' he craves it at your honour's hands; a denial means 'He is lost'.

A prayer for relief and help is the subject matter of this memorialist.

This humble memorialist is employed in the Shimoga District Office temporarily on a pay of Rs25/- and he has to maintain with this small income a family of 8 members

including his two younger brothers.

He therefore approached your honour with a request to guard the interest of this humble memorialist by excusing the whole of the principal in consideration of his repayment of loan 1¾ times the principal as stated above and thereby stretching a hand of relief and help to the fallen Inamdar.

For this act of kindness the humble memorialist ever prays for your long life and prosperity.

The memorialist humbly begs to remain

Dated 17th May 1930.
Bangalore.

May it please your gracious honour

Your Honour's most obedient and humble servant

M. N. Sidda Raj Urs

My Official Address.

M.N. Sidda Raj Urs.,
Clerk,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Shimoga District,
SHIMOGA.

GENL. & REV. DEPT.
20 MAY 1930
FINANCIAL BRANCH

Rajasevasakta

B. Sreenvisa Iyengar., Esquire.,B.A.,B.L.,

Financial Secretary to Government in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Humble Memorialist:- M.N. Sidda Raj Urs son of late Thoshikhane M.S. Nanja Raj Urs C/o A.C.Linga Raj Urs.,Esqr.,"Shrungaralahari" Lakshmipuram, MYSORE.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS HONOUR,

The humble memorialist M.N.Sidda Raj Urs son of the late M.S.Nanja Raj Urs begs permission to approach your honour with the following prayer, with the fervent hope, that your honour will be gracious enough to consider his pitiable condition in life and pass favourable orders on his application at an early date.

The memorialist's father was the Inamdar of the village of Thumbnerelai in the Nanjangud Taluk. The memorialist's grandfather late Mr.M.Sidda Raj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammani who were the Inamdars of the said village contracted heavy debts and to liquidate these debts, borrowed large sums of money as noted in the margin from Government on the recommendation of His Highness Late Sree Chamarajandra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I., on the security of their Inam village.

1.G.O.No 17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs19,000/-.

2. G.O.No 9264-65/R.F 106-96 dated 25-4-1897. Loan granted Rs10,000/-

---

Sir, having just now attained the Majority and realised his position he feels quite helpless as the dues under correspondence have assumed desparate proportions. He prays for your kind and sympathetic help in the matter.

The said loans were granted by Government at 5% interest on condition that the annual payment towards interest and principal shall be Rs1500/- and that the village shall continue in the possession of the inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same on default made in payment of annual instalments. As misfortune would have it, the petitioner's grandfather died soon after the payment of the 2nd instalment of loan and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajamanni who being a woman and not being capable of managing the estate, allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the result that the village was taken over to the management of the Government only on the request made by my father after he attained his Majority in the year 1905 and thereafter it is under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

He respectfully begs to state in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of the instalments in conformity with the te-rms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and there would have been no need for levying Penal Interest from them. And by this time the loan would have been completely cleared. To their great misfortune this was not done.

He submitted a Memorial in the above matter to the Revenue Commissioner and he was kind enough to say that he had recommended his case to Government for sympathetic consideration and another Memorial was submitted by him to the Huzur Secretary which he had forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for sympathetic consideration. The Chief Secretary to Government was kind enough

was kind enough to say to favourably view the case.

He respectfully begs to state that out of the 1st Loan of Rs19000/- a sum of Rs1500/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs17500/- was outstanding when the 2nd loan of Rs10000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam village. In all a sum of Rs30,744-12-6 has been recovered as interest ordinary and a sum of Rs7816-9-9 as penal interest up till now out of the income of the Inam village, as could be seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office and only a sum of Rs765-0-7 remains to be paid. You may thus ~~xxyxxxxxxxxxx~~ see, Sir, that nearly 1¾ times the principal has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the principal remains yet to be adjusted.

In this connection he humbly begs to state that it is always the intention of Government in granting loans to encumbered Inamdars, to afford every facility for their relief and as such the memorialist considers it a great, boon to be bestowed upon him if your honour would be gracious enough to excuse the whole of the principal in view of the recovery of the dues amounting to 1¾ times the principal in shape of interest and penal interest.

If mercy blesseth him that gives andhim that takes' he craves it at your honour's hands; a denial means ' He is lost'.

A prayer for relief and help is the ~~xxxxxx~~ subject matter of this Memorialist.

This humble Memorialist further begs to state that he has submitted a similar Memorial

on the 16th instant to the Dewan Sahib in person.

~~petitioner made by the present Honourable Dewan Sahib~~

~~to his material~~

This large sum of money would not have been granted as loan by Government in or about the year 1894 or 1895 if His Highness Late Sree Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I. had not been graciously pleased to recommend as in the case of Late Dalvoy Deva Raj Urs and Nandangudi Family and the same consessions as were shown in these cases may be also kindly extended to this humble Memorialist for the recovery of arrears.

He is employed in the Shimoga District Office temporarily on a pay of Rs25/- and he has to maintain with this small income a family of 8 members including his two younger brothers.

He therefore approached your honour with a request to guard the interest of this humble Memorialist by excusing the whole of the principal in consideration of his repayment of loan 1¾ times of the principal as stated above and thereby stretching a hand of relief and help to the fallen Inamdar.

For this act of kindness the humble Memorialist ever prays for your long life and prosperity.

The Memorialist humbly begs
to remain,
May it please your gracious honour
Yours Honour's most obedient and humble servant

Dated May 1930.

M. N. Siddaraj Urs.

My official Address:-

M.N.Siddaraj Urs,
Clerk,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.
SHIMOGA.

GENL & REV. SECTT.
5247
19 MAY 1930
FINANCIAL BRANCH.

B 2
Application from petitioner
15.5.30
SK
19/5

Humble Memorialist:- H.H. Sidda Raj Urs son of late Thoshikhane H.S. Nanja Raj Urs C/o A.C.Linga Raj Urs.,Esqr.,"Shrungaralahori" Lakshmipuram, MYSORE.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS HONOUR,

The humble memorialist H.H.Sidda Raj Urs son of the late H.S.Nanja Raj Urs begs permission to approach your honour with the following prayer, with the fervent hope, that your honour will be gracious enough to consider his pitiable condition in life and pass favourable orders on his application at an early date.

The memorialist's father was the Inamdar of the village of Thumbnerelai in the Nanjangud Taluk. The memorialist's grandfather late Mr.H.Sidda Raj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammani who were the Inamdars of the said village contracted heavy debts and to liquidate these debts, borrowed large sums of money as noted in the margin from Government on the recommendation of His Highness Late Brse Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I., on the security of their Inam village.

1.G.O.No 17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895. Loan granted Rs19,000/-.

2. G.O.No 9264-65/R.F 106-96 dated 25-4-1897. Loan granted Rs10,000/-

---

Sir, having just now attained the Majority and realised his position he feels quite helpless as the dues under correspondence have assumed desperate proportions. He prays for your kind and sympathetic help in the matter.

The said loans were granted by Government at 6% interest on condition that the annual payments towards interest and principal shall be Rs.1500/- and that the village shall continue in the possession of the inamdars subject to Government's right of resumption of the same on default made in payment of annual instalments. The misfortune would have it, the petitioner's grandfather died soon after the payment of the said last instalment of loan and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his eldest widow grandmother being a female and not being capable of managing the estate, allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the result that the village was taken over to the management of the Government only on the request made by my father after he attained his Majority in the year 1905 and thereafter it is under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

He respectfully begs to state in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of the instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and there would have been no need for levying Penal Interest from them. And by this time the loan would have been completely cleared. To their great misfortune this was not done.

He submitted a Memorial in the above matter to the Revenue Commissioner and he was kind enough to say that he had recommended his case to Government for sympathetic consideration and another Memorial was submitted by him to the Huzur Secretary which he had forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for sympathetic consideration. The Chief Secretary to Government was kind

was kind enough to say to favourably view the case.

He respectfully begs to state that out of the 1st Loan of Rs19000/- a sum of Rs1500/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs17500/- was outstanding when the 2nd loan of Rs10000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam village. In all a sum of Rs30,744-12-6 has been recovered as interest ordinary and a sum of Rs7816-9-9 as penal interest up till now out of the income of the Inam village, as could be seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's office and only a sum of Rs765-0-7 remains to be paid. You may this xxxxxxxxxxx see, Sir, that nearly 1½ times the principal has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the principal remains yet to be adjusted.

In this connection he humbly begs to state that it is always the intention of Government granting loans to encumbered Inamdars, to afford every facility for their relief and as such the memorialist considers it a Great, boon to be bestowed upon him if your honour would be gracious enough to excuse the whole of the principal in view of the recovery of the dues amounting to 1½ times the principal in shape of interest and penal interest.

If mercy blesseth him that gives andhim that takes' he craves it at your honour's hands; a denial means ' He is lost'.

A prayer for relief and help is the xxxx subject matter of this Memorialist.

He further begs to state that an oral promise to consider his case sympathetically was only recently

[illegible] humble Memorialist [illegible]

[illegible] Inamdar [illegible].

[illegible] Government [illegible] about the year 1931 or 1932 [illegible] Memorialist's [illegible] L.C.S.I. had not been graciously pleased to accept [illegible] in the case of late Railroy Deva Raj the Memorialist kindly and the same concessions as were shown in that case may be also kindly extended to this humble [illegible] for the recovery of arrears.

He is employed in the Shimoga District [illegible] temporarily on a pay of Rs.25/- and he has to maintain with this small income a family of 6 members including his two younger brothers.

He therefore approached your honour with a request to guard the interest of this humble Memorialist by excusing the whole of the principal in consideration of his repayment of loan 1½ times of the principal as stated above and thereby stretching a hand of relief and help to the fallen Inamdar.

For this act of kindness the humble Memorialist ever prays for your long life and prosperity.

The Memorialist humbly begs to remain.
May it please your gracious honour,
Yours Honour's most obedient and humble servant

Sd/- M. N. Siddaraj Urs

Dated [illegible] May 1930.

My official Address:-

M.N.Siddaraj Urs,
Clerk,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.
SHIMOGA.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

No. H 2388

Bangalore, Dated 24th September 1930

G.7-212-26-44

From

Rajasevaparayana
B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

MYSORE.

Sir,

SUBJECT:- Loan Account of Mr.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammaniavaru.

With reference to your Note No.3473 dated 31st May 1930 on the subject, I am directed to state that Government are disposed to show some consideration to the family and deal with the case on the following lines:-

1. All realisations from the mortgaged Inam Village from 1.7.29 will be credited towards the principal of the loan.

2. No interest will be charged from 1-7-29.

3. Penal interest of Rs.6,765-12-8 already recovered will be adjusted towards the principal.

4. The debtors should pay in cash a sum of Rs.5,000/- within a period of 3 months in full satisfaction of the debt.

5. The balance due towards the principal will be appropriated from the ordinary interest already recovered and credited as such in accounts (This will amount to nearly Rs.13,000/-).

2. The object of the grant of the concession is to restore the property to the family. If however the family has other debts and the Inam Village would go to other creditors, there appears no need for Government to be considerate in finally closing the loan account, as the village might be sold for recovery of the Government dues.

3. I am accordingly to enquire if the debtors are willing to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- in full satisfaction of the debt, within a period of three months, and if the Inam Village released from the

mortgage to Government will not pass on to its other creditors and be retained for the benefit of the family.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

H2389-90 dd 26/9/30

Copy to R.C. with reference to his No.1608 dated 12-4-30.

" to Comptroller to Govt.

S.R.-4

FEN. & REV. SECTT. 52432/38 31 MAY 1938 FINANCIAL BRANCH

To

Rajamantrapravina,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer.,Esquire.,B.A.,

Member of Council,

Bangalore.

Humble Memorialist:- M.N. Sidda Raj Urs son of late Thoshikhane M.S. Nanja Raj Urs C/o A.C.Linga Raj Urs.,Esqr.,"Shrungaralahari" Lakshmipuram, MYSORE.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR GRACIOUS HONOUR,

The humble memorialist M.N.Sidda Raj Urs son of the late M.S.Nanja Raj Urs begs permission to approach your honour with the following prayer, with the fervent hope, that your honour will be gracious enough to consider his pitiable condition in life and pass favourable orders on his application at an early date.

The memorialist's father was the Inamdar of the village of Thumbnerelai in the Nanjangud Taluk. The memorialist's grandfather late Mr.M.Sidda Raj Urs and his aunt Krishnajammani who were the Inamdars of the said village contracted heavy debts and to liquidate these debts, borrowed large sums of money as noted in the margin from Government on the recommendation of His Highness Late Sree Chamarajandra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I., on the security of their Inam village.

1.G.O.No 17220-1/R.2445 dated 13-4-1895 Loan granted Rs19,000/-.
2. G.O.No 9264-65/R.F 106-96 dated 25-4-1897. Loan granted Rs10,000/-

Sir, having just now attained the Majority and realised his position he feels quite helpless as the dues under correspondence have assumed desparate proportions. He prays for your kind and sympathetic help in the matter.

The said loans were granted by Government at 5% interest on condition that the annual payment towards interest and principal shall be Rs1500/- and that the village shall continue in the possession of the inamdars subject to Government taking possession of the same on default made in payment of annual instalments. As misfortune would have it, the petitioner's grandfather died soon after the payment of the 2nd instalment of loan and consequently the management of the estate fell on the shoulders of his aunt Krishnajammani who being a woman and not being capable of managing the estate, allowed the annual payments to Government to fall into arrears, with the result that the village was taken over to the management of the Government only on the request made by my father after he attained his Majority in the year 1905 and thereafter it is under the management of the Government through the Revenue Department.

He respectfully begs to state in this connection that if the Government had been pleased to take over the management of the estate into their hands as soon as there was default in the payment of the instalments in conformity with the terms of the deed, better progress in collection of revenue would have been made and there would have been no need for levying Penal Interest from them. And by this time the loan would have been completely cleared. To their great misfortune this was not done.

He submitted a Memorial in the above matter to the Revenue Commissioner and he was kind enough to say that he had recommended his case to Government for sympathetic consideration and another Memorial was submitted by him to the Huzur Secretary which he had forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for sympathetic consideration. The Chief Secretary to Government was kind enough

was kind enough to say to favourably view the case.

He respectfully begs to state that out of the 1st Loan of Rs19000/- a sum of Rs1500/- had been paid with interest and only a sum of Rs17500/- was outstanding when the 2nd loan of Rs10000/- was obtained on further security of the said Inam village. In all a sum of Rs30,744-12-6 has been recovered as interest ordinary and a sum of Rs7816-9-9 as penal interest up till now out of the income of the Inam village, as could be seen from the accounts maintained in the Comptroller's Office and only a sum of Rs765-0-7 remains to be paid. You may thus ~~say~~ see, Sir, that nearly 1¾ times the principal has been paid in the shape of ordinary and penal interest alone and the principal remains yet to be adjusted.

In this connection he humbly begs to state that it is always the intention of Government in granting loans to encumbered Inamdars, to afford every facility for their relief and as such the memorialist considers it a great, boon to be bestowed upon him if your honour would be gracious enough to excuse the whole of the principal in view of the recovery of the dues amounting to 1¾ times the principal in shape of interest and penal interest.

If mercy blesseth him that gives andhim that takes' he craves it at your honour's hands; a denial means "He is lost".

A prayer for relief and help is the ~~subject~~ subject matter of this Memorialist.

This humble Memorialist further begs to state that he has submitted a similar Memorial on the

16th instant to the Dewan Sahib in person.

This large sum f money would not have been granted as loan by Government in or about the year 1894 or 1895 if His Highness Late Sree Chamarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I. had not been graciously pleased to recommend as in the case of Late Dalvoy Deva Raj Urs and Nandangudi Family and the same conessions as were shown in these cases may be also kindly extended to this humble Memorialist for the recovery of arrears.

He is employed in the Shimoga District Office temporarily on a pay of Rs25/- and he has to maintain with this small income a family of 8 members including his two younger brothers.

He therefore approached your honour with a request to guard the interest of this humble Memorialist by excusing the whole of the principal in consideration of his repayment of loan 1¾ times of the principal as stated above and thereby stretching a hand of relief and help to the fallen Inamdar.

For this act of kindness the humble Memorialist ever prays for your long life and prosperity.

The Memorialist humbly begs to remain,
May it please your gracious honour,
Yours Honour's most obedient and humble servan

Dated 26th May 1930.

M. N. Siddaraj Urs

My official Address:-

M.N.Siddaraj Urs,
Clerk,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.
SHIMOGA.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 42

File No. 212/21

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No.

No. H2388/ 42

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To The Asstt Secy to H.H.

Date of issue 26.9.30

Signed by M.G.R.A.

Sir,

Subject: Loan Account of Mr. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammaniavar

With reference to your Wdi No 3473 of 31.5.30. on the subject I am directed to state that Govt are disposed to deal with the case on the following lines, provided that the debtors are willing to pay a sum of Rs 5000 in full satisfaction of

[over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

the debt within a period of 3 months & provided also the Deam Village released from Mortgage to Govt will become the property of the family & not pass to any of its other Creditors. - ~~Lands of Ainsford~~

1. The realisations from the Mortgaged Deam Village from 1/2 will be credited towards the principal of the loan.
2. No interest will be charged from 1/2
3. Penal Interest of Rs 6765.12 will be applied towards the part.
4. The debtors ~~will be required to~~ pay in cash a sum of Rs 5000 within a period of 3 months.
5. The balance due towards the part, will be appropriated from the Ody. Interest already accrued & credited as such in accts. (This will amount to nearly Rs 13000).

~~As~~ The object of the Concession ~~is~~ is being as provided for in para above restore the property to the family, ~~there will not be any loss~~ ~~to Govt as the Govt being Consenting in selling~~ of the ~~Deam~~ if the family has other debts the Deam Village should go to its other Creditors. The R. C's also being ~~addressed~~ on this ~~respect~~ of the matter pending final disposal.



G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Memo H 2389-90 dt 26/9/30

Copy orde Compliments to the R.C. Arkt regd to his No 1608/29.30 dt 2/12/30 Arkt the Govt that if it may be ascertained early if the Lands property will not pass to other Creditors, should it be ordered as a result of the Conditions proposed above.

Boo.

H 2389-90

dd 26/9/30

Encl: 5 pcls

6/9/30.

Encl: granted to Mrz. M. R. Sidaras his Clerk. Dep Comm's Office.

Smirna 6/10

Smirna,

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18-5-27

with reference to his memorial petitions
ending with the one of 17.5.30
in the matter of his Land deeds,
the attention Mr. Sidanaj Jha
is drawn to the letter addressed
to the Hazari Secy - Copy
enclosed herewith.

By

20/9

C.7-212-1-45

No 1699.

THE PALACE,

Mysore, January 19, 193

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
21 JAN. 1931

FROM

RAJASABHABHUSHANA
T. THUMBOO CHETTY, ESQ., B.A.,
Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

FINANCIAL BR.
21 JAN. 1931
3043
GEN'L & R.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:-Loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru.

With reference to your No. Fl. 2388 dated 24th September 1930, I have the honour to state that Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs, has deposited the sum of Rs 5,000/- at the Palace Treasury on 10-1- in full satisfaction of the loan account referred to above and the same has this day been credited to Government. Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs states that as he is young and inexperienced, the Inam village may kindly be continued under Government management as hitherto. I am desired to state that the request of the Inamdar may be complied with for a period of four or five years and to request you to be so good as to obtain the early orders of Government in this behalf.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

For Huzur Secretary.

M/DSH.

Fl 6450/ Q 212-21-0 14.2.31.
46

To

The Huzur Secretary

to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

MYSORE.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1699, dated 19th January 1931 regarding the loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru of Thumbnerle Village, Nanjangud Taluk, I am directed to invite reference to para 2 of this office letter No.Fl.2388 dated 24th September 1930 and to request you to be so good as to intimate whether the family has no other debts to discharge and whether it can keep the estate for itself freed from mortgage to Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) B. Srinivasiengar.

Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/2.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G 2

File No. 212-21

Serial No. 96

No. H6057

Diary No. of letter replied to 3043

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

The Huzur Secretary

to H.H.

Date of issue 14.2.31

Signed by MCNB

Sir,

With reference to your ~~the~~ correspondence ending with the letter No 1699 of 19.1.31 regarding the loan account of Smt. M.V. Siddaraj Urs & Krishnajammanni — ayacut of Tumbinere Village, Nanjangud Tk., I am directed to request you to be so good as to ~~state~~ intimate whether the family has no other debts to discharge & whether it can keep the estate freed from mortgage to Govt.

I have the

↑ invite reference to para 2 of this office letters H 2358 of 24.9.30 R

List of enclosures..... and do

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

(over)

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

17/2

B.2.31

To Tahsildar

Telegram:—"HUSEC."

5744
7F

G.F. 212-21-67

Q 2076



THE PALACE,

Mysore., February 28., ...1931.



FROM

RAJASABHABHUSHANA
T. THUMBOO CHETTY, Esq., B.A.,
Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:-Loan account of Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanniavaru.

With reference to your No. F.1. 6450/ G.L. 212-21 dated the 14th February 1931, I have the honour to state that the Inamda has taken a loan of Rs 5,500/- to pay the balance due to Governmen He says that there are two suits pending against him in the Civil Courts for sums aggregating Rs 6,000/- due on debts contracted by his father. He is contesting the claim on the ground that there was no legal necessity for incurring the liabilities. The total indebtedness of the Inamdar thus amounts to Rs 11,500/-. He reques that the village may be kept under Government management for a period of 4 or 5 years so as to provide for the clearance of all his liabilities. This concession has already been recommended in this office No.1699 dated 19th January 1931. The Inamdar gives an assurance that he has no intention of alienating the land. As the village yields a net income of Rs 3,200/- per annum, I think that there will be no difficulty in paying off the debts and keeping the property in the family. I therefore recommend that the concessions proposed in Government Order No. Fl.2388 dated

R

24th September 1930 may be granted.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For Huzur Secretary.

M/a/

G.F. 212 - 21

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H.

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

- - - - - -

R e a d:-

G.O. No.R.17220-1/R. 2445 dated 13th April 1895 sanctioning a loan of Rs.19,000 to Mr. M. Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammanni Avaru on the mortgage of Tumbanerle village, Nanjungud Taluk, under the rules for the grant of relief to encumbered Jaghirdars and Inamdars.

2. G.O. No.9264-65/R.F. 106-96, dated 25th April 1897 sanctioning to the family a further loan ~~of Rs.10,000/-~~ ~~making~~ so as to make up a total consolidated loan of Rs.27,500/- payable by annual instalments of Rs.2,500/- inclusive of interest from 1st July 1897.

3. G.O. No.Fl.7853-4/G.F.274-04-6 dated 18th May 1905 directing the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to take over the Inam Village under Government management, ~~due~~ owing to default in the observance of the stipulations ~~on~~ endorsed on the part of the debtors.

4. Petitions ending with the one dated 17th May 1930 from Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs, the present representative of the family praying for the grant of certain concessions in view of straitened circumstances.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No.1699 dated 19th January 1931 from the Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja ~~intimating~~ stating that the debtors are agreeable to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- in full satisfaction of their loan account, that the amount deposited in the Palace Treasury by Mr. M.N.Siddaraj Urs has been credited to Government and that as he is young and

inexperienced, the Inam Village may be continued under Government management.

- - - - - - - - - - -

Order No.Fl. 7610-14 dt 10.9.1931

Dated, Bangalore

Further correspondence ending with Huzur Desp letter No 2076 dt 28th Feby 1931 in regard to the financial condition of the Inamdars.

In the year ~~April~~ 1897 a ~~consolidated~~ loan of Rs.27,500 carrying interest at 5% per annum was granted to the Inamdars of Thumbanerle ~~x~~ Village, under the scheme of relief to encumbered Jaghirdars and Inamdars. The debt was required to be repaid in annual instalments of Rs.2,500/- towards both principal and interest. The Inamdars having defaulted in making the prescribed payments, the mortgaged property was taken under Government management.

2. The loan account to end of 1928-29 stood as follows:-

1.	Principal of the Debt	Rs.27,500-0-0
2.	Adjusted towards principal	Rs. 1,194-11-1
	Balance of Principal	Rs.26,305-4-11
3.	Adjusted towards interest Ordinary.	Rs.43,977-9-8
4.	Penal interest adjusted ...	Rs. 6,765-12-8
5.	~~Amount of interest due~~	

No interest was overdue as on 1st July 29

3. The loan was advanced more than 30 years ago and ~~practically all~~ the realisations from the mortgaged village have been appropriated towards interest with the result, that the principal of the loan has ~~p~~tically continued in tact. The present representatives of the family appear to be not ~~practically~~ particularly well off. The object with which Government granted the loan and subsequently took the management of the Estate was to release the family ~~Estate~~ Estate from previous encumbrances and to enable the

the family to preserve its Inam Estate. If no remedy is applied to close the loan account immediately, there is no chance of the family getting back the property, or of Government getting back the loan.

4. Having considered ~~In view of~~ the special aspects of this case, Government are of opinion that some concession should be shown to the Inamdars with a view to retain the village in the Inamdar's family.

5. The Huzur Secretary who was consulted in the matter states that Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs has deposited a sum of Rs.5,000/- in full settlement of the outstanding debt and recommends that the account may be finally closed, but as Mr. Siddaraj Urs is young and inexperienced, the Inam Village may be continued under Government management for a further period of four or five years.

6. Government are pleased to accept the recommendations and direct that the loan account be dealt with on the following lines:-

1) All realizations from the Inam Village from 1-7-1929 be credited towards the principal of the loan;

2) ~~Interest overdue upto 1st July 1929 to be remitted.~~

3) No interest to be charged from 1-7-29.

4) Penal interest of Rs.6,765-12-8 already recovered to be adjusted towards the principal;

5) The balance due towards the principal Viz., Rs.12,150-13-11 (vide margin) to be appropriated from the ordinary interest of Rs.43,977-9-8 already recovered and credited as such in accounts.

Loan outstanding	Rs. 26,305-4-11
Penal Interest	6,765-12-8
Amount credited to the loan in 29-30	1073-6-1 ~~2,268-1-2~~
Amount credited towards interest in 29-30 to be appropriated towards due.	1,315-4-3 ~~-3-2~~
Now credited	5,000-0-0
Balance to be adjusted	12 150 ~~15,349~~-13-11

7. The adjustment of the total amount of Rs.20,231-14-10 credited in the accounts as interest towards the principal of the loan account will be treated as a refund of interest and be covered by a supplemental demand to be placed before the Legislative Council at its next Session.

8. Government also direct that the Inam Village of Thumbanerle will continue to be under Government management for a further period of four years, the net revenue realised annually being handed over to the Inamdars in consultation with the Huzur Secretary.

Financial Secretary to Govt.

To

The Huzur Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

The Comptroller to Government

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

Copy to Revenue Branch

Copy to Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:--

Government Order No.R.17220-1/R. 2445 dated 13th April 1895 sanctioning a loan of Rs.19,000/- to Mr. M.Siddaraj Urs and Krishnajammani Avaru on the mortgage of Tumbanerle Village Nanjungud Taluk under the rules for the grant of relief to encumbered Jaghirdars and Inamdars.

2. Government Order No.9264-65/R.F. 106-96, dated 25th April 1897 sanctioning to the family a further loan so as to make up a total consolidated loan of Rs.27,500 repayable by annual instalments of Rs.2,500/- inclusive of interest from 1st July 189-.

3. Government Order No.Fl.7853-4/G.F.274-04-6 dated 18th May 1905 directing the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to take over the Inam Village under Government Management owing to default in the observance of the stipulated conditions on the part of the debtors.

4. Petitions ending with the one dated 17th May 1930 from Mr. M.N.Siddaraj Urs, the present representative of the family praying for the grant of certain concessions in view of the straitened circumstances.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No.1699 dated 19th January 1931 from the Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja stating that the debtors are agreeable to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- in full satisfaction of their loan account, that the amount deposited in the Palace Treasury by Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs has been credited to Government and that as he is young and inexperienced, the Inam Village may be continued under Government Management.

6. Further correspondence ending with Huzur Secretary's letter No.2076 dated 28th February 1931 in regard to the Financial condition of the borrowers.

Order No.Fl. 7610 – 4/42-21-48

Dated, Bangalore the 10th April 1931.

In the year 1897 a loan of Rs. 27,500 carrying interest at 5% per annum was granted to the Inamdars of Thumbanerle Village, ~~and~~ under the scheme of relief to encumbered Jaghirdars and Inamdars. The debt was required to be repaid in annual instalments of Rs.2,500/- towards both principal and interest. The Inamdars having defaulted in making the prescribed payments, the mortgaged property was taken under Government management.

2. The loan account to ~~date~~ end of 1928-29 stood as follows;-

		Rs.
1. Principal of the debt		Rs.27,500-0-0
2. Adjusted towards principal		Rs. 1,194-11-1
	Balance of Principal	Rs.26,305-4-11
3. Adjusted towards interest ordinary		43,977-9-8
4. Penal interest adjusted		6,765-12-8

3. The loan was advanced more than 33 years ago and the realisations from the mortgaged village have been appropriated towards interest with the result, that the principal of the loan has practically continued intact. The present representatives of the family appear to be not particularly well off. The object with which Government granted the loan and subsequently took the management of the Estate was to release the family Estate from previous encumbrances and to enable the family to preserve its Inam Estate.

4. Having considered the special aspects of this case, Government are of opinion that some concession should be shown to the Inamdars with a view to retain the village in the Inamdar's family.

5. The Huzur Secretary who was consulted in the matter states that Mr. M.N. Siddaraj Urs has deposited a sum of Rs.5,000/- in full settlement of the outstanding debt and recommends that the account may be finally closed, but as Mr. Siddaraj Urs is young and inexperienced, the Inam village may be continued under Government Management for a further period of four or five years.

6. Government are pleased to accept the recommendations and

and direct that the loan account be dealt with on the following lines;-

1. All realizations from the Inam Village from 1-7-1929 to be credited towards the principal of the Loan;

2. No interest to be charged from 1-7-1929.

3. Penal interest of Rs.6,765-12-8 already recovered to be adjusted towards the principal;

4. The balance due towards the principal, viz., Rs.12,150-13-11 (Vide Margin) to be appropriated from the ordinary interest of Rs.43,977-9-8 already recovered and ~~debited~~ credited as such in accounts.

Loan Outstanding	Rs.26,305-4-11
Penal interest	6,765-12-8
Amount credited to the loan in 29-30	1,073-6-1
Amount credited towards interest in 29-30 to be appropriated towards dues.	1,315-4-3
Now credited	5,000-0-0
Balance to be adjusted	12,150-13-11

7. The adjustment of the total amount of Rs.20,231-14-10 credited in the accounts, as interest, towards the principal of the loan account will be treated as a refund of interest and be covered by a supplemental demand to be placed before the Legislative Council at its next session.

8. Government also direct that the Inam village of Thumbanerlu will continue to be under Government management for a further period of four years, the net revenue realised annually being handed over to the Inamdars in consultation with the Huzur Secretary.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

RGS 10/4

To

The Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.